Sanitary District of Decatur
501 DIPPER LANE • DECATUR, ILLINOIS 62522 • 217/422-6931 • FAX: 217/423-8171

June 27, 2013

Illinois Environmental Protection Agency
Bureau of Water Compliance Assurance Section, MC #19
1021 North Grand Avenue East
P.O. Box 19276
Springfield, Illinois 62794-9276

Re: NPDES Permit IL0028321
IPCB Order PCB 09-125
Interim Report

Dear Sir or Madam:

Enclosed is the Interim Report regarding compliance with nickel and zinc limits required by Special Condition 18 of the Sanitary District of Decatur's NPDES Permit and the Pollution Control Board Order in PCB 09-125.

Please contact me at 422-6931 ext. 214 or at timk@sddcleanwater.org if you have any questions regarding this report.

Sincerely,

Timothy R. Kluge, P.E.
Technical Director

cc: Rick Pinneo, IEPA (via email)
Bob Mosher, IEPA (via email)
SDD File

Sanitary District of Decatur
Nickel and Zinc Limits
June 2013 Interim Report

The modified NPDES permit for the Sanitary District of Decatur that became effective July 1, 2009 requires the District to achieve compliance with final nickel and zinc effluent limitations by July 1, 2010. Special Condition 17 also notes that the permit may be modified to include revised compliance dates in Pollution Control Board orders, and that prior to such permit modification, the revised dates in the appropriate orders shall govern the Permittee's compliance.

On January 7, 2010 the Illinois Pollution Control Board granted a variance to the District allowing additional time to comply with final permit limits (PCB 09-125). The compliance date contained in the Board Order is July 1, 2014. The District's NPDES Permit has not yet been modified or reissued to incorporate the variance. The Board Order also requires that an interim report be submitted to Illinois EPA by July 1, 2013. This report is submitted to meet both the permit and variance requirements.

Plant Influent and Effluent Sampling

Ongoing influent sampling for nickel and zinc continues at a frequency of twice monthly, and effluent sampling is done five days per week according to NPDES monitoring requirements. A summary of influent and effluent values during the past eighteen months is shown below.





Data shows that the plant effluent is not able to consistently meet the current nickel permit limit. Effluent zinc concentrations remain at or below the permit limit although a brief spike did occur in November 2012. Higher than normal concentrations of both nickel and zinc in the fall of 2012 are believed to be related to extreme drought conditions in central Illinois.

Receiving Stream Sampling

Upstream and downstream sampling continues at a twice monthly frequency to provide a more complete picture of nickel and zinc in the Sangamon River. One upstream and four downstream sampling sites are being monitored. A summary of 2011-2013 river monitoring data is attached. Downstream nickel results remain high during times of low upstream river flows, which prevailed from mid-2011 through 2012. The current nickel water quality standard has been met thus far in 2013, reflecting higher river flows. With one exception, upstream and downstream zinc results during the past two years have been below the Illinois chronic water quality standard.

Pretreatment Ordinance Limits

The District's pretreatment ordinance was amended in October 2009 as noted in previous interim reports.

Stream Flow-Based Compliance Options

The District continues investigation of flow-based permit limits, to take advantage of upstream flow for mixing when it is available. A USGS flow gauging station is located about two miles upstream of the District's discharge point, and provides near-real time flow information. A proposal for flow-based limits will be a part of relief requested from the Pollution Control Board.

Water Quality Standard Investigations

The District is in the final stages of preparing a petition for a site-specific nickel standard, which we expect to file with the Pollution Control Board in the very near future. We are waiting for a determination from U.S. EPA regarding resolution of their most recent set of comments and questions.

Also, we anticipate that future permits will contain zinc limits based on the revised chronic water quality standard adopted by the Illinois Pollution Control Board in R11-18. Utilizing the corrected number to determine our permit limit should provide further assurance of compliance.

Industrial Source Sampling and Investigations

Sampling at Archer Daniels Midland Company for metals was increased early in 2013 from twice monthly to twice weekly, and other industries discharging metals are sampled quarterly. Sample results obtained from ADM within the past two years are attached.

The District's operating permit issued to ADM was modified on November 18, 2009 and again on June 17, 2010 to reflect the new limits and provide a compliance schedule for meeting the limits. Final local limits will be determined following Board action on the District's site-specific WQS request.

Both ADM and Tate & Lyle formerly utilized zinc as part of their cooling tower treatment programs, and both have greatly reduced zinc in their towers. At this time, both industries are meeting the zinc pretreatment limit. ADM is continuing to investigate the possible impact of the zinc limit on their planned wasting of solids from their pretreatment system to the District's collection system.

The discharge from ADM is by far the most significant industrial source of nickel. ADM has been very active in seeking treatment technology for nickel removal, involving plant management and research department personnel in addition to environmental compliance and legal staff. District staff continue regular contacts with ADM personnel. The District's pretreatment permit requires semi-annual reports of ADM's investigations, and the most recent report is attached. Completed and anticipated modifications made by ADM are listed on page 4 of the report.

Additional Pretreatment Limit Investigations

Pretreatment ordinance limits adopted in 2009 were adopted as total (rather than soluble) limits based on review of soluble/insoluble data. Refinement of pretreatment limits is an ongoing process and will depend on final permit limits as well as treatment technologies that might be employed by industrial users.

Compliance Plan

In summary, the District's compliance plan includes the following:

1. Continue to work with ADM to implement nickel discharge reductions and removal technologies. ADM's May 30, 2013 Interim Report describes the completed and planned reductions.

2. Complete and file a petition for a site-specific water quality standard for nickel, based on bioavailability. We have been working with Illinois EPA to address questions and comments through the summer and fall of 2012. Currently we are awaiting U.S. EPA's response to supplemental information provided in response to questions from Region 5 personnel.

3. The Board petition will contain a request for variable permit limits based on the amount of flow available in the Sangamon River.

Sanitary District of Decatur

Nickel and Zinc River Data 2011-2013

Sample Date	Plant Final Effluent Nickel mg/L	River Up-stream Nickel mg/L	River 100 yds Down stream Nickel mg/L	River 600 yds Down-stream Nickel mg/L	Steven's Creek Nickel mg/L	River Rock Springs Bridge Nickel mg/L	River Wyckle's Road Nickel mg/L	Plant Final Effluent Zinc mg/L	River Up-stream Zinc mg/L	River 100 yds Down stream Zinc mg/L	River 600 yds Down-stream Zinc mg/L	Steven's Creek Zinc mg/L	River Rock Springs Bridge Zinc mg/L	River Wyckle's Road Zinc mg/L	Plant Final Effluent Flow mgd	River Up-stream Flow ft^3/sec
7/14/11	0.0170	<0.00131	0.0118	0.0116	<0.00131	0.00886	0.00890	0.0242	0.00519	0.0162	0.0171	<0.00660	0.0136	0.0130	27.12	200
7/28/11	0.0188	<0.00131	0.0187	0.0168	<0.00131	0.0158	0.0159	0.0255	<0.00660	0.0279	0.0219	<0.00660	0.0205	0.0207	27.85	2.1
8/11/11	0.0218	0.00143	0.0255	0.0212	<0.00131	0.0204	0.0199	0.0294	<0.00660	0.0576	0.0292	<0.00660	0.0266	0.0271	24.82	1.6
8/25/11	0.0193	<0.00131	0.0187	0.0190	<0.00131	0.0183	0.0189	0.0161	<0.00660	0.0153	0.0158	<0.00660	0.0142	0.0137	24.19	1.1
9/8/11	0.0233	0.00142	0.0208	0.0222	<0.00131	0.0207	0.0196	0.0341	<0.00660	0.0294	0.0303	<0.00660	0.0279	0.0254	27.07	0.15
9/14/11	0.0237	0.00132	0.0231	0.0235	<0.00131	0.0228	0.0231	0.0460	<0.00660	0.0425	0.0438	<0.00660	0.0413	0.0385	28.62	1.9
10/6/11	0.0276	0.00140	0.0263	0.0265	<0.00131	0.0255	0.0259	0.0329	<0.00660	0.0318	0.0314	<0.00660	0.0296	0.0288	23.96	0.75
10/20/11	0.0211	<0.00131	0.0189	0.0195	<0.00131	0.0159	0.0181	0.0260	0.0107	0.0235	0.0238	<0.00660	0.0193	0.0199	23.28	2.8
11/3/11	0.0250	0.00197	0.0277	0.0304	0.00175	0.0260	0.0275	0.0322	0.0115	0.0314	0.0354	<0.00660	0.0281	0.0271	42.99	18
11/17/11	0.0307	<0.00131	0.0281	0.0283	0.00178	0.0273	0.0277	0.0368	<0.00660	0.0285	0.0304	<0.00660	0.0275	0.0247	25.80	1.1
12/1/11	0.0221	<0.00131	0.0177	0.0173	<0.00131	0.0149	0.0149	0.0349	0.00728	0.0245	0.0230	0.00824	0.0207	0.0190	27.64	2.1
1/5/12	0.0207	<0.00131	0.0193	0.0206	<0.00131	0.0170	0.0174	0.0355	<0.00660	0.0328	0.0346	<0.00660	0.0298	0.0278	27.19	4.1
1/19/12	0.0245	0.00146	0.0164	0.0166	0.00135	0.0126	0.0127	0.0307	0.0265	0.0229	0.0240	0.00838	0.0203	0.0184	26.24	8.9
2/9/12	0.0241	<0.00131	0.00567	0.00496	<0.00131	0.00480	0.00421	0.0329	<0.00660	0.00944	0.00838	<0.00660	0.00788	0.00782	29.94	228
2/23/12	0.0227	<0.00131	0.0135	0.0147	<0.00131	0.0118	0.0115	0.0343	<0.00660	0.0213	0.0256	<0.00660	0.0182	0.0172	28.01	50
3/8/12	0.0245	<0.00131	0.0111	0.0111	<0.00131	0.00964	0.00941	0.0338	<0.00660	0.0167	0.0161	<0.00660	0.0149	0.0150	27.78	79
3/22/12	0.0277	<0.00131	0.0241	0.0211	<0.00131	0.0180	0.0185	0.0399	<0.00660	0.0501	0.0387	<0.00660	0.0245	0.0227	26.74	2.5
4/5/12	0.0313	<0.00131	0.0226	0.0226	<0.00131	0.0205	0.0207	0.0260	<0.00660	0.0214	0.0227	<0.00660	0.0185	0.0172	26.05	4.6
4/19/12	0.0334	<0.00131	0.0246	0.0238	0.00149	0.0187	0.0199	0.0375	<0.00660	0.0331	0.0308	<0.00660	0.0240	0.0216	26.08	4.2
5/3/12	0.0262	0.00158	0.0120	0.0105	<0.00131	0.00755	0.00770	0.0270	0.00690	0.0231	0.0194	<0.00660	0.0148	0.0142	26.95	8.7
5/17/12	0.0317	0.00156	0.00859	0.00888	0.00141	0.00775	0.00806	0.0450	<0.00660	0.0160	0.0171	<0.00660	0.0139	0.0148	25.37	97
6/7/12	0.0319	0.00259	0.0182	0.0173	0.00402	0.0160	0.0169	0.0296	0.0106	0.0180	0.0181	<0.00660	0.0163	0.0184	22.57	6.6
6/21/12	0.0296	0.00136	0.0222	0.0218	0.00146	0.0215	0.0214	0.0225	<0.00660	0.0173	0.0165	<0.00660	0.0164	0.0139	23.81	0.06
7/5/12	0.0303	0.00164	0.0247	0.0240	0.00217	0.0230	0.0232	0.0214	<0.00660	0.0202	0.0165	<0.00660	0.0139	0.0144	23.57	0.40
7/19/12	0.0307	0.00195	0.0242	0.0236	0.00142	0.0234	0.0235	0.0289	<0.00660	0.0250	0.0252	<0.00660	0.0243	0.0230	23.18	0.10
8/9/12	0.0356	0.00147	0.0250	0.0252	0.00160	0.0256	0.0248	0.0283	<0.00660	0.0221	0.0227	<0.00660	0.0232	0.0205	18.56	0.26
8/23/12	0.0382	0.00185	0.0305	0.0305	0.00198	0.0302	0.0298	0.0374	0.00907	0.0330	0.0324	<0.00660	0.0314	0.0298	19.55	0.33
9/6/12	0.0278	0.00206	0.0206	0.0212	0.00252	0.0169	0.0180	0.0471	0.0108	0.0253	0.0280	0.0100	0.0245	0.0229	20.73	1.3
9/20/12	0.0289	0.00193	0.0228	0.0234	0.00160	0.0221	0.0226	0.0370	0.00772	0.0298	0.0304	<0.00660	0.0284	0.0280	18.57	0.27
10/11/12	0.0280	0.00161	0.0192	0.0195	0.00150	0.0186	0.0180	0.0434	<0.00660	0.0315	0.0303	<0.00660	0.0281	0.0260	18.38	0.27
10/25/12	0.0330	0.00152	0.0212	0.0216	0.00136	0.0184	0.0182	0.0462	0.00772	0.0312	0.0310	<0.00660	0.0276	0.0232	28.23	2.90
11/8/12	0.0409	0.00156	0.0345	0.0345	0.00141	0.0316	0.0324	0.0711	<0.00660	0.0797	0.0778	<0.00660	0.0707	0.0717	22.74	0.50
11/29/12	0.0388	0.00168	0.0298	0.0307	0.00137	0.0287	0.0290	0.0815	0.00746	0.0649	0.0669	0.00783	0.0625	0.0603	22.74	0.41
12/6/12	0.0367	0.00201	0.0292	0.0290	<0.00131	0.0259	0.0249	0.0413	0.0110	0.0380	0.0374	<0.00660	0.0324	0.0327	23.12	1.10
12/20/12	0.0308	0.00174	0.0224	0.0247	<0.00131	0.0132	0.0253	0.0311	0.0137	0.0199	0.0270	0.00722	0.0184	0.0206	33.13	21
1/3/13	0.0380	<0.00240	0.00569	0.00531	<0.00240	0.00536	0.00639	0.0274	<0.00660	<0.00660	<0.00660	<0.00660	<0.00660	0.00717	23.43	372
1/24/13	0.0348	<0.00240	0.00948	0.00829	<0.00240	0.00775	0.00764	0.0301	<0.00660	0.0121	0.0123	<0.00660	0.00864	0.00819	22.26	140
2/7/13	0.0336	<0.00240	0.00408	0.00363	<0.00240	0.00400	0.00309	0.0818	<0.00660	<0.00660	<0.00660	<0.00660	<0.00660	<0.00660	32.11	456
2/21/13	0.0323	<0.00240	0.00459	0.00328	<0.00240	0.00355	0.00332	0.0294	<0.00660	<0.00660	<0.00660	<0.00660	<0.00660	<0.00660	25.77	351
3/7/13	0.0318	<0.00240	0.00372	0.00262	<0.00240	<0.00240	<0.00240	0.0296	<0.00660	<0.00660	<0.00660	<0.00660	<0.00660	<0.00660	29.62	756
3/21/13	0.0321	<0.00240	0.00332	0.00294	<0.00240	0.00291	0.00267	0.0266	<0.00660	0.00723	<0.00660	<0.00660	<0.00660	<0.00660	24.92	375
4/4/13	0.0285	<0.00240	0.00321	0.00249	<0.00240	<0.00240	<0.00240	0.0317	<0.00660	0.00836	<0.00660	<0.00660	0.00725	0.00698	29.55	659
4/25/13	0.0196	0.00563	0.00563	0.00567	0.00332	0.00504	0.00562	0.0379	0.0288	0.0253	0.0269	0.0260	0.0225	0.0257	39.54	4410
5/16/13	0.0208	<0.00240	0.00290	<0.00240	<0.00240	<0.00240	0.00298	0.0281	<0.00660	0.00758	0.00673	0.0069	<0.00660	<0.00660	29.25	895
5/23/13	0.0203	<0.00240	0.00267	0.00255	<0.00240	<0.00240	<0.00240	0.0210	<0.00660	0.00710	0.00802	<0.00660	0.00665	0.00830	29.36	781
6/6/13	0.0201	<0.00240	0.00243	0.00255	<0.00240	<0.00240	0.00259	0.0213	<0.00660	0.0101	0.00850	0.00819	0.00720	0.0103	33.49	2440

ADM Nickel and Zinc Results				
	ADM Point A	ADM Point A	ADM Point D	ADM Point D
Sample	Nickel, Tot	Zinc, Tot	Nickel, Tot	Zinc, Tot
Date	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
7/11/2011	0.0542	0.226	0.0625	0.209
8/1/2011	0.0491	0.165	0.0621	0.172
8/8/2011	0.0567	0.215	0.074	0.242
9/1/2011	0.0662	0.285	0.0842	0.327
9/7/2011	0.0684	0.311	0.0884	0.344
10/3/2011	0.094	0.518	0.114	0.515
10/10/2011	0.0643	0.191	0.073	0.189
11/7/2011	0.0912	0.377	0.116	0.529
11/22/2011	0.221	1.28	0.136	0.623
12/1/2011	0.0917	0.416	0.11	0.492
12/5/2011	0.094	0.423	0.117	0.508
1/5/2012	0.0921	0.451	0.111	0.531
1/9/2012	0.0868	0.424	0.109	0.491
2/6/2012	0.121	0.441	0.134	0.488
2/13/2012	0.127	0.49	0.159	0.601
3/5/2012	0.128	0.431	0.15	0.493
3/12/2012	0.12	0.406	0.141	0.482
4/12/2012	0.169	0.621	0.191	0.705
4/19/2012	0.148	0.516	0.176	0.674
5/1/2012	0.0797	0.251	0.152	0.564
5/7/2012	0.137	0.494	0.141	0.448
6/4/2012	0.133	0.412	0.147	0.468
6/11/2012	0.12	0.366	0.144	0.452
7/2/2012	0.129	0.375	0.158	0.462
7/9/2012	0.109	0.322	0.132	0.402
8/1/2012	0.127	0.426	0.17	0.574
8/6/2012	0.097	0.193	0.12	0.242
9/6/2012	0.105	0.289	0.117	0.271
9/10/2012	0.479	0.531	0.165	0.559
10/1/2012	0.15	0.46	0.168	0.54
10/8/2012	0.129	0.421	0.152	0.444
11/1/2012	0.16	0.487	0.184	0.568
11/12/2012	0.158	0.444	0.197	0.525
12/3/2012	0.127	0.387	0.157	0.45
12/10/2012	0.106	0.218	0.123	0.25
1/7/2013	0.14	0.374	0.181	0.448
1/14/2013	0.103	0.229	0.121	0.263
2/4/2013	0.13	0.313	0.142	0.329
2/11/2013	0.116	0.285	0.147	0.308
3/2/2013	0.139	0.314	0.112	0.235
3/4/2013	0.141	0.393	0.105	0.269
3/9/2013	0.122	0.283	0.129	0.289
3/11/2013	0.13	0.317	0.138	0.321
3/16/2013	0.134	0.355	0.156	0.431
3/20/2013	0.171	0.676	0.2	0.78
3/23/2013	0.158	0.578	0.191	0.686
3/27/2013	0.123	0.334	0.122	0.332
3/30/2013	0.122	0.356	0.127	0.371
4/3/2013	0.129	0.369	0.144	0.419
4/6/2013	0.118	0.266	0.102	0.16
4/8/2013	0.0832	0.151	0.0979	0.149
4/13/2013	0.107	0.279	0.118	0.303
4/15/2013	0.09	0.246	0.116	0.3
4/20/2013	0.101	0.307	0.0829	0.273
4/24/2013	0.116	0.343	0.0942	0.272
4/27/2013	0.117	0.342	0.116	0.31
5/1/2013	0.0809	0.162	0.0945	0.157
5/4/2013	0.107	0.411	0.123	0.45
5/6/2013	0.0947	0.266	0.103	0.281
5/11/2013	0.0744	0.0981	0.0741	0.0749
5/15/2013	0.0867	0.204	0.108	0.226
5/18/2013	0.0871	0.0921	0.0932	0.0848
5/22/2013	0.103	0.283	0.109	0.28
5/25/2013	0.127	0.439	0.155	0.513
5/29/2013	0.145	0.574	0.181	0.691
6/1/2013	0.0913	0.111	0.0702	0.0883
6/3/2013	0.0884	0.1	0.0919	0.111

To: Illinois Environmental Protection Agency

Decatur Sanitary District

From: ADM Decatur WWTP

CC: ADM Corn Processing, ADM Oilseeds Processing, ADM JRRRC

Date: May 30, 2013

Re: Status Report Compliance Strategy for 2013 for Decatur Sanitary District and ADM Decatur WWTP for waste treatment. (Covers updates post December 2012- date)

Table of Contents

Nickel and Zinc are present in effluent leaving the ADM Decatur Complex Waste Water plant. Of the two metals, nickel is more difficult to remove from the effluent. ADM Research and the ADM Decatur complex have been actively pursuing technologies to remove Nickel (Ni) from its effluent stream released to the SDD treatment plant. As part of our Industrial Users permit we are enclosing our updated technical report on our efforts to mitigate nickel in the Decatur complex effluent.

The IU shall submit reports to the SDD by December 1, 2011, and June 1 and December 1, 2012detailing their progress concerning reducing their effluent concentrations of nickel and zinc from current levels to levels that will not exceed those shown in Section E, paragraph 7, of this permit

- *ADM SDD Industrial Users Permit 200.*

ADM met with the SDD and IEPA in December 2012 and provided them with an overview detailing the progress and ADM's compliance efforts. In addition ADM has provided the district and its attorneys with input in finalizing a draft petition for site specific rulemaking being discussed with IEPA. Enclosed is a report on the progress ADM has made since the last update issued on December 2012.

1 Background and Update (post December2012)

ADM has conducted 5 plant material balances to understand the sources of Nickel in its internal streams. ADM's Decatur Complex consists of multiple, separate processing plants, which send wastewater to the on-site wastewater treatment plant ("WWTP"). These processing plants consist of the Corn Wet Mill, BioProducts Plant, Cogeneration Plant, East Soybean Processing Plant, West Soybean Processing Plant, Vitamin E Plant, Corn Germ Processing Plant, Glycols Plant and the Polyols Plant. Each of these unique plants produces multiple products, using both batch and continuous processes, and creates water streams which generally are reused multiple times prior to being discharged to the WWTP. The WWTP treats approximately 11 MGD through a newer anaerobic treatment system followed by aerobic treatment prior to discharge to the District.

The incoming soybeans contain approximately 4.1 parts per million ("ppm") nickels, while incoming corn contains approximately 0.53 ppm nickel. Given that ADM's Decatur Complex processes approximately 600,000 bushels of corn and 200,000 bushels of soybeans per day, our incoming Nickel load is about 49.2 lbs from the soybeans and 19.1 lbs from the corn. A small portion of the incoming nickel is discharged in the effluent.

In the ADM Decatur Facility, effluent water originates in the corn and soybeans being processed at the facility. During the processing, the metals are released and enter the processing water, some of which eventually ends up at the wastewater treatment plant.

ADM has monitored soluble Nickel at the Damon and Front stations continuously (see Figures 1-3) and made a number of modifications in its operations:

1) Our nickel in effluent discharge has been fairly stable and trending slightly down. We are seeing flow averaged total nickel to below 70ppb down from an historical average of 150 ppb.
2) We have noticed a small increase in our soluble nickel towards the end of 2012 (Figures 4-5) but this has not been directly attributable to any specific changes in our operations.
3) Spent catalyst from the West Soybean Processing Plant is collected and sent to a landfill. Spilled catalyst is collected and disposed of as solid rather than washed into a sump.
4) Particulate catalyst from the Corn Plant Sorbitol production is captured by filters and physically recovered for recycling or disposal. ADM has installed an ion exchange resin system at the Sorbitol Plant to capture soluble nickel from wastewater. The system is undergoing startup and troubleshooting and results from testing are reported later in the current report.
5) The East Soybean Processing Plant is finalizing its design of a system that will remove the soy molasses stream (containing approximately 2.4 lb/day, approximately 35% of the soluble nickel from the Decatur Complex) from going to the WWTP. This stream is high in digestible, fermentable sugars but will need to be concentrated for stability. The East Soybean Processing Plant has prepared a cost estimate for this process change. Once the system design is complete and the cost estimate approved, ADM anticipates spending several million dollars to install it. Our anticipated start date for this project is June 2013 pending environmental and regulatory approvals.
6) The Polyols Plant accounts for approximately 11% of the soluble nickel from the Decatur Complex. The Polyols Plant has determined this nickel can be precipitated by pH adjustment. ADM is now determining how to implement this change on its process stream. As described later in this report, an internal request for funding (“AFE”) has been submitted and we expect startup in July 2013 pending environmental and



Figure 1 Flow Averaged Front and Damon Nickel

regulatory approvals.

7) We have also collected soluble nickel data for the past 8+ yrs. and it shows that our soluble nickel number remains largely unchanged with the only exception being the change in total nickel due to startup of the anaerobic digesters post August 2008 (this data was shared in June 2012 update).



Figure 2 Decatur complex effluent- sludge wasting and nickel in effluent



Figure 3 Decatur complex effluent- BOD and nickel in effluent



Figure 4 Decatur Complex effluent- Flow weighted total and soluble nickel



Figure 5 Soluble nickel through internal WWTP flows

As reported in the 2010 (summer) - 2012 (summer) updates, ADM has, thus far, investigated 46 technologies that had the potential to control nickel at the Decatur Complex WWTP. (This was in addition to the work ADM has undertaken to reduce nickel within the individual wastewater streams.) As indicated in Table 1, these technologies can be segregated into six broad categories:

1. Nickel Proprietary Precipitation Process;
2. Nickel Chemical Precipitation;
3. Ion Exchange Resin;
4. Filtration;
5. pH Modification
6. Noncommercial, Experimental Technologies.

Additional details about some of the technologies identified in Table 1 are presented in Table 2, including a general list of reasons why certain of those technologies are not technically feasible and are not currently being pursued.

ADM has finished piloting the various technologies listed in our summer 2012 report. Table 3, summarizes the capital, operating and chemical costs for the approaches it is scaling and either installing or continuing to trial and a summary of their best results.

Thus, of all of the technologies investigated by ADM to date, the only viable option that has not already been fully planned, installed or employed by ADM is the nickel capture process based upon high pH precipitation at the Polyols Plant. Because such technology has been determined to be both technically feasible and economically reasonable for the specific application, ADM will install that system at the Polyols Plant after necessary pilot testing is complete. However, that reduction, even when combined with the other reductions achieved by ADM, will still not reduce nickel to the levels sought by the District under its current permit. Even if ADM could overcome the technical obstacles it faces regarding the use of polymeric dimethyl dithiocarbamate to reduce nickel from the final wastewater effluent, testing indicates that residual soluble nickel concentrations close to 0.050 mg/L will remain irrespective of contact time and incoming nickel levels. ADM's investment from 2009 to December 2011 to identify and implement viable solutions to meet the nickel standard has been approximately $1.02 million in employee costs and $0.45 million in equipment rental and pilot trial costs. In addition, ADM has spent $0.45 million to install a resin capture system at the Decatur Sorbitol plant. It is also preparing to spend an additional $2.5 million to install a system to allow removal of the soy molasses stream and roughly $0.75 million to install a high pH precipitation and filtration process at the Polyols Plant. ADM has also significantly improved housekeeping in the West Plant to minimize nickel catalyst from entering the wastewater system. Finally, ADM has finished pilot trials on its ability to scale up a potentially viable chemical technology for installation at the Decatur Complex WWTP based on polymeric dimethyl dithiocarbamate to reduce nickel from its effluent. At this point, all reasonably identifiable options have been explored and all technically feasible and economically reasonable solutions are being pursued.

Table 1 Summary of Technologies Reviewed by ADM							
	Chemistry	*Dosage*	*Nickel Reduction (%)*	*Current Status*	*Nitratox/Respirometer Testing**	*Technically Feasible (y/n)*	*Economically Reasonable (y/n)*
Category 1 - Nickel Proprietary Precipitation Process							
[redacted]	Activated Clay	1%-3% by weight of clay	40%-60% (from 200ppb influent)	Not Active, High dosages unscalable.	Not tested.	No	No
[redacted]	Acidic Clay	4%-8% w/w	40% (from 90ppb influent)	Stopped. High dosage.	Not tested.	No	No
[redacted]	Chitosan Based	5% w/w	90% from 200 ppb influent	Abandoned. High dosage, Concerns with Chitosan Availability	Not tested.	No	No
[redacted]	Proprietary	2% w/w	82% (from 100ppb)	Abandoned. Company went out of business	Not tested.	No	No

[redacted]							
[redacted]	Metclear	200 ppm	64% (from 120ppb)	Shelved. Strong pH swing (acidification to pH 2, alkalination to 10 and neutralization)	Not tested.	No	No
[redacted]	Not disclosed	Not disclosed	40-60% (from 200ppb)	Shelved. Company not sharing samples.	Not tested.	No	No
Category 2 - Nickel Chemical Precipitation Process Using Carbamates or Organic Sulfides							
[redacted]	Polymeric Dimethyl Dithiocarbamate	100ppm with 50ppm of CaCl2	30% from 150ppb	Piloted. Total Nickel reduction to 60ppb.	Passed	No	No
[redacted]	Polymeric Dimethyl Dithiocarbamate	20-50ppm	60% from 150ppb	Piloted. Total Nickel reduction to 54 ppb.	Passed	Yes	No
[redacted]	Polymeric Dimethyl Dithiocarbamate	100ppm	41% from 150ppb	Piloted. Total Nickel reduction to 32ppb	Passed	Yes	No
[redacted]	Dimethyl Dithiocarbamate	50ppm + pH 6.0	76% from 150ppb	Piloted. Nickel reduction seen to 40ppb	Passed	Yes	No
[redacted]	Polymeric Dimethyl Dithiocarbamate	300ppm + pH swing	30%	Not active. Modified chemistry from Nalco being tested	Not tested.	No	No
[redacted]	Polymeric Dimethyl	50ppm	48% from 100ppb	Piloted. Nickel reduction seen to 20ppb	Passed	Yes	No

[redacted]	Dithiocarbamate						
[redacted]	Polymeric Dimethyl Dithiocarbamate	200ppm	52% from 150ppb	Piloted. Nickel reduction seen to 39 ppb	Passed	No	No
[redacted]	Polymeric Dimethyl Dithiocarbamate	100ppm	40% from 150ppb	Not piloted. GE has not scaled up commercial manufacturing.	Not tested.	No	No
[redacted]	Dimethyl Dithiocarbamate	100ppm	60% from 150ppb	Piloted. Nickel reduction seen to 24 ppb	Passed	No	No
Category 3 - Non Functional Resins							
[redacted]	Styrene Divinyl Benzene	2-5% w/w	20%	Not scaled. High regeneration costs	Not tested	No	No
[redacted]	Styrene Divinyl Benzene	4% w/w	60%	Not scaled. Very high resin use. Caustic /ethanol based regeneration	Not tested	No	No
[redacted]	Immobilized Ion Exchange Beads	5%	Not significant	Shelved	Not tested	No	No
Category 4 - Reuse of Ion Exchange Resin							
[redacted]	Sulfonic	0.1-0.5%	Complete removal of Ionic Nickel from the Sorbitol plant waste	Installed at Sorbitol plant	Not required	Yes	Yes
[redacted]							

Category 5 - Filtration							
[redacted]	Phosphate precipitation + Reverse Osmosis	80% recovery of feed	95%+ reduction	Shelved. Brine disposal issues. High capex	Not required	No	No
[redacted]	Low pressure Reverse Osmosis	30% recovery of feed	80% + reduction	Shelved. Brine disposal issues. High capex	Not required	No	No
[redacted]	Sand Filter	Not disclosed	20% reduction	Insufficient efficacy	Not required	No	No
Category 6 - Other Approaches							
[redacted]	Carbon Aerogels	Not tested	Not tested	Company went out of business. CD also binds other ions	Not tested	No	No
[redacted]	Electrochemical	Not disclosed	Higher Nickel due to leaching from electrode plates	Shelved after 4 trials.	Not tested	No	No
[redacted]	Ferric Chloride	100ppm	40%	Unscalable due to chloride limits	Not tested	No	No
[redacted]	Protein	not tested	Not tested	Lab scale only	Not tested	No	No
[redacted]	Hydrogen Peroxide and Ozone	5% w/w + pH adjustment	20% from 150ppb	Significant chemical usage	Not tested	No	No

[redacted]	Protein based	Not disclosed	Not tested	Other ions compete with nickel. Not scalable.	Not tested	No	No
[redacted]	pH Swing	1-3% w/w	30% from 150ppb	Very high chemical usage.	Not tested	No	No
[redacted]	pH >11.0	1-2% w/w	Complete for ionic regeneration waste	Being piloted at Polyols plant for waste stream	Not tested	Yes	Yes
[redacted]	Proprietary Adsorbent	5%	Reduced soluble nickel to below 0.037ppb	Bench scale trials complete. Unable to scale up due to startup nature of technology	Not tested	No	No

Table 2: Technical Challenges on Scale Up for Nickel Remediation Chemistries

Technology / Provider	*Vendor not cooperative with samples*	*Assessed and determined not effective*	*Not commercially available*	*High Dosages required*	*Results not scalable beyond bench scale*	*Low recoveries and brine disposal concerns*	*Technically Feasible (y/n)*	*Comments*
[redacted]	X		X				No	
[redacted]		X		X			No	Would require 5 million pounds of additive per day
[redacted]			X	X			No	
[redacted]	X			X			No	
[redacted]				X			No	Requires a pH to <2 then to pH 5.5 then

								to pH 10
[redacted]	X						No	
[redacted]					X		No	Plant pilot trial did not achieve required Nickel reduction.
[redacted]		X			X		No	Plant pilot trial did not achieve required Nickel reduction.
[redacted]					X		No	Plant pilot trial did not achieve required Nickel reduction.
[redacted]			X				No	
[redacted]							No	
[redacted]				X			No	
[redacted]				X			No	Decolorization resin needs 3,000 cubic feet of resin at $300/cubic foot. Resin, beds and regeneration equipment estimated at $8 - 10 million and uses Ethanol to regenerate resin.
[redacted]		X		X			No	
[redacted]							Yes *	Installed at Sorbitol plant
[redacted]						X	No	
[redacted]						X	No	
[redacted]						X	No	
[redacted]			X				No	
[redacted]		X	X				No	
[redacted]		X					No	Requires over 30,000 pounds of ferric salts per day
[redacted]			X				No	

[redacted]		X					No	Raise the pH 10 and add ozone and hydrogen peroxide. Large amounts of chemicals required.
[redacted]			X				No	
[redacted]							Yes	Suitable for <~50,000 GPD, non-grain based wastewater with non-chelated, salt-form nickel such as Polyols Plant IX regen waste
[redacted]	x		x		x			We are discussing a pilot trial with the vendor but they don't have capabilities to manufacture quantities required.

* The amount of used ion exchange resin is limited and it is most effective on non-chelated nickel. Therefore, it is being used to capture nickel from the sorbitol process.

Table 3: Capital and Operating Costs for Nickel Removal at ADM Decatur Complex

	Initial Capital Cost	**Annual Operating & Chemical Costs**	**Status**
Active Projects			
1) Soybean Process Stream Alternative	$2.7 million	$400,000	Currently under installation. Schedule for June 2013 startup
2) Used IX resin system at Sorbitol Plant	$450,000	$200,000	Installed
3) High pH precipitation at Polyols Plant	$750,000	$600,000	Scheduled for July 2013 startup
Further Technical Analysis/Cost			

Prohibitive			
1) Polymeric DTC addition and nickel removal using different unit operations	Not available	Not available	Pilot trials finished.
a) [redacted] *Single-Pass Membrane Filter*	$ 25million	$ 3 million	Effluent Irreversibly fouled Membrane. Filtrate rate decreased more than 90%
b) [redacted] *Dissolved Air Floatation and Sand Filter*	$ 15 million	$ 2.3 million	Average nickel reduction insufficient to meet proposed limits
c) [redacted]*: Dissolved Air Floatation Filter*	$ 25million	$ 2 million	Average nickel reduction is insufficient to meet proposed limits
d) [redacted]*: Rotary Vacuum Filter*	$ 1.8 million	$ 1.5 million + $30 million in disposal costs + 7000 tons per day of clay disposal.	Average nickel reduction is insufficient to meet proposed limits
e) [redacted] *Enhanced Air Floatation Filter*	Not available	Not available	Average nickel reduction is insufficient to meet proposed

			limits
f) [redacted] Lamella Gravity Settler and Sand Filter	$6.2 million	$2.1 million	Average Total Nickel reduction to 0.06 ppm and insufficient proposed limits
g) [redacted] Addition to Anaerobic Influent	Not available	Not available	Technology is not scalable. Vendor has only made multi gram quantities.

2 ADM Pilot trials update

As noted above ADM has completed its pilot plant trials for chemical sequestration of nickel and key cost numbers which are summarized in Table 3. Update on additional testing performed since December 2012 is summarized below.

2.1 Precipitation of Nickel

No additional testing was performed on precipitation based approaches for nickel removal.

2.2 Proprietary adsorbent

[redacted]

As part of continuing effort to screen Nickel removal technologies – ADM contacted [redacted]., a start-up company, based in Lafayette, CO. Themedia is proprietary - a granular carbon based support, impregnated with ligands. Very preliminary results reported in Table 16. This test, completed at Tusaar, used ADM DAF Effluent, pumping 0.4 mL/min through 4.0 g of Tusaar media. The sample listed on the bottom row of Table 16, was obtained after ~4 liters DAF Effluent had passed through the media

– which would be approaching 450 bed volumes of treated liquid. All samples processed with this media have been below 0.037 ppm total Nickel. ADM and Tusaar are discussing next steps for pilot planning.

Table 16. Selected Results of DAF Effluent treated at 0.4 mL/min through 4.0 grams of [redacted] Media.

	Feed Total Ni (ppm)	Product Total Ni (ppm)	Total Bed Volumes Processed (estimated)	Total Ni Reduced (%)
[redacted]	0.096	0.002	67	98
[redacted]	0.096	0.006	124	94
[redacted]	0.096	0.010	180	90
[redacted]	0.096	0.012	236	87
[redacted]	0.096	0.014	292	85
[redacted]	0.096	0.014	348	85
[redacted]	0.096	0.015	449	84

[redacted] proposed an optimization process, at their Colorado facility (~$70,000; 4-month study). Two parallel processes are being assembled: first will process “as is” DAF Effluent and the second will test 10 micron filtered DAF Effluent. Testing will begin in Summer 2013.

Siemens Industry, Inc. - (Advanced Reactive Media System) Proprietary treatment process – an iron containing media, acts as an electron generator to chemically reduce soluble metal cations and oxyanions to insoluble forms. The treated contaminants are removed by surface adsorption and chemical incorporation into iron oxidation products. Downstream solids separation would be separate process. This technology is still under development. Siemens offered to conduct a Static Test evaluation of DAF Effluent, based on results – further discussion will be evaluated.

2.3 Other approaches

We are not pursuing other new approaches at this time.

2.4 Toxicity trials

No additional trials were done as we have not looked at any new chemistries beyond what we reported in December 2012.

3 Corn Plant used IX system

As previously disclosed, ADM has been working to install a used ion exchange resin bed system to capture Nickel leaching from the sorbitol process catalyst. This system ran manually for 6 weeks in late 2011. During this trial, about 5 lbs of Nickel had been removed from the treated stream and no Nickel has been detected in the effluent. We are using 105 cu ft of resin and expect a Nickel binding capacity of about 3.4 lbs per cubic ft.



Figure 6 Used ion exchange resin treating material leaving the sorbitol process

The IX units went into continual operation in early 2012 and performance degraded by spring of 2012. In addition, a leak was discovered in a Mott sintered filter. Check filters were brought on line prior to IX in case this happens again.

An Isco sampler was moved to the Nickel bed inlet, but the source of the problem still could not be identified. The vessels weren't set up to sample the common outlet, so piping modifications were made in mid-November 2012. This allowed direct inlet and outlet of the beds to be sampled and compared against the overall Corn Plant Refinery waste.

Figure 6 shows a significant number of elevated nickel concentrations leaving the Corn Plant Refinery. One of the IX regeneration steps being treated (Backwash step) was deemed to be causing performance issues due to entrained air. Since this step is least likely to contain nickel, it was programmed to by-pass the nickel beds.

Figure 7 shows improved performance in the Refinery Waste from Dec12 thru mid-Jan13 with Backwash by-passing the nickel beds.

With some nickel spikes still showing up that were not coming from the nickel beds, it was thought that IX valves might be intermittently leaking by. A programming change for a valve at the main waste line eliminated the effects of such leaks. Figure 8 indicates even more improvement in the overall Corn Plant Refinery Waste.



Figure 7



Figure 8

4 Review Ceased for Technologies

Since the summer 2012 update, we have completed all planned pilot trials. At present, we continue to monitor our effluent discharges and update the SDD. We are planning a pilot trial with [redacted] active media and will be conducting a material balance once the East Plant evaporator and Polyol IX waste stream treatment is online.

5 East Plant Soy Molasses Stream Removal

As indicated previously the soy molasses stream from Decatur East Plant is about 35% of the soluble nickel load in the effluent. As part of our petition to the SDD we have indicated our intention to evaporate and eliminate this stream from our WWTP. We are currently proceeding through the installation of the equipment. Figure below is the evaporator being unloaded earlier this week. We anticipate startup in June 2013.

Figure 9 Evaporator installation for East Plant Soy Molasses Removal

6 Polyols IX waste stream treatment

We have identified our Polyols IX waste stream (between 16-22% of total Nickel load) as a significant contributor of inorganic Nickel. Initial work using high pH precipitation has shown almost complete removal of soluble Nickel. A commercial system is being installed to remove nickel.

We envision this system to be online July 2013.

7 Respirometer and Nitratox Testing

Results from Respirometer and Nitratox testing of Decatur Sanitary Districts MLSS using Nickel reduction chemistries piloted at ADM was shared with the district in May 2012. No additional tests were conducted as all the chemistries being piloted successfully passed the district's requirements on residual ammonia and respirometer performance.

Sanitary District of Decatur
501 DIPPER LANE • DECATUR, ILLINOIS 62522 • 217/422-6931 • FAX: 217/423-8171

December 20, 2013

Illinois Environmental Protection Agency
Bureau of Water Compliance Assurance Section, MC #19
1021 North Grand Avenue East
P.O. Box 19276
Springfield, Illinois 62794-9276

Re: NPDES Permit IL0028321
IPCB Order PCB 09-125
Interim Report

Dear Sir or Madam:

Enclosed is the Interim Report regarding compliance with nickel and zinc limits required by Special Condition 18 of the Sanitary District of Decatur's NPDES Permit and the Pollution Control Board Order in PCB 09-125.

Please contact me at 422-6931 ext. 214 or at timk@sddcleanwater.org if you have any questions regarding this report.

Sincerely,

Timothy R. Kluge

Timothy R. Kluge, P.E.
Technical Director

cc: Rick Pinneo, IEPA (via email)
Bob Mosher, IEPA (via email)
SDD File

Sanitary District of Decatur
Nickel and Zinc Limits
December 2013 Interim Report

The modified NPDES permit for the Sanitary District of Decatur that became effective July 1, 2009 requires the District to achieve compliance with final nickel and zinc effluent limitations by July 1, 2010. Special Condition 17 also notes that the permit may be modified to include revised compliance dates in Pollution Control Board orders, and that prior to such permit modification, the revised dates in the appropriate orders shall govern the Permittee's compliance.

On January 7, 2010 the Illinois Pollution Control Board granted a variance to the District allowing additional time to comply with final permit limits (PCB 09-125). The compliance date contained in the Board Order is July 1, 2014. The District's NPDES Permit has not yet been modified or reissued to incorporate the variance. The Board Order also requires that an interim report be submitted to Illinois EPA by January 1, 2014. This report is submitted to meet both the permit and variance requirements.

Plant Influent and Effluent Sampling

Ongoing influent sampling for nickel and zinc continues at a frequency of twice monthly, and effluent sampling is done five days per week according to NPDES monitoring requirements. A summary of influent and effluent values during the past eighteen months is shown below.





The charts show a substantial spike in influent nickel and zinc occurring in October and November, 2013. Effluent nickel also spiked during this time period although effluent zinc concentrations remained at or below the permit limit. The influent concentration increase is attributed to a process change at ADM's pretreatment facility that was implemented to reduce long-term discharges of nickel. This change involved the segregation of a soy solubles waste stream from the wastewater pretreatment system and redirection of that waste into a new evaporation process and ultimately to an animal feed product. The soy solubles waste stream was a significant source of nickel discharges and was eliminated as part of ADM's effort to reduce their total nickel discharge. The process change, however, also substantially reduced the organic loading on the pretreatment system which in turn led to a pH change in the treatment facility. The pH decrease resulted in resolubilization of metals from sludge in the system and the higher discharge concentrations. Both nickel and zinc were trending toward reduced levels by mid-December.

The District's treatment facility maintained excellent removal of zinc and the final zinc permit limit was achieved. However, nickel is received primarily in the soluble form and is not removed as well as zinc in the District's facility, as zinc is received primarily in the insoluble form.

Receiving Stream Sampling

Upstream and downstream sampling continues at a twice monthly frequency to provide a more complete picture of nickel and zinc in the Sangamon River. One upstream and four downstream sampling sites are being monitored. A summary of 2012-2013 river monitoring data is attached. Downstream nickel results remain high during times of low upstream river flows, which prevailed in the summer and fall months of both 2012 and 2013. With one exception, upstream and downstream zinc results during the past two years have been below the Illinois chronic water quality standard.

Pretreatment Ordinance Limits

The District's pretreatment ordinance was amended in October 2009 as noted in previous interim reports.

Stream Flow-Based Compliance Options

The District continues investigation of flow-based permit limits, to take advantage of upstream flow for mixing when it is available. A USGS flow gauging station is located about two miles upstream of the District's discharge point, and provides near-real time flow information. A proposal for flow-based limits will be a part of relief requested from the Pollution Control Board.

Water Quality Standard Investigations

The District is in the final stages of preparing a petition for a site-specific nickel standard, which we expect to file with the Pollution Control Board in the very near future. During the summer and fall of 2013, numerous discussions were held with Illinois EPA and U.S. EPA to try to resolve questions regarding the District's draft proposal. We have completed a draft plan for Water Effect Ratio testing as has been discussed to provide additional confirmation for the Biotic Ligand Model, and expect to perform the testing very early in 2014.

Also, we anticipate that future permits will contain zinc limits based on the revised chronic water quality standard adopted by the Illinois Pollution Control Board in R11-18. Utilizing the corrected number to determine our permit limit should provide further assurance of compliance.

Industrial Source Sampling and Investigations

Sampling for metals at Archer Daniels Midland Company continues at a twice monthly frequency, and other industries discharging metals are sampled quarterly. Sample results obtained from ADM within the past two years are attached.

The District's operating permit issued to ADM was modified on November 18, 2009 and again on June 17, 2010 to reflect the new limits and provide a compliance schedule for meeting the limits. Final local limits will be determined following Board action on the District's site-specific WQS request.

Both ADM and Tate & Lyle formerly utilized zinc as part of their cooling tower treatment programs, and both have greatly reduced zinc in their towers. At this time, both industries are meeting the zinc pretreatment limit. ADM is continuing to investigate the possible impact of the zinc limit on their planned wasting of solids from their pretreatment system to the District's collection system.

The discharge from ADM is by far the most significant industrial source of nickel. ADM has been very active in seeking treatment technology for nickel removal, involving plant management and research department personnel in addition to environmental compliance and legal staff. District staff continue regular contacts with ADM personnel.

ADM has implemented two significant nickel reduction treatment processes at its facility and a third is scheduled for startup in early 2014. The two completed projects include an ion exchange system implemented during April 2013 in the Sorbitol area to reduce nickel catalyst loading, and the soy solubles waste stream evaporation project noted above which began operation in October 2013. A precipitation and filtration treatment system for ADM's Polyol manufacturing process is scheduled to begin operation within the next few months.

Additional Pretreatment Limit Investigations

Pretreatment ordinance limits adopted in 2009 were adopted as total (rather than soluble) limits based on review of soluble/insoluble data. Refinement of pretreatment limits is an ongoing process and will depend on final permit limits as well as treatment technologies that might be employed by industrial users.

Compliance Plan

In summary, the District's compliance plan includes the following:

1. Continue to work with ADM as they implement remaining nickel discharge reductions and removal technologies.

2. Complete and file a petition for a site-specific water quality standard for nickel, based on bioavailability. We have been working with Illinois EPA to address questions and comments through the summer and fall of 2013. We anticipate completing effluent toxicity testing very early in 2014 as noted above. Because of the extended length of time involved in discussions with U.S. EPA, a request to extend the existing variance is being considered.

3. The Board petition for site-specific relief will contain a request for variable permit limits based on the amount of flow available in the Sangamon River.

Sanitary District of Decatur

Nickel and Zinc River Data 2012-2013

Sample Date	Plant Final Effluent Nickel mg/L	River Up-stream Nickel mg/L	River 100 yds Down stream Nickel mg/L	River 600 yds Down-stream Nickel mg/L	Steven's Creek Nickel mg/L	River Rock Springs Bridge Nickel mg/L	River Wyckle's Road Nickel mg/L	Plant Final Effluent Zinc mg/L	River Up-stream Zinc mg/L	River 100 yds Down stream Zinc mg/L	River 600 yds Down-stream Zinc mg/L	Steven's Creek Zinc mg/L	River Rock Springs Bridge Zinc mg/L	River Wyckle's Road Zinc mg/L	Plant Final Effluent Flow mgd	River Up-stream Flow ft^3/sec
1/5/12	0.0207	<0.00131	0.0193	0.0206	<0.00131	0.0170	0.0174	0.0355	<0.00660	0.0328	0.0346	<0.00660	0.0298	0.0278	27.19	4.1
1/19/12	0.0245	0.00146	0.0164	0.0166	0.00135	0.0126	0.0127	0.0307	0.0265	0.0229	0.0240	0.00838	0.0203	0.0184	26.24	8.9
2/9/12	0.0241	<0.00131	0.00567	0.00496	<0.00131	0.00480	0.00421	0.0329	<0.00660	0.00944	0.00838	<0.00660	0.00788	0.00782	29.94	228
2/23/12	0.0227	<0.00131	0.0135	0.0147	<0.00131	0.0118	0.0115	0.0343	<0.00660	0.0213	0.0256	<0.00660	0.0182	0.0172	28.01	50
3/8/12	0.0245	<0.00131	0.0111	0.0111	<0.00131	0.00964	0.00941	0.0338	<0.00660	0.0167	0.0161	<0.00660	0.0149	0.0150	27.78	79
3/22/12	0.0277	<0.00131	0.0241	0.0211	<0.00131	0.0180	0.0185	0.0399	<0.00660	0.0501	0.0387	<0.00660	0.0245	0.0227	26.74	2.5
4/5/12	0.0313	<0.00131	0.0226	0.0226	<0.00131	0.0205	0.0207	0.0260	<0.00660	0.0214	0.0227	<0.00660	0.0185	0.0172	26.05	4.6
4/19/12	0.0334	<0.00131	0.0246	0.0238	0.00149	0.0187	0.0199	0.0375	<0.00660	0.0331	0.0308	<0.00660	0.0240	0.0216	26.08	4.2
5/3/12	0.0262	0.00158	0.0120	0.0105	<0.00131	0.00755	0.00770	0.0270	0.00690	0.0231	0.0194	<0.00660	0.0148	0.0142	26.95	8.7
5/17/12	0.0317	0.00156	0.00859	0.00888	0.00141	0.00775	0.00806	0.0450	<0.00660	0.0160	0.0171	<0.00660	0.0139	0.0148	25.37	97
6/7/12	0.0319	0.00259	0.0182	0.0173	0.00402	0.0160	0.0169	0.0296	0.0106	0.0180	0.0181	<0.00660	0.0163	0.0184	22.57	6.6
6/21/12	0.0296	0.00136	0.0222	0.0218	0.00146	0.0215	0.0214	0.0225	<0.00660	0.0173	0.0165	<0.00660	0.0164	0.0139	23.81	0.06
7/5/12	0.0303	0.00164	0.0247	0.0240	0.00217	0.0230	0.0232	0.0214	<0.00660	0.0202	0.0165	<0.00660	0.0139	0.0144	23.57	0.40
7/19/12	0.0307	0.00195	0.0242	0.0236	0.00142	0.0234	0.0235	0.0289	<0.00660	0.0250	0.0252	<0.00660	0.0243	0.0230	23.18	0.10
8/9/12	0.0356	0.00147	0.0250	0.0252	0.00160	0.0256	0.0248	0.0283	<0.00660	0.0221	0.0227	<0.00660	0.0232	0.0205	18.56	0.26
8/23/12	0.0382	0.00185	0.0305	0.0305	0.00198	0.0302	0.0298	0.0374	0.00907	0.0330	0.0324	<0.00660	0.0314	0.0298	19.55	0.33
9/6/12	0.0278	0.00206	0.0206	0.0212	0.00252	0.0169	0.0180	0.0471	0.0108	0.0253	0.0280	0.0100	0.0245	0.0229	20.73	1.3
9/20/12	0.0289	0.00193	0.0228	0.0234	0.00160	0.0221	0.0226	0.0370	0.00772	0.0298	0.0304	<0.00660	0.0284	0.0280	18.57	0.27
10/11/12	0.0280	0.00161	0.0192	0.0195	0.00150	0.0186	0.0180	0.0434	<0.00660	0.0315	0.0303	<0.00660	0.0281	0.0260	18.38	0.27
10/25/12	0.0330	0.00152	0.0212	0.0216	0.00136	0.0184	0.0182	0.0462	0.00772	0.0312	0.0310	<0.00660	0.0276	0.0232	28.23	2.90
11/8/12	0.0409	0.00156	0.0345	0.0345	0.00141	0.0316	0.0324	0.0711	<0.00660	0.0797	0.0778	<0.00660	0.0707	0.0717	22.74	0.50
11/29/12	0.0388	0.00168	0.0298	0.0307	0.00137	0.0287	0.0290	0.0815	0.00746	0.0649	0.0669	0.00783	0.0625	0.0603	22.74	0.41
12/6/12	0.0367	0.00201	0.0292	0.0290	<0.00131	0.0259	0.0249	0.0413	0.0110	0.0380	0.0374	<0.00660	0.0324	0.0327	23.12	1.10
12/20/12	0.0308	0.00174	0.0224	0.0247	<0.00131	0.0132	0.0253	0.0311	0.0137	0.0199	0.0270	0.00722	0.0184	0.0206	33.13	21
1/3/13	0.0380	<0.00240	0.00569	0.00531	<0.00240	0.00536	0.00639	0.0274	<0.00660	<0.00660	<0.00660	<0.00660	<0.00660	0.00717	23.43	372
1/24/13	0.0348	<0.00240	0.00948	0.00829	<0.00240	0.00775	0.00764	0.0301	<0.00660	0.0121	0.0123	<0.00660	0.00864	0.00819	22.26	140
2/7/13	0.0336	<0.00240	0.00408	0.00363	<0.00240	0.00400	0.00309	0.0818	<0.00660	<0.00660	<0.00660	<0.00660	<0.00660	<0.00660	32.11	456
2/21/13	0.0323	<0.00240	0.00459	0.00328	<0.00240	0.00355	0.00332	0.0294	<0.00660	<0.00660	<0.00660	<0.00660	<0.00660	<0.00660	25.77	351
3/7/13	0.0318	<0.00240	0.00372	0.00262	<0.00240	<0.00240	<0.00240	0.0296	<0.00660	<0.00660	<0.00660	<0.00660	<0.00660	<0.00660	29.62	756
3/21/13	0.0321	<0.00240	0.00332	0.00294	<0.00240	0.00291	0.00267	0.0266	<0.00660	0.00723	<0.00660	<0.00660	<0.00660	<0.00660	24.92	375
4/4/13	0.0285	<0.00240	0.00321	0.00249	<0.00240	<0.00240	<0.00240	0.0317	<0.00660	0.00836	<0.00660	<0.00660	0.00725	0.00698	29.55	659
4/25/13	0.0196	0.00563	0.00563	0.00567	0.00332	0.00504	0.00562	0.0379	0.0288	0.0253	0.0269	0.0260	0.0225	0.0257	39.54	4410
5/16/13	0.0208	<0.00240	0.00290	<0.00240	<0.00240	<0.00240	0.00298	0.0281	<0.00660	0.00758	0.00673	0.0069	<0.00660	<0.00660	29.25	895
5/23/13	0.0203	<0.00240	0.00267	0.00255	<0.00240	<0.00240	<0.00240	0.0210	<0.00660	0.00710	0.00802	<0.00660	0.00665	0.00830	29.36	781
6/6/13	0.0201	<0.00240	0.00243	0.00255	<0.00240	<0.00240	0.00259	0.0213	<0.00660	0.0101	0.00850	0.00819	0.00720	0.0103	33.49	2440
6/20/13	0.0229	<0.00240	0.00253	0.00258	<0.00240	0.00244	<0.00240	0.0220	<0.00660	<0.00660	<0.00660	<0.00660	<0.00660	<0.00660	29.25	589
7/11/13	0.0213	0.0154	0.00336	0.00242	0.00645	0.00302	0.00333	0.0246	0.00833	0.00784	0.00800	0.0326	0.0116	0.0174	43.56	1200
7/18/13	0.0319	<0.00240	0.00897	0.00781	<0.00240	0.00713	0.00672	0.0279	<0.00660	0.0117	0.00921	<0.00660	0.00898	0.0105	29.53	98
8/8/13	0.0390	<0.00240	0.0284	0.0270	<0.00240	0.0243	0.0252	0.0467	0.00830	0.0306	0.0276	<0.00660	0.0241	0.0253	23.32	2.5
8/22/13	0.0431	<0.00240	0.0338	0.3420	<0.00240	0.0321	0.0322	0.0442	0.0109	0.0361	0.0360	<0.00660	0.0327	0.0298	21.47	2.4
9/5/13	0.0390	<0.00240	0.0331	0.0345	<0.00240	0.0333	0.0341	0.0317	0.00891	0.0295	0.0302	<0.00660	0.0284	0.0304	19.03	1.7
9/19/13	0.0410	<0.00240	0.0316	0.0316	<0.00240	0.0308	0.0312	0.0432	<0.00660	0.0346	0.0343	<0.00660	0.0321	0.0288	19.51	1.6
10/3/13	0.0599	<0.00240	0.0540	0.0545	<0.00240	0.0522	0.0544	0.0484	0.00759	0.0485	0.0490	<0.00660	0.0459	0.0433	35.62	12
10/24/13	0.161	<0.00240	0.116	0.109	<0.00240	0.0916	0.0637	0.0333	<0.00660	0.0282	0.0280	<0.00660	0.0248	0.0266	20.38	0.28
11/7/13	0.0742	<0.00240	0.0549	0.0549	<0.00240	0.0402	0.0386	0.0477	0.0088	0.0363	0.0355	<0.00660	0.0263	0.0232	21.99	0.76
11/21/13	0.0426	<0.00240	0.0365	0.0369	<0.00240	0.0345	0.0358	0.0386	<0.00660	0.0368	0.0370	<0.00660	0.0338	0.0326	28.21	1.3

ADM Nickel and Zinc Results				
Sample Date	ADM Point A Nickel, Tot mg/L	ADM Point A Zinc, Tot mg/L	ADM Point D Nickel, Tot mg/L	ADM Point D Zinc, Tot mg/L
1/5/2012	0.0921	0.451	0.111	0.531
1/9/2012	0.0868	0.424	0.109	0.491
2/6/2012	0.121	0.441	0.134	0.488
2/13/2012	0.127	0.49	0.159	0.601
3/5/2012	0.128	0.431	0.15	0.493
3/12/2012	0.12	0.406	0.141	0.482
4/12/2012	0.169	0.621	0.191	0.705
4/19/2012	0.148	0.516	0.176	0.674
5/1/2012	0.0797	0.251	0.152	0.564
5/7/2012	0.137	0.494	0.141	0.448
6/4/2012	0.133	0.412	0.147	0.468
6/11/2012	0.12	0.366	0.144	0.452
7/2/2012	0.129	0.375	0.158	0.462
7/9/2012	0.109	0.322	0.132	0.402
8/1/2012	0.127	0.426	0.17	0.574
8/6/2012	0.097	0.193	0.12	0.242
9/6/2012	0.105	0.289	0.117	0.271
9/10/2012	0.479	0.531	0.165	0.559
10/1/2012	0.15	0.46	0.168	0.54
10/8/2012	0.129	0.421	0.152	0.444
11/1/2012	0.16	0.487	0.184	0.568
11/12/2012	0.158	0.444	0.197	0.525
12/3/2012	0.127	0.387	0.157	0.45
12/10/2012	0.106	0.218	0.123	0.25
1/7/2013	0.14	0.374	0.181	0.448
1/14/2013	0.103	0.229	0.121	0.263
2/4/2013	0.13	0.313	0.142	0.329
2/11/2013	0.116	0.285	0.147	0.308
3/2/2013	0.139	0.314	0.112	0.235
3/4/2013	0.141	0.393	0.105	0.269
3/9/2013	0.122	0.283	0.129	0.289
3/11/2013	0.13	0.317	0.138	0.321
3/16/2013	0.134	0.355	0.156	0.431
3/20/2013	0.171	0.676	0.2	0.78
3/23/2013	0.158	0.578	0.191	0.686
3/27/2013	0.123	0.334	0.122	0.332
3/30/2013	0.122	0.356	0.127	0.371
4/3/2013	0.129	0.369	0.144	0.419
4/6/2013	0.118	0.266	0.102	0.16
4/8/2013	0.0832	0.151	0.0979	0.149
4/13/2013	0.107	0.279	0.118	0.303
4/15/2013	0.09	0.246	0.116	0.3
4/20/2013	0.101	0.307	0.0829	0.273
4/24/2013	0.116	0.343	0.0942	0.272
4/27/2013	0.117	0.342	0.116	0.31
5/1/2013	0.0809	0.162	0.0945	0.157
5/4/2013	0.107	0.411	0.123	0.45
5/6/2013	0.0947	0.266	0.103	0.281
5/11/2013	0.0744	0.0981	0.0741	0.0749
5/15/2013	0.0867	0.204	0.108	0.226
5/18/2013	0.0871	0.0921	0.0932	0.0848
5/22/2013	0.103	0.283	0.109	0.28
5/25/2013	0.127	0.439	0.155	0.513
5/29/2013	0.145	0.574	0.181	0.691
6/1/2013	0.0913	0.111	0.0702	0.0883
6/3/2013	0.0884	0.1	0.0919	0.111
6/10/2013	0.0962	0.205	0.108	0.228
7/1/2013	0.152	0.648	0.182	0.722
7/8/2013	0.141	0.512	0.157	0.553
8/1/2013	0.135	0.457	0.161	0.532
8/5/2013	0.133	0.451	0.163	0.544
9/4/2013	0.151	0.438	0.200	0.661
9/9/2013	0.114	0.456	0.184	0.670
10/1/2013	0.195	0.453	0.331	0.702
10/7/2013	0.154	0.340	0.217	0.453
10/25/2013	0.802		1.09	
10/26/2013	0.596		0.905	
10/27/2013	0.501		0.729	
10/28/2013	0.406		0.557	
10/29/2013	0.358		0.560	
10/30/2013	0.335		0.853	
11/4/2013	0.486	0.403	0.767	0.522
11/11/2013	0.152	0.114	0.191	0.113
11/14/2013	0.140	0.0963	0.145	0.0810
12/2/2013	0.121	0.101	0.109	0.0704
12/9/2013	0.0847	0.0748	0.0978	0.0645

Exhibit 20

Table 1

Weekly Loads to ADM Decatur Complex WWTP (August – November 2010)					
No. of Weeks of Data			**Daily Average mg/L**		**Average lbs/day**
7		**Flow, MGD**	**Total Nickel mg/L**	**Soluble Nickel mg/L**	
7	**CORN PLANT**	**4.791**	**0.04**	**0.041**	**1.58**
7	**EAST PLANT**	**2.006**	**0.22**	**0.18**	**3.72**
7	**POLYOLS PLANT**	**0.037**	**2.52**	**2.62**	**1.87**
7	**GLYCOLS PLANT**	**0.064**	**0.106**	**0.107**	**0.06**
7	**WEST PLANT**	**0.839**	**0.05**	**0.039**	**0.35**
7	**BIOPRODUCTS PLANT**	**1.487**	**0.028**	**0.028**	**0.35**
7	**COGENERATION PLANT**	**0.123**	**0.019**	**0.017**	**0.123**
Total		**9.345**			**7.94**

EXHIBIT 21

Figure 1



{00033350.1}

EXHIBIT 22

Table 2

Month	River Upstream Total Nickel mg/L	Plant Effluent Total Nickel mg/L	River Downstream Total Nickel mg/L
March-07	<0.005	0.016	<0.005
April-07	<0.005	0.016	<0.005
May-07	<0.005	0.019	<0.005
June-07	<0.005	0.022	0.009
July-07	<0.005	0.025	0.011
August-07	<0.005	0.028	0.026
September-07	<0.005	0.027	0.025
October-07	<0.005	0.023	0.020
November-07	<0.005	0.022	0.019
December-07	<0.005	0.021	<0.007
January-08	<0.005	0.022	<0.005
February-08	<0.010	0.027	<0.010
March-08	<0.005	0.028	<0.005
April-08	<0.005	0.028	<0.006
May-08	<0.005	0.023	<0.005
June-08	<0.005	0.034	<0.005
July-08	<0.005	0.028	<0.005
August-08	<0.005	0.039	0.024
September-08	<0.005	0.027	<0.007
October-08	<0.005	0.028	<0.005
November-08	<0.005	0.038	0.007
December-08	<0.005	0.033	<0.006
January-09	0.001	0.031	0.005
February-09	0.002	0.024	0.005
March-09	0.002	0.019	0.003
April-09	0.002	0.016	0.002
May-09	0.003	0.029	0.004
June-09	0.003	0.023	0.007
July-09	0.002	0.024	0.004
August-09	0.002	0.023	0.010
September-09	0.002	0.027	0.014
October-09	0.001	0.024	0.005
November-09	0.003	0.019	0.003
December-09	<0.001	0.018	<0.002
January-10	0.002	0.018	0.003
February-10	0.002	0.025	0.002
March-10	0.001	0.022	0.002
April-10	<0.001	0.019	0.003
May-10	0.001	0.017	0.002

{00033349.1}

EXHIBIT 22

Table 2

Month	River Upstream Total Nickel mg/L	Plant Effluent Total Nickel mg/L	River Downstream Total Nickel mg/L
June-10	0.002	0.022	0.003
July-10	<0.002	0.045	0.006
August-10	0.002	0.044	0.031
September-10	<0.002	0.024	0.013
October-10	0.002	0.021	0.015
November-10	0.001	0.021	0.018
December-10	<0.002	0.019	0.002
January-11	<0.001	0.019	0.008
February-11	<0.001	0.016	0.006
March-11	<0.002	0.014	<0.002
April-11	<0.001	0.014	0.002
May-11	<0.002	0.012	0.002
June-11	<0.002	0.015	0.003
July-11	<0.001	0.017	0.012
August-11	<0.001	0.021	0.019
September-11	<0.001	0.022	0.021
October-11	<0.001	0.024	0.022
November-11	<0.002	0.026	0.028
December-11	<0.001	0.022	0.014
January-12	<0.001	0.023	0.015
February-12	<0.001	0.024	0.008
March-12	<0.001	0.028	0.014

Values shown are averages of samples collected during the indicated month. Upstream samples collected at Ill. Route 48 bridge; downstream samples collected at Wyckles Road bridge (Rock Springs bicycle trail bridge during Wyckles Road closure August 2009-September 2010).

{00033349.1}

Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office : 06/30/2014 - * * * R2014-024 * * *

Exhibit 22
Table 2

Sample Date	River Up-stream Nickel mg/L	Plant Final Effluent Nickel mg/L	River Wyckle's Road Nickel mg/L
April-12	<0.001	0.031	0.02
May-12	0.002	0.03	0.008
June-12	0.002	0.031	0.019
July-12	0.002	0.032	0.023
August-12	0.002	0.035	0.027
September-12	0.002	0.029	0.02
October-12	0.002	0.031	0.018
November-12	0.002	0.042	0.031
December-12	0.002	0.036	0.025
January-13	<0.002	0.035	0.007
February-13	<0.002	0.03	0.003
March-13	<0.002	0.032	<0.002
April-13	<0.004	0.022	<0.004
May-13	<0.002	0.019	<0.002
June-13	<0.002	0.022	<0.002
July-13	<0.002	0.031	0.005
August-13	<0.002	0.004	0.029
September-13	<0.002	0.047	0.033
October-13	<0.002	0.084	0.059
November-13	<0.002	0.061	0.038
December-13	<0.002	0.035	0.029
January-14	<0.002	0.033	0.022
February-14	<0.002	0.021	0.028
March-14	<0.002	0.022	0.006
April-14	<0.002	0.015	<0.003
May-14	<0.002	0.014	<0.004

Exhibit 23

MAP 5 SANGAMON REGION

SANGAMON RIVER WITH SALT CREEK AND OTHER TRIBUTARIES

APRIL 2002 REVISION



Illinois State Water Survey

Exhibit 24

Biotic assessment of water quality in a stretch of the Sangamon River receiving effluent from the Sanitary District of Decatur: Focusing on chemical assessment, macroinvertebrate assemblage, mussel assemblage, tiered-aquatic life use, and the sport fishery

Principal Investigators:

Robert E. Colombo, Ph.D.
Department of Biological Sciences
Eastern Illinois University
(217) 581-3011
FAX: 581-7141
recolombo@eiu.edu

Jeffrey R. Laursen, Ph.D.
Department of Biological Sciences
Eastern Illinois University
(217) 581-3914
FAX: 581-7141
jrlaursen@eiu.edu

Charles L. Pederson, Ph.D.
Department of Biological Sciences
Eastern Illinois University
(217) 581-6239
FAX: 581-7141
clpederson@eiu.edu

Research Assistants:

Sarah Huck, smhuck@eiu.edu
Amanda Wildenberg, ajwildenberg@eiu.edu

Submitted to:
Sanitary District of Decatur
501 Dipper Lane
Decatur, IL 62522

May, 2013

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

We sampled four treatment reaches of the Sangamon River . The four treatment reaches were 1) reference - upstream of the Lake Decatur dam, 2) upstream - downstream of the dam but upstream of the Decatur Sanitary District main discharge, 3) downstream - directly downstream of the main discharge, and 4) recovery - 25 or more miles downstream of the main discharge. We sampled eleven sites monthly for water quality; seven sites located in the upstream reach, and four sites located downstream of the SDD. Seven sites were designated to sample annually for macroinvertebrate, mussel, sportfish, and non-game fish diversity; four sites located in the upstream reach and three located in the downstream reach. Three sites upstream of the Lake Decatur dam and five sites further downstream of the SDD's main discharge were added to the study this year.

Water quality in the upstream and downstream reaches differed during periods when discharge, measured at the Route 48 Bridge, was below 10 cfs, which occurred the majority of the sampling period due to a national drought. Physical habitat was of significantly higher quality in the reaches above the reservoir and below the effluent. Macroinvertebrate diversity, as estimated by Simpson's D and Shannon-Weiner H', showed no difference between the four reaches ($p > 0.05$). Likewise, River watch MIBI scores and percent EPT taxa showed no difference ($p > 0.05$) between reaches. Due to the drought, it is unlikely that any sites reached their full potential, but the reaches above the dam and below the effluent had greatly improved scores, indicating potentially better communities when under non-drought conditions. Further studies concentrating on high quality taxa and habitat during normal flow may discern finer differences among the reaches.

Mussel communities had the greatest relative density and species richness in the above dam reach. The above effluent and 25+ miles below effluent reaches had statistically similar relative densities and richness scores ($p > 0.05$). Mussel diversity, as estimated by Simpson's D and Shannon-Weiner H', showed no significant differences between the reaches ($p > 0.05$). Mussel communities are significantly affected by the Lake Decatur dam and SDD's main discharge, but show signs of recovery when further downstream.

A total of forty fish species, seventeen of which were sportfish species, were sampled using AC boat electrofishing during high water and seining methods during low water from the four treatment reaches of the Sangamon River,. Because of high conductivity during low water, seines were implemented to sample fishes among all treatment reaches. AC electrofishing was recently conducted and allowed us to sample different fish species, such as Walleye and Buffalo, that we were unable to capture using seines. During this recent high water event, fish were distributed throughout the three reaches downstream of the dam. There was no difference in relative density of all fishes among treatment reaches, implying that these sections of the river are suitable for various sportfish and non-sport fish species. To provide an assessment of habitat use during periods of low to moderate flows, a tracking study could be initiated. These findings and the sampling we will conduct summer 2013 can be used to assess the Sangamon River in regards to the Tiered Aquatic Life use (TALU).

LIST OF TABLES

LIST OF FIGURES

INTRODUCTION

Rivers and streams are impounded for a variety of reasons, including residential, commercial, and agricultural water supply; flood and debris control; and hydropower production (Kondolf 1997). However, impoundments may impact downstream aquatic systems and their surrounding terrestrial habitats. They can affect riverine systems by altering the flow regime, changing the sediment and nutrient loads, and modifying energy flow (Lignon *et al.* 1995). In addition, impoundments may lead to diminished water quality and availability, closures of fisheries, extirpation of species, and groundwater depletion for surrounding areas (Abramovitz 1996, Collier *et al.* 1996, Naiman *et al.* 1995). As a result of impoundments, downstream reaches may no longer be able to support native species, which will be reflected by reduced integrity of biotic communities (Naiman *et al.* 1995, NRC 1992).

A a natural flow regime is critical for sustaining ecosystem integrity and native biodiversity in rivers (Poff *et al.* 1997). Depending on the use of the dam, it may have varying effects on downstream aquatic habitats. Impoundments used for urban water supplies lead to a reduction in flow rates downstream of the dam throughout the entire year (Finlayson *et al*. 1994), as well as increase daily and seasonal variability in flow regime (Finlayson *et al*. 1994, McMahon & Finlayson 2003). In addition, abiotic variables including temperature, dissolved oxygen, turbidity, pH, conductivity, and solids concentrations are altered in the downstream river system (Finlayson *et al.* 1994).

Along with stream and river impoundments, point source and non-point source pollution can have profound and lasting effects on the ecological integrity of the system. Non-point sources of pollution include agriculture, livestock grazing, and urbanization, and point source pollutions include sanitary discharge and industrial waste. In order to reduce point source pollution, the

Water Quality Act of 1972 encouraged wastewater treatment plants to upgrade their systems. As a result, many communities were forced to build advanced tertiary water treatment facilities (Karr *et al.* 1985). Updated facilities still release high concentrations of nutrients into surrounding rivers. Carpenter and Waite (2000) documented that concentrations of phosphorus were highest in streams draining agricultural basins and at sites influenced by wastewater discharges, and Twichell et al (2002) reported that sewage effluent inputs had elevated nitrate levels. The enhanced nutrient discharge can be expected to increase productivity within a river because primary productivity and detrital processing usually are limited by low ambient stream nutrient concentrations (Stockner and Shortreed 1978, Elwood et al 1981, Winterbourn 1990).

Unlike impoundments and pollution, droughts are a natural phenomenon, but they can also severely affect aquatic ecosystems. Droughts can alter the lotic systems in ways harmful to biota, including loss of habitat, food resources, and stream connectivity (Lake 2003). The overall effect drought has on aquatic communities varies, and often depends on the availability of refugia and life history of the organisms (Humpheries and Baldwin 2003, Lake 2003). Macroinvertebrates, especially sensitive taxa such as stoneflies and caddisflies, can be temporarily decimated by drought conditions (Boulton 2003). The effects of a drought depend on many factors, including its severity, length, and the previous condition of the lotic system: specifically anthropogenic perturbations. Human disturbances such as impoundments can be exacerbated by drought conditions decreasing the amount of dilution for pollution sources in lotic systems. This can lower the resilience of the aquatic ecosystem (Bond *et al.* 2008), potentially worsening their effects.

The river runs for approximately 200 km in central Illinois, and its 14,000 km^2 watershed extends to 18 counties. Streams converging with the Sangamon River run through glacial and alluvial deposits, creating a low gradient stream with sand and gravel substrates. The Sangamon basin has experienced multiple point and non-point source impacts throughout the years. Land use around the river system is currently 80% agricultural, of which 85% is corn or soybeans. Bloomington, Decatur, and Springfield, are the main cities along the river and are home to more than 500,000 people. The Sangamon River immediately below Lake Decatur is influenced by impoundment, altered flow regime, and point source discharges.

Due to multiple anthropogenic influences, the biotic integrity of the Sangamon River is in constant flux. An intensive sampling program, beginning in 1998-99 and continuing from 2001-2011, was conducted to document temporal and spatial heterogeneity of an 8.5 km urban reach of the Sangamon River. Sampling began directly below the Lake Decatur Dam and continued downstream to incorporate discharges from the Sanitary District of Decatur (SDD). These studies (Fischer & Pederson 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011) were intended to characterize stream habitat quality and assess impacts from ongoing reservoir and urban management by evaluating biotic integrity at various trophic levels in the context of the physical and chemical nature of the Sangamon River.

Original sampling locations were associated with operation of the Sanitary District of Decatur that were easily identified by landmarks within the city of Decatur, Illinois, USA. Sites were established in 1998 in conjunction with combined sewage overflow (CSO) facilities and the main treatment plant. Sites are located in the mainstem of the Sangamon River extending from directly below the Lake Decatur dam to the Lincoln Memorial Highway Bridge, located five miles southwest of Decatur. Sites 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8 extend from the dam to directly above the discharge of the main treatment plant in the upstream reach, and sites 9, 11, 12, and 14 extend from the main treatment discharge to a point approximately 8 river miles downstream near Lincoln Trail Homestead State Park. Eight new sites were added to our sampling regime this year. Five sites, beginning approximately 25 miles downstream of the SDD effluent, were added to determine when mussel populations recovered dowstream. Three sites (Highway 32 bridge, Allerton Park, and Saint Lodge Park) were added in an upstream reference reach to help quantify the effects the reservoir has on the Sangamon River. The addition of these sites will give us a total of four reaches (refence above the dam, upstream above the effluent, downstream below the effluent, and recovery 25+ miles below the effluent) to compare and contrast Unionid mussel, macroinvertebrate, and fish assemblages.

The Stream Habitat Assessment Procedure (SHAP), which assesses lotic habitat quality using features considered important to biotic integrity, was performed in 1998, 2001, and 2002. At each site, two individuals assessed metrics relating to substrate and instream cover, channel morphology and hydrology, and riparian and bank features to one of four habitat quality types, following guidelines established by the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (1994). The average total score of the 15 metrics form the basis of an overall habitat quality rating for the stream reach under consideration. The SHAP was replaced with the Qualitative Habitat Evaluation Index (QHEI) starting in 2010 as a more rigorous measure of physical habitat that also incorporates an objective invertebrate sampling.

This overall physical structure provides a base for the ability of the study reach to support diverse life. Routine assessment of characteristic water quality variables combined with substrate characteristics, channel morphology, and bank features help aid in our understanding of stream systems. Analyzing specific physical and chemical variables is essential to understanding the potential for anthropogenic impacts to affect biotic integrity as organisms often exist in narrow ranges of tolerance for these variables. We have compared various physical and chemical features of the Sangamon River sites from 2002-2011. Principle components analysis (PCA) of water quality variables has routinely indicated differences between the upstream and downstream reaches at low discharge (as indicated by the USGS stream gauge at IL Route 48 Bridge). These differences became negligible at discharges exceeding 200 cfs.

Assessment of stream biota was required to determine whether differences observed in the physical and chemical habitat between the stream reaches were reflected across trophic levels. Such an evaluation involved biotic indices based upon macroinvertebrates and fish, taxa that are widely used for biotic assessments. Downstream sites typically were characterized by significantly lower MBI scores, indicating improved habitat quality capable of supporting more diverse macroinvertebrate communities. In contrast, general diversity indices (species richness, evenness) and IBI scores suggest that fish may be relatively insensitive to the environmental gradient that we studied. We concluded that sites downstream of the main treatment plant outfall from the SDD may have increased biotic integrity due to predictable instream flows and increased primary production, due in part to nutrient loading.

Stable and predictable instream flows observed in the reach within 15 miles downstream of the SDD can facilitate development of more diverse biotic communities, as seen in work conducted in other riverine systems (Sanders 1969; Fisher 1983; Peckarsky 1983; Ward & Stanford 1983; Reice 1985; Ross *et al*. 1985; Walde 1986; Resh *et al*. 1988). Drastic reduction of instream flow resulting from routine elimination of reservoir discharge was damaging to habitat quality in the upstream reaches. Differences in the overall nature of the upstream and downstream reaches become less distinct during periods of high reservoir discharge. Overall, results suggested that a flow threshold of 200 cfs exists to maintain a continuum between the upstream and downstream reaches. When flow is below 200 cfs, the reaches have discrete water quality characteristics.

Water quality may be compromised in the upstream reach of the Sangamon River, extending downstream from the dam to the main treatment plant discharge of the Sanitary District of Decatur, as a result of reservoir level maintenance management eliminating outflow. Effective management of the Sangamon River may require a continuous instream flow above the proposed threshold (200 cfs) by discharge from Lake Decatur.

The Tiered Aquatic Life Use (TALU) is a broad measure of the value of habitat and includes both biotic and abiotic values of a given resource. The TALU includes not only historic ecological indices of multiple trophic levels of biota, but economic and recreational value of an aquatic system as well. For example, although sportfish make up a small portion of the fish assemblage they almost always have the greatest economic and recreational value. Additionally, Unionid mussels have shown sensitivity to various assaults on lotic systems. Mussels can be affected by substrate type and flow (Harman 1972; Strayer 1983; Vaughn 1997; Watters 1999), and can be harmed by excessive concentrations of heavy metals, phosphorus, and nitrogen (Beckvar *et al.* 2000; Jacobson et al 1997; Mummert et al 2003; Wang et al 2007). As such, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency proposed using mussel communities in setting ammonia standards (Great Lakes Environmental Center 2005). Based on this information it is important to include these specific communities in assessment of aquatic systems.

We sought to assess the water quality, as well as the macroinvertebrate, non-game fish, sportfish, and Unionid mussel communities of the Sangamon River near Decatur, Illinois. We sampled the communities in four treatment reaches; two above and two below the Decatur Sanitary District main effluent. Although all of these metrics individually provide some measure of habitat, the combination of all data will provide a more broad analysis of multiple uses as it pertains to the TALU.

METHODS

Water Data Collection and Chemistry Determination

We collected water quality data monthly from April 2012 to March 2013. Sampling began at the Lake Decatur dam and proceeded downstream. In field, we used a YSI model 85 to measure dissolved oxygen, temperature, and specific conductivity, and a YSI model 60 to measure pH. Water samples were collected 0.3 m below the surface, returned to the lab on ice, and analyzed within accepted time limits. All analyses followed the Standard Methods for Examination of Water and Wastewater (APHA, 1995).

Suspended and total solids were determined by drying residue collected on standard glass fiber filters and unfiltered samples at 103-105 ^oC. We analyzed volatile and suspended solids by weight loss upon ignition at 550 ^oC. Total oxidized nitrogen (NO_2-N + NO_3-N) was determined using the cadmium reduction method, and ammonia nitrogen was determined with the phenate method. We used the ascorbic acid method to determine total phosphorus (following persulfate digestion) and soluble reactive phosphate (following filtration). A Beckman DU 530 Life Science UV/Vis Spectrophotometer was used for all colorimetric nutrient analyses. Hardness and alkalinity were measured using titration to colormetric endpoint methods. We considered quality control procedures during all analyses, including but not limited to parallel analyses of laboratory standards.

We calculated the averages for each variable for the upstream and downstream reaches. We performed t-tests with a significance level of P=0.05 (Zar 1996) to assess differences between upstream and downstream sites. Variables were log transformed where necessary to prevent heteroscedasticity. Principle components analysis was conducted for 16 variables after individually log transforming and normalizing the data. All analyses were performed using Primer 6.1.14 (Clarke and Warwick 2001). Variables that were highly correlated to another and thus redundant were eliminated from the analysis.

Assessment of Physical Habitat

We assessed physical habitat at low flow in summer 2012 using Ohio's Qualitative Habitat Evaluation Index: QHEI (Rankin 1996) at two sites above the Lake Decatur dam, four sites below the dam and above the effluent, three sites within 15 miles downstream of the effluent, and two sites 25+ miles downstream of the effluent (Figure 1). Each 100 m site was divided into six evenly-spaced transects. We measured substrate type and depth every five meters along the width of each transect. Between each transect, we estimated the percent of each instream cover type, the channel morphology, the amount of riparian zone and bank erosion, and the pool and riffle quality. Each section was scored out of 20, making a total possible maximum score of 100.

Assessment of Macroinvertebrate Community

Macroinvertebrates were sampled during summer 2012 using IEPA's multihabitat 20-jab method. We sampled two sites above the Lake Decatur dam, four sites below the dam and above the effluent, three sites within 15 miles downstream of the effluent, and two sites 25+ miles downstream of the effluent (Figure 1). The proportion of jabs in a specific substrate type was based on relative proportions in the Qualitative Habitat Evaluation Index (QHEI) calculated that year. We preserved the macroinvertabrates in 70% ethanol and transported them to the EIU Fisheries and Aquatic Research Lab for identification and enumeration. Macroinvertebrates were moved to fresh 70% ethanol within a week of collection to prevent degradation of the samples. We identified all macroinvertebrates to the lowest taxonomic group possible using Merritt and Cummins (1996). Voucher specimens were catalogued into the EIU invertebrate collection.

We assessed the taxonomic richness, Simpson's diversity (D), Shannon-Weiner diversity (H'), percent Ephemeroptera, Pleucoptera, and Trichoptera (EPT) taxa, and macroinvertebrate index of biotic integrity (MIBI) based on taxon-specific environmental sensitivity values using standard River watch and EPA protocols. The Simpson's diversity (D) was calculated using the formula:

$$D = \frac{1}{\Sigma p_i^2}$$

Where:

1. p_i = is the proportion of the total number of individuals comprised by species i

We calculated Shannon-Weiner diversity (H') using the formula:

$$H' = -\sum(p_i \times ln(p_i))$$

Where:

2. p_i = is the proportion of the total number individuals comprised by species i

We performed t-tests with a significance level of P=0.05 (Zar 1996) to assess differences between upstream and downstream sites.

Relative abundance of taxa was assessed using multidimensional scaling (MDS) based on Bray-Curtis similarity matrices (BC). Data were square root transformed to down-weight the influence of abundant taxa. Similarity of assemblages among sample sites was portrayed in scatter plots of the first two ordination axes. Multivariate analysis of similarity (ANOSIM) was used to determine significant differences between reaches. All analysis were performed using Primer 6.1.14 (Clarke and Warwick 2001), and significance level was set at P = 0.10.

Assessment of Unionid Mussel Community

Mussel assemblages were sampled during summer 2012 using timed hand searches. We sampled three sites above the Lake Decatur dam and four sites 25+ miles downstream of the effluent (Figure 1). Four people spread out and searched within the 100 m site at random for one hour, creating four total man hours of effort. Searches were conducted visually and tactilely. All mussels were collected in mesh bags and identified to species according to Cummings and Mayer (1992). We took length measurements in field and returned all live mussels to the river. Dead shells collected for vouchers were taken back to the lab for identification conformation and cataloging.

We calculated species richness (S), Simpson's diversity (D), Shannon-Weiner diversity (H'), and catch per unit effort (CPUE) for the mussel assemblages. Simpson's diversity and Shannon-Weiner diversity were calculated using the same formulas as outlined above. We performed one-way ANOVAs with a significance level of P=0.05 (Zar 1996) to assess differences among reaches. The below effluent reach was not included in ANOVA analyses due to the high number of zero samples. Catch per unit effort was determined as individual mussels caught per hour for the timed hand searches, and individual mussels caught per square meter for the substrate sieves.

Relative abundance of species present was examined using MDS and ANOSIM as outlined above. All analysis were performed using Primer 6.1.14 (Clarke and Warwick 2001), and significance level was set at P = 0.10.

Assessment of Sportfish Community

Conductivity was much higher in the below effluent reach (above effluent = 585.8 mS cm^{-1}, below effluent = 2015.4 mS cm^{-1}), causing electrofishing gear to be an ineffective sampling method. We attempted to sample fishes using trap nets, but it was unsuccessful. As a result, seines were used to sample all sites to compare relative densities of fishes. We sampled four treatment reaches (above the dam, above the effluent, below the effluent, and 25+ miles below the effluent) during spring 2012 using 50 foot seines (Figure 1). The above the Lake Decatur Dam reach data was excluded from all comparisons because this reach is separated from the lower reaches by the reservoir.

Kick and pull seine methods were used to sample fish in each site beginning in mid-June 2012. In the absence of a riffle, we performed 2 pull seines, which required one person to hold one end of the seine near shore, staying in place, while a second person pulled the seine out into the middle of the river and continued upstream, wrapping around to meet the person near shore. When a riffle was present we performed 1 pull and 1 kick seine. The kick seine method requires two people hold the seine downstream of the riffle, while a third person kicks beginning upstream moving in a downstream manner until the seine is reached. An estimate of relative density was calculated as catch per unit effort (CPUE) as number of fish captured per seine pull or kick. All fishes were weighed to the nearest gram and measured in total length to the nearest mm. Fish that could not be identified to species in the field were taken back to the laboratory for identification.

During high water at the end of April 2013 we were able to use alternating current (AC) boat electrofishing because the conductivity dropped to around 300 mS cm^{-1}. We were able to sample three sites in the above the effluent reach, and 3 sites in the below the effluent reach. At each site we conducted two 15 minute electrofishing runs on each shoreline for a total of 30 minutes of effort. A measure of relative density was calculated as catch per unit effort (CPUE) as fish per hour.

RESULTS

Water Data Collection and Chemistry Determination

A total of nineteen water quality variables were determined for eleven sites along the Sangamon River (Table 1). An overall pattern of parameters increasing downstream of the effluent was seen, similar to previous years. Individual t-tests indicated that temperature, conductivity, hardness, nitrates, ammonia, phosphates, and solids all increased significantly downstream of the effluent (Table 1). Suspended solids did not increase significantly downstream of the effluent, thus dissolved solids, consisting of anions, cations, and nutrients, were the main contribution to elevated total solids below the effluent.

Principle Components Analysis extracted six factors that explained 87% of the total variation in water quality of the Sangamon River during the sampling period. Upstream and downstream sites occupy discrete regions, with upstream sites showing more variation (Figure 2). Sites also grouped based on discharge and season. Upstream and downstream samples taken when flow, as measured from the Route 48 Bridge, was greater than 200 cfs grouped close together. Solids, phosphates, conductivity, and dissolved oxygen tended to vary based on reach. Temperature, ammonia, pH, and alkalinity tended to vary based on season. Hardness and nitrates tended to vary based on both reach and season. ANOSIM revealed significant differences between reaches ($R = 0.44$, $p = 0.10$) and between high and low flow regimes ($R = 0.45$, $p = 0.10$).

Assessment of Physical Habitat

Qualitative habitat scores ranged from 44 to 65 out of 100 (Figure 3). The above dam and below effluent reaches had average ratings of “good”, while the above effluent and 25+ miles below effluent reaches had average ratings of “fair”. Above effluent scores were significantly lower than scores in the above dam and below effluent reaches ($F_{3,7} = 8.99$, $p = 0.008$). Main differences between reaches included the amount of siltation and the number of riffles.

Assessment of Macroinvertebrate Community

A total of 32 different families were identified in the combined reaches sampled (Table 2). There was no significant difference between the 4 reaches for taxonomic richness, Simpson’s diversity, Shannon-Weiner diversity, percent EPT taxa, or MIBI scores (Table 3, $p > 0.05$). The reach upstream of the dam had scores indicating a higher quality assemblage for all parameters. The above-dam reach and below-effluent reach had percent EPT taxa scores a magnitude higher than the other two reaches. The above-effluent reach and 25+ below effluent reach had MIBI scores in the “very poor” category, while the above-dam reach and below-effluent reach had “poor” scores.

Multidimensional scaling revealed relative differences in taxonomic composition among the reaches (Figure 4). Clustering occurred (2D stress: 0.07) within treatment reaches and significant separation occurred among reaches (ANOSIM, R = 0.77, p = 0.1). The above dam and 25+ miles below effluent reaches were more similar to each other, as were the above effluent and below effluent reaches.

Assessment of Unionid Mussel Community

A total of 16 native species and one introduced species (*Corbicula fluminea*) were recovered (Table 4). The most common species found was *Quadrula pustulosa*, and this species, along with *Tritogonia verrucosa, Potamilus ohiensis, Lampsilis cardium,* and *Lasmigona complanata* make up 74.5% of the total individuals found. Three *Arcidens confragosus,* an uncommon species in Illinois, were found above the dam (Table 4). Relative density (CPUE) was significantly higher above the dam than 25+ miles below the effluent, but CPUE above the effluent was not significantly different from either reach (Table 5, Figure 5). Above the dam had significantly higher species richness than the above effluent and 25+ miles below effluent reaches. Simpson's and Shannon-Weiner diversity indices were not significantly different among the reaches (Table 5).

Multidimensional scaling revealed relative differences in species composition among the reaches (Figure 6). Clustering occurred (2D stress: 0.09) within treatment reaches and significant separation occurred among reaches (ANOSIM, R = 0.77, p = 0.1). The above effluent reach and 25+ miles below effluent reach did cluster together for some sites.

Assessment of Sportfish Community

We sampled a total of 11 sites, 6 upstream sites, and 5 downstream sites using seines. We sampled 2442 individuals and 25 species using seineing methods (Table 6), and 910 individuals and 28 species with AC electrofishing (Table 7). The most dominant non-sportfish species sampled were: Gizzard Shad, juvenile cyprinidae species, Sand Shiners, Bluntnose Minnows, Red Shiners, and Mosquitofish. The majority of the sportfish community was comprised of: Bluegill, Channel Catfish, Green Sunfish, White Crappie, Largemouth Bass, and Yellow Bullhead; with bluegill and channel catfish being the most numerically abundant (Table 6 and 7).

Relative density (CPUE) of all fishes using the seine was highest in the Riverton downstream site and lowest in upstream site 8 (Table 8). There was no difference in relative density of fishes among the 3 reaches below the Lake Decatur Dam ($P>0.05$). A total of 120 sportfish were sampled using seines (Table 9). The average relative density of sportfishes in the Sangamon River below the Lake Decatur Dam was 4.80 ± 1.69 fish/hour. The relative density of sportfishes was highest at site 1 (15.5 fish/hr) and the lowest was at site 14 (0 fish/hr) (Table 10).

When using AC electrofishing to sample fishes at high flow, we captured a total of 910 individuals (Table 11). Relative density using electrofishing was highest in upstream sites 3 and 5 and the lowest was at site 9 and 12 (Table 11). The average CPUE was much higher in the upstream reach (above effluent reach), but the variance was large, so there was statistically no significant difference in relative densities between the reaches ($P>0.05$) (Table 11). When comparing the relative density of fishes sampled between AC electrofishing and seining, we found that AC electrofishing was able to sample more fish per hour ($P<0.05$). However, AC electrofishing was unable to sample the smaller fishes such as minnows and shiners, which kick and pull seines were able to collect.

DISCUSSION

The primary difference between the upstream and downstream reaches is likely attributable to metrics related to reservoir discharge and inputs from the SDD main discharge. Outflow from

Lake Decatur is the primary input to the upstream reach, which is compromised as a result of management to maintain reservoir levels by eliminating outflow. The discharge from the main treatment plant of the SDD alters instream water chemistry, especially during periods of low reservoir discharge. Consistent flow downstream of the SDD's main outfall, during periods of low reservoir discharge, helps maintain the overall QHEI scores and physical habitat quality. The changes in water quality below the sanitary effluent were more pronounced this year than in previous years due to the national drought of 2012. The discharge from the dam was below 10 cfs for eight out of the twelve months sampled, and only went above 500 cfs once. This lowered flow led to ammonia and hardness, two water quality parameters that usually do not differ between reaches, to increase significantly downstream of the SDD's sanitary effluent. Sites downstream of the SDD may have greater potential for instream primary productivity, as a result of nutrient loading, particularly at low flow. This elevated productivity, as indexed by higher levels of dissolved solids, conductivity, oxidized nitrogen, and phosphorus, could in turn support more diverse macroinvertebrate and fish assemblages. Consistent high reservoir discharge would allow these factors, along with the others parameters that change downstream, to remain relatively homogenous as seen in previous years.

The macroinvertebrate communities were dominated by aquatic midges and oligochaete worms. Both taxa are common in organic rich habitats, and midges are often the most abundant taxa (Rabeni and Wang 2000). The increased abundance of aquatic worms is likely due to the drought and consequentially lowered flow in the Sangamon River. Aquatic worms have been shown to thrive in low-flow and drought conditions (Dumnicka and Koszalka 2005, Sloreid 1994). While not significant, both parameters that measured sensitive taxa, percent EPT and MIBI scores, improved above the dam and below the effluent; corresponding with significantly higher QHEI scores. Macroinvertebrate communities are typically correlated with habitat scores (Hammer and Linke 2003) and are likely responding to the improved physical habitat below the effluent as in previous years. The drought, however, led to an overall decrease in macroinvertebrate quality and quantity, and overshadowed other factors affecting community structure. Although there were large differences between reaches, using sensitive EPT taxa, there was enough variability between sites within a reach that these differences were only marginally significant. Comparison of samples from specific microhabitats, during normal flow, may allow for more detailed comparisons.

Mussel community structure above the dam was significantly different than reaches below the dam. The reduction in flow and physical barrier from upstream waters likely led to a decrease in relative density and species richness in mussel communities below the dam. This was follwed by local extinctions below the effluent. Mussel communities began to recover downstream of the effluent in species richness, diversity, and density measures. Additional input of several tributaries diluted the SDD’s main effluent’s effects and allowed mussel communities to return. The exact causes of this pattern, whether from inadequate dispersal or water quality conditions, requires further study.

The diversity of fish species was comparable to other Midwestern streams (Colombo unpublished data), with Sand Shiners, Blutnose Minnows, Red Shiners, Gizzard Shad, and Mosquitofish being the most numerically abundant non-game species and Bluegill, Channel Catfish, Green Sunfish, Largemouth Bass, and Yellow Bullhead being the most abundant sportfish species. Fairly small individuals dominated the sportfish population of the Sangamon River when using seining methods, while AC boat electrofishing sampled larger fish species such as Walleye, Gar, and Buffalo. Because of the 2012 drought, the conductivity was extremely high below the Sanitary District of Decatur effluent, making it impossible to use electrofishing gear types, until recently. Higher water caused the conductivity to drop enough (301 mS cm^{-1}) to use alternating current electrofishing. We sampled fishes with electrofishing that we were unable to collect using seines, such as Smallmouth Bass, and Freshwater Drum. Because of our differential success of sampling a wide range of species using both AC boat electrofishing and seining methods, we will continue to conduct fish sampling using various gear types during 2013 to more accurately assess all sportfishes in the Sangamon River.

LITERATURE CITED

Abramovitz, J.N. 1996. *Imperiled waters, impoverished future: the decline of freshwater ecosystems*, Worldwatch Institute, Worldwatch paper nr 128, Washington (D.C.).

APHA. 1995. *Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater*. 19th Ed. American Public Health Association, Washington, DC.

Beckvar, N., S. Salazar, M. Salazar, and K. Finkelstein. 2000. An in situ assessment of mercury contamination in the Sudbury River, Massachusetts, using transplanted freshwater mussels (*Elliptiocomplanata*). Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. 57(5): 1103-1112.

Bond, N.R., P.S. Lake, and A.H. Arthington. 2008. The impacts of drought on freshwater ecosystems: an Australian perspective. Hydrobiologia. 600(1):3-16.

Boulton, A.J. 2003. Parallels and contrasts in the effects of drought on stream macroinvertebrate assemblages. Freshwater Biology. 48(7):1173-1185.

Carpenter, K.D. and I.R. Waite. 2000. Relations of habitat-specific algal assemblages to land use and water chemistry in the Willamette Basin, Oregon. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 64, 247-257.

Clarke, K. R., and R. M. Warwick. 2001. Change in marine communities: an approach to statistical analysis and interpretation, 2nd edition. PRIMER-E Ltd, Plymouth, UK.

Collier, M., R.H. Webb, and J.C. Schmidt. 1996. *Dams and rivers: primer on the downstream effects of dams*, U.S. Geologic Survey, Circular nr 1126, Reston, VA.

Cummings, K.S. and C.A. Mayer. 1992. Field Guide to Freshwater Mussels of the Midwest. Illinois Natural History Survey, Manual 5. Champaign, IL.

Dumnicka, E. and J. Koszalka. 2005. The effect of drought on Oligochaeta communities in small woodland streams. Biologia. 60(2):143-150.

Elwood, J.W., J.D. Newbold, A.F. Trimble, and R.W. Stark. 1981. The limiting role of phosphorus in a woodland stream ecosystem: effects of P enrichment on leaf decomposition and primary producers. *Ecology*, 62, 146-158.

Finlayson, B.L., C.J. Gippel, and S.O. Brizga. 1994. Effects of reservoirs on downstream habitat. *Water*, 21, 15-20.

Fischer, R.U. and C.L. Pederson. 2003. *Biotic assessment of water quality in a reach of the Sangamon River receiving effluent from the Sanitary District of Decatur*.Report 2003, Charleston, IL.

Fisher, S.G. 1983. Succession in streams. In: *Stream ecology: application and testing of general ecological theory* (Eds. Barnes, J.R. and Minshall, G.W.) pp. 7-27. Plenum Press, New York, NY.

Great Lakes Environmental Center. 2005. Mussel Toxicity Testing Procedures Workshop. EPA Contract 68-C-04-006. Chicago, Illinois

Hammer, J. and R. Linke. 2003. Assessments of the impacts of dams on the Dupage River. The Conservation Foundation. Naperville, Illinois.

Harman, W.N. 1972. Benthic substrates: their effect of freshwater Mollusca. Ecology. 53(2): 271-277.

Humphries, P. and D.S. Baldwin. 2003. Crought and aquatic ecosystems: an introduction. Freshwater Biology. 48(7):1141-1146.

Jacobson, P. J., R. J. Neves, D. S. Cherry, and J. L. Farris. 1997. Sensitivity of glochidial stages of freshwater mussels (Bivalvia: Unionidae) to copper. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry. 16(11): 2384-2392.

Karr, J.R., R.C. Heidinger, and E.H. Helmer. 1985. Effects of chlorine and ammonia from wastewater treatment facilities on biotic integrity. *Journal of the Water Pollution Control Federation*, 57, 912-915.

Lake, P.S. 2003. Ecological effects of perturbation by drought in flowing waters. Freshwater Biology. 48(7):1161-1172.

McMahon, T.A. and B.L. Finlayson. 2003. Droughts and anti-droughts: the low flow hydrology of Australian rivers. *Freshwater Biology*, 48, 1147-1160.

Mummert, A. K., R. J. Neves, T. J. Newcomb, and D. S. Cherry. 2003. Sensitivity of juvenile freshwater mussels (*Lampsilisfasciola, Villosa iris*) to total and un-ionized ammonia. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry. 22(11): 2545-2553.

Naiman, R.J., J.J. Magnuson, D.M. McKnight, and J.A. Stanford. 1995. *The freshwater imperative: a research agenda*, Island Press, Washington (D.C.).

[NRC] National Research Council. 1992. *Restoration of aquatic systems: science, technology, and public policy*, National Academy Press, Washington (D.C.).

Peckarsky, B.L. 1983. Biotic interactions or abiotic limitations? A model lotic community structure. In: *Dynamics of lotic ecosystems* (Eds. Fontaine, T.D. III, and Bartell, S.M.) pp. 303-324. Ann Arbor Press, Ann Arbor, MI.

Poff, N.L., J.D. Allan, M.B. Bain, J.R. Karr, K.L. Prestegaard, B.D. Richter, R.E. Sparks, and J.C. Stromberg. 1997. The natural flow regime. *BioScience*, 47, 769-784.

Reice, S.R. 1985. Experimental disturbance and the maintenance of species diversity in a stream community. *Oecologia*, 67, 90-97.

Resh, V.H., A.V. Brown, A.P. Covich, M.E. Gurtz, H.W. Li, G.W. Minshall, S.R. Reice, A.L. Sheldon, J.B. Wallace, and R.C. Wissmar. 1988. The role of disturbance in stream ecology. *Journal of the North American Benthological Society*, 7, 433-455.

Ross, S.T., W.J. Matthews, and A.A. Echelle. 1985. Persistence of stream fish assemblage: effects of environmental change. *American Naturalist*, 126, 24-40.

Sanders, H.L. 1969. Benthic marine diversity and the stability time hypothesis. Brookhaven Symposium. *Biology*, 22, 71-81.

Sloreid, S-E. 1994. Oligiochaete response to changes in water flow in the Dokka Delta, Lake Randsfjorden (Norway), caused by hydroelectric power development. Developments in Hydrobiology, 95:243-249.

Stockner, J.G. and K.R.S. Shortreed. 1978. Enhancement of autotrophic production by nutrient addition in a coastal rainforest stream on Vancouver Island. *Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada*, 35, 28-34.

Strayer, D. 1983.The effects of surface geology and stream size on freshwater mussel (Bivalvia, Unionidae) distribution in southeastern Michigan, U.S.A. Freshwater Biology. 13(3): 253-264.

Twichell, S., S. Sheldon, L. Deegan, and R. Garritt. 2002. Nutrient and freshwater inputs from sewage effluent discharge alter benthic algal and in faunal communities in a tidal salt marsh creek. *The Biological Bulletin*, 203,256-258.

Vaughn, C. C. 1997. Regional patterns of mussel species distributions in North American rivers. Ecography. 20:107-115.

Walde, S.J. 1986. Effect of an abiotic disturbance on a lotic predator-prey interaction. *Oecologia*,69, 243-247.

Wang, N., C. G. Ingersoll, D. K. Hardesty, C. D. Ivey, J. L. Kunz, T. W. May, F. J. Dwyer, A. D. Roberts, T. Augspurger, C. M. Kane, R. J. Neves, and M. C. Barnhart. 2007. Acute toxicity of copper, ammonia, and chlorine to glochidia and juveniles of freshwater mussels (unionidae). Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry. 26(10): 2036-2047.

Watters, T. G. 1999. Freshwater mussels and water quality: A review of the effects of hydrologic and instream habitat alterations. Proceedings of the First Freshwater Molluck Conservation Society Symposium. 261-274.

Winterbourn, M.J. 1990. Interactions among nutrients, algae, and invertebrates in a New Zealand mountain stream. *Freshwater Biology*, 23, 463-474.

Zar, J.H. 1999. Biostatistical Analysis. Prentice-Hall, Inc. Upper Saddle River, New Jersey.

TABLES AND FIGURES

Table 1. Measured water quality variables for eleven Sangamon River sites associated with the Sanitary District of Decatur. Variables below the detection limit are indicated with a < .

Date	Site	DO mg L^{-1}	Temp (°C)	pH	Spec. Cond. mS cm^{-1}	Hard. mg L^{-1}	Total Alk. mg L^{-1}	NO_2/NO_3 mg L^{-1}	NH_4 mg L^{-1}	PO_4 total mg L^{-1}	PO_4 SRP mg L^{-1}	TSS mg L^{-1}	FSS mg L^{-1}	VSS mg L^{-1}	TDS mg L^{-1}	FDS mg L^{-1}	VDS mg L^{-1}	TS mg L^{-1}	TFS mg L^{-1}	TVS mg L^{-1}
4.2012	1	12.5	18.5	8.1	537	489.1	237.3	0.05	0.24	0.19	<	20.5	11.5	9	308.8	144.5	164.3	329.3	156	173.3
5.2012	1	4	21.8	8.5	527	379.6	223.4	0.17	0.96	0.38	0.14	39	15	24	355.7	199.7	156	394.7	214.7	180
6.2012	1	3.9	22.7	8.3	521	197.1	223.4	0.46	2.77	0.33	0.19	32.7	10.7	22	199.3	122.7	76.7	232	133.3	98.7
7.2012	1	2.8	27.5	8.4	740	146	307.1	0.16	18.43	1.55	0.92	85.9	43.5	42.4	410.1	239.1	171	496	282.7	213.3
8.2012	1	1.9	24.7	8.8	644	259.2	265.2	0.61	<	0.67	0.39	12	4	8	536	66.7	469.3	548	70.7	477.3
9.2012	1					211.7	<	0.02	0.09	0.34	0.04	30	20.7	9.3	391.3	168.7	222.7	421.3	189.3	232
10.2012	1	3.5	17.2	8.4	455	230	<	0.09	<	0.21	0.02	16	5	11	318.7	177.7	141	334.7	182.7	152
11.2012	1	2.9	11.6	8.5	2725	237.3	<	0.28	<	0.16	0.03	14	8	6	235.3	30.7	204.7	249.3	38.7	210.7
12.2012	1					288.4	<	0.16	0.11	0.13	<									
1.2013	1	2.5	0.6	9.3	316	262.8	14	3.88	0.19	0.15	<	10.4	4.7	5.7	338.9	6	332.9	349.3	10.7	338.7
2.2013	1	5.2	4	9.5	374	281.1	27.9	11.4	0.06	0.14	0.04	9.6	6	3.6	166.4	46	120.4	176	52	124
3.2013	1	2.5	4.1	9.2	376	277.4	27.9	9.25	0.04	0.17	0.03	16.8	8.8	8	109.9	116.5		126.7	125.3	1.3
4.2012	3	4.4	16.9	6.9	691	372.3	223.4	0.02	0.06	0.22	<	19.5	10	9.5	335.2	98	237.2	354.7	108	246.7
5.2012	3	5.1	22.1	8.4	526	244.6	279.2	0.27	0.66	0.28	0.11	31	12	19	169	182.7	<	200	194.7	5.3
6.2012	3	4	21.7	8.2	530	120.5	237.3	0.43	2.36	0.28	0.2	23.3	4.7	18.7	206	110	96	229.3	114.7	114.7
7.2012	3	0.8	26.5	8.1	775	164.3	293.2	0.05	5.23	0.78	0.42	32	26	6	437.3	178	259.3	469.3	204	265.3
8.2012	3	1.8	23.5	8.1	650	262.8	265.2	0.12	0.06	0.43	0.11	11.9	6	5.9	520.1	32.7	487.4	532	38.7	493.3
9.2012	3					233.6	<	0.22	0.66	0.24	<	19.3	16.7	2.7	404.7	178	226.7	424	194.7	229.3
10.2012	3	1.6	18.2	8	477	215.4	<	0.19	<	0.29	0.07	11	9	2	346.3	161.7	184.7	357.3	170.7	186.7
11.2012	3	3.1	9.2	8.5	383	284.7	<	0.51	<	0.13	<	15	9	6	341	39	302	356	48	308
12.2012	3					313.9	14	0.45	0.04	0.09	<									
1.2013	3	2.9	0.9	9	339															
2.2013	3	4.9	3.8	9	379	284.7	27.9	11.4	0.14	0.11	0.03	9.2	7.2	2	234.8	10.1	224.7	244	17.3	226.7
3.2013	3	2	4	9	383	292	27.9	9.46	0.1	0.21	0.04	17.2	8	9.2	140.1	142.7		157.3	150.7	6.7

Table 1 cont.

Date	Site	DO mg L^{-1}	Temp (°C)	pH	Spec. Cond. mS cm^{-1}	Hard. mg L^{-1}	Total Alk. mg L^{-1}	NO_2/NO_3 mg L^{-1}	NH_4 mg L^{-1}	PO_4 total mg L^{-1}	PO_4 SRP mg L^{-1}	TSS mg L^{-1}	FSS mg L^{-1}	VSS mg L^{-1}	TDS mg L^{-1}	FDS mg L^{-1}	VDS mg L^{-1}	TS mg L^{-1}	TFS mg L^{-1}	TVS mg L^{-1}
4.2012	4	6.1	16.5	7.2	657	321.2	293.2	0.26	0.51	0.19	0.05	6.5	4	2.5	354.8	93.3	261.5	361.3	97.3	264
5.2012	4	4.2	22.3	8.1	532	244.6	251.3	0.14	0.82	0.27	0.11	34	9	25	314	171	143	348	180	168
6.2012	4	3.8	23	8.2	526	116.8	223.4	0.41	2.24	0.31	0.17	33.3	7.3	26	212	110	102	245.3	117.3	128
7.2012	4	3.4	27.1	8.2	680	120.5	223.4	<	0.23	0.29	0.19	13.5	9.5	4	386.5	205.2	181.3	400	214.7	185.3
8.2012	4	1.8	24.1	8	408	157	153.6	0.06	0.26	0.35	0.2	5	4	1	333.7	9.3	324.3	338.7	13.3	325.3
9.2012	4					219	<	<	0.19	0.27	<	24	18.7	5.3	412	144	268	436	162.7	273.3
10.2012	4	1.3	17.9	8	378	182.5	<	0.28	0.15	0.33	0.16	5	4	1	249.7	124	125.7	254.7	128	126.7
11.2012	4	3.1	9.5	8.3	504	281.1	<	0.32	0.85	0.18	0.08	8	6	2	325.3	51.3	274	333.3	57.3	276
12.2012	4					324.9	<	0.23	0.1	0.2	0.03									
1.2013	4	2.9	0.3	8.9	337	270.1	14	5.33	0.18	0.12	<	12.5	4.7	7.8	344.8		346.9	357.3	2.7	354.7
2.2013	4	5.2	3.6	9	379	262.8	27.9	8.8	0.13	0.14	0.03	8.4	3.2	5.2	248.9	20.8	228.1	257.3	24	233.3
3.2013	4	2.1	4	9.1	384	281.1	27.9	9.25	0.08	0.18	0.04	16.8	6.8	10	283.2	222.5	60.7	300	229.3	70.7
4.2012	5	3.8	16.2	7.3	651	335.8	279.2	0.26	0.54	0.21	0.07	5.5	3	2.5	371.8	109	262.8	377.3	112	265.3
5.2012	5	5.2	22.2	8.5	535	244.6	237.3	0.24	0.93	0.33	0.12	32	<	32	304	224	80	336	224	112
6.2012	5	4.1	21.7	8.2	537	127.8	251.3	0.41	1.91	0.3	0.19	30	8.7	21.3	195.3	95.3	100	225.3	104	121.3
7.2012	5	4.1	27	8.2	677	116.8	237.3	0.03	0.16	0.28	0.23	12	6.5	5.5	378.7	200.2	178.5	390.7	206.7	184
8.2012	5	1.9	23	7.8	370	146	153.6	0.1	0.47	0.58	0.38	4	<	4	289.3	25.3	264	293.3	25.3	268
9.2012	5					208.1	<	<	0.22	0.23	<	21.3	15.3	6	381.3	112.7	268.7	402.7	128	274.7
10.2012	5	1.2	17.6	7.9	380	167.9	<	0.19	0.47	0.54	0.23	6	3	3	260.7	111.7	149	266.7	114.7	152
11.2012	5	2.5	8	8.2	472	262.8	<	2.45	0.66	0.21	0.11	9	7	2	324.3	65	259.3	333.3	72	261.3
12.2012	5					317.6	27.9	0.03	0.05	0.2	<									
1.2013	5	2.9	0.7	8.9	337	277.4	14	3.56	0.15	0.13	0.02	9.1	4.4	4.7	342.9	3.6	339.3	352	8	344
2.2013	5	5	3.7	8.9	380	324.9	<	13.54	0.14	0.13	0.03	12	8.4	3.6	273.3	36.9	236.4	285.3	45.3	240
3.2013	5	1.9	3.9	9.1	386	284.7	27.9	9.32	0.09	0.17	0.05	16	5.2	10.8	293.3	225.5	67.9	309.3	230.7	78.7

Table 1 cont.

Date	Site	DO mg L^{-1}	Temp (°C)	pH	Spec. Cond. mS cm^{-1}	Hard. mg L^{-1}	Total Alk. mg L^{-1}	NO_2/NO_3 mg L^{-1}	NH_4 mg L^{-1}	PO_4 total mg L^{-1}	PO_4 SRP mg L^{-1}	TSS mg L^{-1}	FSS mg L^{-1}	VSS mg L^{-1}	TDS mg L^{-1}	FDS mg L^{-1}	VDS mg L^{-1}	TS mg L^{-1}	TFS mg L^{-1}	TVS mg L^{-1}
4.2012	6	3.3	17.5	7.4	570	452.6	223.4	0.24	0.5	0.21	0.09	4.5	1.5	3	282.2	155.8	126.3	286.7	157.3	129.3
5.2012	6	5.6	22	8.4	539	288.4	223.4	0.19	0.94	0.29	0.12	37	5	32	319	292.3	26.7	356	297.3	58.7
6.2012	6	4.2	22.2	8.2	540	87.6	237.3	0.44	2.44	0.34	0.17	26	4.7	21.3	188.7	20.7	168	214.7	25.3	189.3
7.2012	6	2.2	27.6	7.8	798	167.9	293.2	0.05	0.81	0.2	0.07	13	7	6	565.7	167.7	398	578.7	174.7	404
8.2012	6	1.6	25.2	7.7	565	200.8	209.4	0.09	<	0.3	0.18	5.3	2	3.3	356	3.3	352.7	361.3	5.3	356
9.2012	6					182.5	<	<	0.46	0.18	<	18	12.7	5.3	295.3	116.7	178.7	313.3	129.3	184
10.2012	6	0.9	17.7	8.2	370	167.9	<	0.43	0.61	0.3	0.16	8	7	1	220	62.3	157.7	228	69.3	158.7
11.2012	6	2.7	7.7	8.1	468	233.6	<	0.39	0.45	0.18	0.04	6	5	1	314	69.7	244.3	320	74.7	245.3
12.2012	6					324.9	<	0.11	0.09	0.17	<									
1.2013	6	2.5	0.3	8.9	337															
2.2013	6	4.9	3.8	9	379	284.7	14	13.24	0.1	0.12	0.02	13.2	6.4	6.8	288.1	6.9	281.2	301.3	13.3	288
3.2013	6	2	4	9	385	284.7	27.9	7.92	0.21	0.16	0.05	16.4	4.8	11.6	348.9	220.5	128.4	365.3	225.3	140
4.2012	7	7.4	18.4	7.9	480	361.4	209.4	0.19	0.32	0.24	<	28	6.5	21.5	238.7	73.5	165.2	266.7	80	186.7
5.2012	7	4.9	23	8.4	460	292	251.3	0.41	0.16	0.45	0.1	51	15	36	335.7	137	198.7	386.7	152	234.7
6.2012	7	3.7	23.3	8.2	516	138.7	237.3	0.37	1.87	0.26	0.09	54	9.3	44.7	167.3	10.7	156.7	221.3	20	201.3
7.2012	7	3.7	26	8	685	157	293.2	<	0.42	0.19	0.09	10.5	5	5.5	489.5	123	366.5	500	128	372
8.2012	7	2	25.7	8.2	564	230	265.2	0.03	0.63	0.24	0.13	2	0.7	1.3	368.7	4.7	364	370.7	5.3	365.3
9.2012	7					167.9	<	0.02	0.11	0.19	<	47.3	8.7	38.7	235.3	82	153.3	282.7	90.7	192
10.2012	7	4.1	19	8.3	408	193.5	<	0.55	0.38	0.24	<	33	16	17	259	78.7	180.3	292	94.7	197.3
11.2012	7	2.8	8.4	8.1	426	204.4	<	0.28	0.22	0.12	0.03	43	2	41	214.3	84.7	129.7	257.3	86.7	170.7
12.2012	7					266.5	<	0.27	0.05	0.12	0.04									
1.2013	7	3.1	0.2	9	326	277.4	14	3.72	0.2	0.14	<	14	6.7	7.3	322	16	306	336	22.7	313.3
2.2013	7	4.9	3.7	9.1	380	262.8	<	14.77	0.11	0.13	0.05	15.6	6.8	8.8	295.1	31.9	263.2	310.7	38.7	272
3.2013	7	2.2	3.8	9	386	255.5	27.9	7.71	0.1	0.17	0.04	18.4	6	12.4	336.3	202	134.3	354.7	208	146.7

Table 1 cont.

Date	Site	DO mg L^{-1}	Temp (°C)	pH	Spec. Cond. mS cm^{-1}	Hard. mg L^{-1}	Total Alk. mg L^{-1}	NO_2/NO_3 mg L^{-1}	NH_4 mg L^{-1}	PO_4 total mg L^{-1}	PO_4 SRP mg L^{-1}	TSS mg L^{-1}	FSS mg L^{-1}	VSS mg L^{-1}	TDS mg L^{-1}	FDS mg L^{-1}	VDS mg L^{-1}	TS mg L^{-1}	TFS mg L^{-1}	TVS mg L^{-1}
4.2012	8	4.2	18	7.8	501	292	209.4	0.2	0.33	0.29	0.13	14.5	7.5	7	278.8	92.5	186.3	293.3	100	193.3
5.2012	8	5	22.4	8.4	522	251.9	237.3	0.56	0.21	0.28	0.07	39	15	24	313	110.3	202.7	352	125.3	226.7
6.2012	8	3.6	22.5	8	535	94.9	237.3	0.47	2.81	0.28	0.15	26	6	20	176.7	179.3	<	202.7	185.3	17.3
7.2012	8	3.3	27.3	8	992	164.3	335	0.21	0.58	1.23	0.7	16	7.5	8.5	608	155.2	452.8	624	162.7	461.3
8.2012	8	1.8	24.8	8.1	843	237.3	265.2	0.22	0.53	0.47	0.3	9.3	2	7.3	402.7	12.7	390	412	14.7	397.3
9.2012	8					189.8	<	0.17	1.4	0.2	0.06	12.7	8.7	4	319.3	255.3	64	332	264	68
10.2012	8	2.1	17.7	8.2	403	189.8	<	0.5	0.27	0.17	<	12	8	4	254.7	144	110.7	266.7	152	114.7
11.2012	8	2.8	8.4	8.1	375	204.4	<	0.22	0.36	0.15	0.04	11	6	5	238.3	99.3	139	249.3	105.3	144
12.2012	8					233.6	<	0.43	0.14	0.14	0.03									
1.2013	8	2.9	0.2	9	312	237.3	14	3.72	0.21	0.2	0.02	11.3	4.7	6.7	356.7	30	326.7	368	34.7	333.3
2.2013	8	5.1	3.7	9.1	380	259.2	14	14	0.15	0.12	0.03	16	6	10	344	60.7	283.3	360	66.7	293.3
3.2013	8	2	4	9	386	273.8	14	7.78	0.29	0.18	0.04	18.4	5.6	12.8	348.3	197.1	151.2	366.7	202.7	164
4.2012	9	7.3	23.6	7.6	3088	321.2	460.7	12.05	0.37	3.75	3.9	11.5	7.5	4	1863.2	180.5	1682.7	1874.7	188	1686.7
5.2012	9	5.5	24.2	8.3	1827	346.8	293.2	10.85	0.38	3.97	5.01	34	13	21	1303.3	149.7	1153.7	1337.3	162.7	1174.7
6.2012	9	3.6	25.6	8.1	2499	208.1	488.6	12.32	0.29	4.36	4.36	24	4	20	1408	286.7	1121.3	1432	290.7	1141.3
7.2012	9	4.2	29.8	8.1	4285	248.2	670.1	5.74	0.39	6.36	3.97	13	8.5	4.5	2503	319.5	2183.5	2516	328	2188
8.2012	9	1.8	29.8	8.2	4170	354.1	628.2	10.43	1.46	4.22	7.2	4.5	0.5	4	2664.8	135.5	2529.3	2669.3	136	2533.3
9.2012	9					259.2	<	8.09	3.8	5.55	6.31	13.3	7.3	6	2276	395.3	1880.7	2289.3	402.7	1886.7
10.2012	9	2.5	24.7	8.2	3150	284.7	<	16.25	0.61	2.7	5.53	11	8	3	1943.7	241.3	1702.3	1954.7	249.3	1705.3
11.2012	9	2.4	7.9	8.1	364	310.3	<	6.02	0.13	0.45	1.23	16	8	8	2358.7	181.3	2177.3	2374.7	189.3	2185.3
12.2012	9					324.9	<	5.93	0.2	3.45	3.37									
1.2013	9	2.3	4.6	8.7	890	240.9	14	5.33	0.23	0.96	1.29	35.8	3.6	32.1	456.2	44.4	411.9	492	48	444
2.2013	9	5.2	4.1	8.9	459	284.7	14	11.24	0.13	0.35	0.24	16.4	8	8.4	379.6	85.3	294.3	396	93.3	302.7
3.2013	9	1.8	4.2	9	403	255.5	14	8.55	0.05	0.36	0.2	18.8	6	12.8	393.2	211.3	181.9	412	217.3	194.7

Table 1 cont.

Date	Site	DO mg L^{-1}	Temp (°C)	pH	Spec. Cond. mS cm^{-1}	Hard. mg L^{-1}	Total Alk. mg L^{-1}	NO_2/NO_3 mg L^{-1}	NH_4 mg L^{-1}	PO_4 total mg L^{-1}	PO_4 SRP mg L^{-1}	TSS mg L^{-1}	FSS mg L^{-1}	VSS mg L^{-1}	TDS mg L^{-1}	FDS mg L^{-1}	VDS mg L^{-1}	TS mg L^{-1}	TFS mg L^{-1}	TVS mg L^{-1}
4.2012	11	7.2	23.5	7.6	2985	467.2	474.6	12.54	0.53	3.34	3.42	12	6.5	5.5	1842.7	301.5	1541.2	1854.7	308	1546.7
5.2012	11	5.3	24.3	8.3	2107	321.2	418.8	7.42	0.51	3.86	3.91	36	12	24	1280	328	952	1316	340	976
6.2012	11	3.9	25.7	8.1	2365	182.5	488.6	12.13	10.24	4.79	4.19	91.3	5.3	86	1311.3	305.3	1006	1402.7	310.7	1092
7.2012	11	4.3	30	8.1	4263	262.8	670.1	7.4	0.33	5.2	0.74	10	5	5	2696.7	323	2373.7	2706.7	328	2378.7
8.2012	11	1.8	29.9	8.2	4165	390.6	628.2	12.84	1.46	5.68	4.22	6	2	4	2588.7	95.3	2493.3	2594.7	97.3	2497.3
9.2012	11					328.5	<	7.78	4.38	6.07	6.58	12	6	6	2281.3	346	1935.3	2293.3	352	1941.3
10.2012	11	4.6	25	8.2	3228	335.8	<	18.6	0.81	3.16	3.82	10	6	4	1996.7	228.7	1768	2006.7	234.7	1772
11.2012	11	2.4	21.3	8.2	3604	321.2	<	7.21	0.15	1.61	4.43	9	3	6	2371	198.3	2172.7	2380	201.3	2178.7
12.2012	11					313.9	<	5.16	0.19	3.06	3.59									
1.2013	11	3.7	2.5	8.8	696	257.3	<	6.13	0.3	3.44	1.96	8.9	3.1	5.7	701.8	72.9	629	710.7	76	634.7
2.2013	11	5.2	4.3	8.9	443	281.1	14	11.09	0.16	0.78	0.67	16.4	8	8.4	411.6	38.7	372.9	428	46.7	381.3
3.2013	11	1.9	4.6	8.9	452	273.8	14	9.81	0.1	0.8	0.63	18.4	5.6	12.8	437.6	203.7	233.9	456	209.3	246.7
4.2012	12	7.2	22.1	7.8	2519	394.2	446.7	13.9	0.87	4.28	4.5	20	6	14	1556	282	1274	1576	288	1288
5.2012	12	5.1	25.1	8.3	2257	335.8	460.7	9.34	0.82	2.95	3.3	27	9	18	1423.7	315	1108.7	1450.7	324	1126.7
6.2012	12	3.6	26.1	8.2	2189	222.7	446.7	6.66	1.3	5.24	4.56	28.7	3.3	25.3	1259.3	244.7	1014.7	1288	248	1040
7.2012	12	5.2	29.1	8.3	4085	313.9	670.1	4.88	0.46	3.91	4.5	19	6	13	2609	294	2315	2628	300	2328
8.2012	12	1.9	28.3	8.3	4187	430.7	991.2	17.33	0.68	5.11	4.86	19	2	17	2713	134	2579	2732	136	2596
9.2012	12					354.1	<	9.85	3.27	5.81	4.83	25.3	8.7	16.7	2184	346	1838	2209.3	354.7	1854.7
10.2012	12	2.8	23	8.3	2578	303	<	13.72	0.78	2.11	3.66	10	9	1	1675.3	224.3	1451	1685.3	233.3	1452
11.2012	12	2.5	21.6	8.3	3578	321.2	<	6.83	0.14	4.37	4.15	16	3	13	2294.7	202.3	2092.3	2310.7	205.3	2105.3
12.2012	12					328.5	<	4.93	0.17	3.59	3.68									
1.2013	12	2.2	3	8.8	738	295.7	<	6.46	0.36	2.35	1.96	14.7	5.3	9.3	724	48	676	738.7	53.3	685.3
2.2013	12	5.2	4.9	9	463	310.3	27.9	13.08	0.09	0.65	0.6	15.2	6.8	8.4	419.5	59.9	359.6	434.7	66.7	368
3.2013	12	2.1	4.7	8.9	474	270.1	14	8.83	0.12	0.77	0.68	19.6	5.2	14.4	457.7	216.1	241.6	477.3	221.3	256

Table 1 cont.

Date	Site	DO mg L^{-1}	Temp (°C)	pH	Spec. Cond. mS cm^{-1}	Hard. mg L^{-1}	Total Alk. mg L^{-1}	NO_2/NO_3 mg L^{-1}	NH_4 mg L^{-1}	PO_4 total mg L^{-1}	PO_4 SRP mg L^{-1}	TSS mg L^{-1}	FSS mg L^{-1}	VSS mg L^{-1}	TDS mg L^{-1}	FDS mg L^{-1}	VDS mg L^{-1}	TS mg L^{-1}	TFS mg L^{-1}	TVS mg L^{-1}
4.2012	14	8	19.5	8	1948	292	349	7.07	3.98	3.19	4.01	25.5	7	18.5	1166.5	255.7	910.8	1192	262.7	929.3
5.2012	14	5.3	23.8	8.3	1923	350.4	404.8	7.29	0.48	3.86	4.29	34	8	26	1182	274.7	907.3	1216	282.7	933.3
6.2012	14	3.8	23.9	8.3	2310	219	474.6	9.39	2.73	3.56	5.13	168	5.3	162.7	1269.3	278.7	990.7	1437.3	284	1153.3
7.2012	14	8.8	27.7	8.6	3984	270.1	600.3	5.45	0.23	4.91	4.21	27	12.5	14.5	2595.7	328.8	2266.8	2622.7	341.3	2281.3
8.2012	14	2.3	24.6	8.6	4288	401.5	725.9	15.78	0.15	7.84	4.35	25.3	3.3	22	2744	152.7	2591.3	2769.3	156	2613.3
9.2012	14					332.2	41.9	6.12	1.31	6.46	2.94	34.7	12.7	22	2525.3	394	2131.3	2560	406.7	2153.3
10.2012	14	2.5	20.8	8.3	1980	248.2	<	7.31	0.59	3.03	4.25	25	11	14	1327	189	1138	1352	200	1152
11.2012	14	2.9	17.9	8.4	3107	332.2	<	6.09	0.23	4.37	4.1	25	6	19	2335	196.7	2138.3	2360	202.7	2157.3
12.2012	14					343.1	<	3.38	0.16	3.68	2.89									
1.2013	14	2.3	2	8.8	761	313.9	7	6.05	0.35	2.21	2.28	26.1	5.9	20.1	784.6	59.4	725.2	810.7	65.3	745.3
2.2013	14	5.1	5.1	9	486	270.1	27.9	12.93	0.1	0.85	0.74	20.8	8.4	12.4	461.9	95.6	366.3	482.7	104	378.7
3.2013	14	2	5	8.9	486	281.1	14	8.27	0.36	0.76	0.59	28.8	6	22.8	464.5	191.3	273.2	493.3	197.3	296
Upstream	Mean	3.4	14.9	8.4	524	240.9	166.5	2.4	0.8	0.3	0.1	19.3	8.2	11.3	312.9	109.6	216.7	332.2	116.1	216.1
Downstream	Mean	3.9	18.2	8.4	2244.6	306.4	354.6	9.2	1	3.4	3.4	24.6	6.5	18.1	1582.8	214.9	1367.9	1607.4	221.4	1385.9
p-value		0.079	0.012*	0.88	<0.001*	<0.001*	0.15	<0.001*	0.032*	<0.001*	<0.001*	0.09	0.39	0.012*	<0.001*	<0.001*	<0.001*	<0.001*	<0.001*	<0.001*

* Denotes significant differences between reaches.

Table 2. Summary of macroinvertebrates sampled in four reaches of the Sangamon River in summer 2012.

	Above Dam		**Above Effluent**				**Below Effluent**			**25+ Miles Below**		
	1	**3**	**5**	**6**	**7**	**8**	**9**	**10**	**11**	**15**	**16**	
Classification												**Total**
Amphipoda	8											8
Oligochaeta	2	3	13	199	15	10	2	19	31	2	13	309
Asellidae	1											1
Baetidae	13					2		3			2	20
Baetiscidae	1											1
Caenidae	20	10		2		5	1		1	1	5	45
Ceratopogonidae		1										1
Chironomidae	79	76	144	258	448	362	317	235	383	5	175	2482
Coenagrionidae	11			2		1		4			1	19
Corduliidae		1		1		2		1				5
Corixidae	2	200	125	57	24	25		1	4	435	435	1308
Dytiscidae			1									1
Elmidae	52	9						2	2		6	71
Ephemeridae		1										1
Sphaeriidae	3										1	4
Gerridae					1							1
Gomphidae	2						5	1	1		1	10
Haliplidae			1									1
Heptageniidae	6											6
Hirudinea				1		1						2
Hydrachnidiae				1								1
Hydrophilidae			1	1								2
Hydropsychidae	66						7	15	72		6	166
Hydroptilidae							1					1
Leptohyphidae	10								30		2	42
Libellulidae		1										1
Macromiidae	1											1
Physidae		2	14	9	1	1		10	2	1		40
Planorbidae			1	1				1		1		4
Polycentropodidae							2	3	2		1	8
Scirtidae	3											3
Veliidae	1											1

Table 3. Comparison of four reaches upstream and downstream of the Lake Decatur dam and SDD's sanitary effluent in the Sangamon River using aquatic macroinvertebrate community indices.

	Above Dam		**Above Effluent**		**Below Effluent**		**25+ Miles Below Effluent**		
Parameter	**Mean**	***S.E.***	**Mean**	***S.E.***	**Mean**	***S.E.***	**Mean**	***S.E.***	***P-Value***
Species Richness	14.00	*4.00*	8.25	*1.25*	9.67	*1.45*	9.00	*3.00*	*p = 0.503*
Simpson's Diversity	3.78	*1.77*	1.87	*0.37*	1.49	*0.20*	1.48	*0.43*	*p = 0.284*
Shannon-Weiner Diversity	1.52	*0.53*	0.77	*0.19*	0.71	*0.09*	0.52	*0.38*	*p = 0.236*
Percent EPT Taxa	22.45	*18.83*	0.52	*0.41*	10.09	*5.02*	1.34	*1.12*	*p = 0.056*
River Watch MIBI[a]	5.72	*0.27*	6.63	*0.38*	6.13	*0.09*	6.64	*0.46*	*p = 0.326*

[a] – lower values suggest a higher quality assemblage.

Table 4. Summary of mussels sampled using timed hand searches in two reaches of the Sangamon River during summer 2012.

	Above Dam			25+ Miles Below Effluent					
	1	2	3	12	13	14	15	16	
Species									**Total**
Amblema plicata	2	1	4						7
Arcidens confragosus	2	1							3
Fusconaia flava	6	5	1						12
Lampsilis cardium	1	16	9						26
Lasmigona complanata	8	6	3				1	6	24
Leptodea fragilis	5	6	2		5	1	1		20
Obliquaria reflexa	5	1	1				1		8
Pleurobema sintoxia	7	10	5						22
Potamilus alatus	3	2	5						10
Potamilus ohiensis	1	1		8	1	11	4	3	29
Pyganodon grandis							1	2	3
Quadrula pustulosa	142	41	31				5		219
Quadrula quadrula	6	6	2			1	1		16
Tritogonia verrucosa	40	7	16		1		1		65
Truncilla donaciformis	3	1	1						5
Truncilla truncata	13	4	1						18

Table 5. Comparison of reaches upstream and downstream of the Lake Decatur dam and SDD's sanitary effluent in the Sangamon River using Unionid mussel community indices. Below effluent reach was not included in analyses. All data were analyzed using F-test with a P = 0.05 level of significnance. Parameters with different letters indicate significant differences between reaches.

	Above Dam		Above Effluent		Below Effluent		25+ Miles Below Effluent			
Parameter	**Mean**	***S.E.***	**Mean**	***S.E.***	**Mean**	***S.E.***	**Mean**	***S.E.***	***F-Statistic***	***P-Value***
Species Richness	14.33[a]	*0.67*	7.5[b]	*1.71*	0.67	*0.67*	3.6[b]	*1.17*	$14.99_{2,9}$	*p = 0.001**
Catch per Unit Effort	36.08[a]	*12.61*	13.5[a,b]	*5.9*	0.17	*0.17*	2.7[b]	*0.37*	$6.86_{2,9}$	*p = 0.015**
Simpson's Diversity	4.21	*0.77*	3.28	*0.86*	0.67	*0.67*	2.29	*0.67*	$1.48_{2,9}$	*p =0.279*
Shannon-Weiner Diversity	1.86	*0.16*	1.41	*0.21*	0.23	*0.23*	0.83	*0.29*	$3.94_{2,9}$	*p =0.059*

*Denotes significantly different means at α = 0.05.

Table 6. Number of species sampled at each site in the Sangamon River in 2012 using pull and kick seines. Upstream and downstream is in relation to the Sanitary District of Decatur effluent.

	Above Dam	Above Effluent					Below Effluent			25+ Miles Below Effluent		
	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	15	16	
Species												**Total**
Blackstripe Topminnow (*Fundulus notatus*)		6										6
Bluegill (*Lepomis macrochirus*)		30	34	19	4	2	1	2				92
Bluntnose Minnow (*Pimephales notatus*)		3	5	97	15	2	26	3	39		263	453
Brook Silverside (*Labidesthes sicculus*)				2					5		11	18
Bullhead Minnow (*Pimephales vigilax*)			1						1	14		16
Centrarchidae Spp. Juvenile										7		7
Channel Catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*)										4	18	22
Cyprinidae Spp.Juvenile		12	2	4	3					388	207	616
Dusky Darter (*Percina sciera*)							1					1
Emerald Shiner (*Notropis atherinoides*)			2									2
Gizzard Shad (*Dorosoma cepedianum*)		3	22	9	1							35

	Above Dam	Above Effluent					Below Effluent			25+ Miles Below Effluent		
	3	**4**	**5**	**6**	**7**	**8**	**9**	**10**	**11**	**15**	**16**	
Species												**Total**
Green Sunfish (*Lepomis cyanellus*)				1								1
Johnny Darter (*Etheostoma nigrum*)			1							5		6
Largemouth Bass (*Micropterus salmoides*)							2					2
Logperch (*Percina caprodes*)		1										1
Longear Sunfish (*Lepomis megalotis*)			1									1
Mosquito Fish (*Gambusia affinis*)	44		2		53		31			24	83	237
Orangespotted Sunfish (*Lepomis humilis*)		1		1								2
Red Shiner (*Cyprinella lutrensis*)		4	34	2	19	1	38	27	113	41	169	448
Redfin Shiner (*Lythrurus umbratilis*)		4	2									6
Sand Shiner (*Notropis ludibendus*)		3			14		439		9			465

	Above Dam		Above Effluent				Below Effluent			25+ Miles Below Effluent		
	3	**4**	**5**	**6**	**7**	**8**	**9**	**10**	**11**	**15**	**16**	
Species												**Total**
Spotted Bass (*Micropterus punctulatus*)							1	1				2
White Sucker (*Catostomus commersonii*)							1					1
Yellow Bullhead (*Ameiurus natalis*)											1	1
Longear Orangespotted Sunfish Hybrid (*Lepomis megalotis X Lepomis humilis*)		1										1
Total	44	68	106	135	109	5	540	33	167	483	752	2442

Table 7. Number of species sampled at each site in the Sangamon River in 2013 using AC electrofishing. Upstream and downstream is in relation to the Sanitary District of Decatur effluent.

	Above Effluent			Below Effluent			
	5	**6**	**7**	**9**	**10**	**11**	
Species							**Total**
Bighead Carp (*Hypophthalmichthys nobilis*)					1	1	2
Bigmouth Buffalo (*Ictiobus cyprinellus*)			1				1
Black Crappie (*Pomoxis nigromaculatus*)	2	2	1	1			6
Blackstripe Topminnow (*Fundulus notatus*)			1				1
Bluegill (*Lepomis macrochirus*)	5	18	8	8	9	1	49
Bluntnose Minnow (*Pimephales notatus*)				2			2
Brook Silverside (*Labidesthes sicculus*)	9	2	3	1	3		18
Bullhead Minnow (*Pimephales vigilax*)	1						1
Channel Catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*)					2		2
Common Carp (*Cyprinus carpio*)					1		1
Freshwater Drum (*Aplodinotus grunniens*)	1	1	2	2	6	4	16

Table 7 cont.

	Above Effluent			Below Effluent			
	5	6	7	9	10	11	
Species							**Total**
Gizzard Shad (*Dorosoma cepedianum*)	278	189	77	53	85	49	731
Green Sunfish (*Lepomis cyanellus*)		5	10	1		4	20
Highfin Carpsucker				1			1
Longear Sunfish (*Lepomis megalotis*)					1	2	3
Longnose Gar (*Lepisosteus osseus*)			1				1
Orangespotted Sunfish (*Lepomis humilis*)	1						1
Red Shiner (*Cyprinella lutrensis*)				1			1
River Carpsucker (*Carpiodes carpio*)	1			2	1	3	7
Shortnose Gar (*Lepisosteus platostomus*)			1	4			5
Smallmouth Bass (*Micropterus dolomieu*)						1	1
Smallmouth Buffalo (*Ictiobus bubalus*)	2	1	2	1	3	6	15
Striped Bass (*Morone saxatilis*)		1					1

Table 7 cont.

	Above Effluent			Below Effluent			
	5	6	7	9	10	11	
Species							**Total**
Walleye (*Sander vitreus*)			1	1			2
White Bass (*Morone chrysops*)	2						2
White Crappie (*Pomoxis annularis*)	2	4	5	2	2	1	16
White/Striped Bass (*Morone chrysops/Morone saxatilis*)			1	1			2
Yellow Bass (*Morone mississippiensis*)	2			1			3
Total	306	223	114	82	114	71	910

Table 8. Relative density estimated for all fishes by fish per seine pull and total catch per site for seines on the Sangamon River during spring 2012.

Reach	Site	Total Catch	Relative Density
Above Dam	3	44	22
Above Effluent	4	68	34
	5	106	53
	6	135	67.50
	7	109	54.50
	8	5	2.5
Below Effluent	9	540	180
	10	33	11
	11	167	55.67
25+ Miles Below Effluent	15	752	376
	16	483	241.50
Total		2442	
Average ± Standard Error			99.79 ± 35.39

Table 9. Number of sport fish and non-sport fish sampled using seineing at each site in the Sangamon River in 2012. Upstream and downstream are in relation to the Sanitary District of Decatur effluent.

Reach	Site	Non-Sport fish	Sport fish	Total
Above Dam	3	44	0	44
Above Effluent	4	37	31	68
	5	72	34	106
	6	115	20	135
	7	105	4	109
	8	3	2	5
Below Effluent	9	537	3	540
	10	30	3	33
	11	167	0	167
25+ Miles Below Effluent	15	479	4	483
	16	733	19	752
Total		2322	120	2442

Table 10. Relative density estimated for sport fishes by fish per seine pull and total catch per site for seines on the Sangamon River during spring 2012.

Reach	Site	Total Catch	Relative Density
Above Dam	3	0	0
Above Effluent	4	31	15.5
	5	34	11.33
	6	20	10
	7	4	2
	8	2	0.67
Below Effluent	9	3	1
	10	3	1.50
	11	0	0
25+ Miles Below Effluent	15	19	9.5
	16	4	1.33
Total		120	
Average ± Standard Error			4.80 ± 1.69

Table 11. Total catch and relative density (fish/hour) using AC boat electrofishing in Spring 2013.

Reach	Site	Total Catch	Relative Density
Above Effluent	5	306	612
	6	223	446
	7	114	228
Below Effluent	9	82	164
	10	114	228
	11	71	142
Total		910	
Average ± Standard Error			303.33 ± 75.81



Figure 1. Map of Sangamon River sampling sites for summer 2012.



Figure 2. Principle components analysis of water quality data sampled during 2011-2012 from all mainstem sites of the Sangamon River.



Figure 3. Qualitative Habitat Evaluation Index (QHEI) scores for four reaches upstream and downstream of the Lake Decatur dam and SDD's sanitary effluent in the Sangamon River. Habitat is scored out of 100. Reaches were tested for significant differences using a one-way ANOVA with $p<0.05$ significance level.



Figure 4. Multidimensional scaling plot of macroinvertebrate communities based on Bray-Curtis similarity (2D stress = 0.07). The four reaches were significantly different (ANOSIM, $p < 0.05$).



Figure 5. Relative density as estimated by catch per unit effort (CPUE) of all mussels sampled at four reaches on the Sangamon River during summer 2011 and 2012. Catch per unit effort is mussels per hour.



Figure 6. Multidimensional scaling plot of mussel communities based on Bray-Curtis similarity (2D stress = 0.09). The different reaches were significantly different (ANOSIM, $p < 0.05$).

Exhibit 25

Biotic assessment of water quality in a stretch of the Sangamon River receiving effluent from the Sanitary District of Decatur: Focusing on chemical assessment, mussel assemblage, tiered-aquatic life use, and the sport fishery

Principal Investigators:

Robert E. Colombo, Ph.D.
Department of Biological Sciences
Eastern Illinois University
(217) 581-3011
FAX: 581-7141
recolombo@eiu.edu

Jeffrey R. Laursen, Ph.D.
Department of Biological Sciences
Eastern Illinois University
(217) 581-3914
FAX: 581-7141
jrlaursen@eiu.edu

Charles L. Pederson, Ph.D.
Department of Biological Sciences
Eastern Illinois University
(217) 581-6239
FAX: 581-7141
clpederson@eiu.edu

Research Assistants:

Sarah Huck, smhuck@eiu.edu
Amanda Wildenberg, ajwildenberg@eiu.edu

Submitted to:
Sanitary District of Decatur
501 Dipper Lane
Decatur, IL 62522

May, 2012

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

We sampled two treatment reaches of the Sangamon River for water quality, macroinvertebrate, mussel, and sportfish diversity. The two treatment reaches were upstream of the Decatur Sanitary District main discharge and downstream of the main discharge. We sampled eleven sites monthly for water quality; seven sites located in the upstream reach, which extends from the Lake Decatur Dam to the discharge of the main treatment plant of the Sanitary District of Decatur (SDD), and four sites located downstream of the SDD. Seven sites were designated to sample annually for macroinvertebrate, mussel, sportfish, and non-game fish diversity; four sites located in the upstream reach and three located in the downstream reach (Appendix 1).

Water quality in the upstream and downstream reaches differed during periods when discharge, measured at the Route 48 Bridge, was below 10 cfs. Macroinvertebrate diversity as estimated by Simpson's D and Shannon-Weiner H' showed no difference between the two reaches ($p > 0.05$). River watch MIBI scores showed no difference ($p > 0.05$), however, the upstream range was much larger, reflecting variation in habitat due to inconsistent flow. A future study comparing only high quality habitat in each reach will be conducted in summer and fall 2012.

A total of 14 mussels species were found in the upstream reach of the river. Relative density, estimated by catch per unit effort, fluctuated between upstream sites and dropped to zero in the downstream sites. Mussel diversity as estimated by Simpson's D and Shannon-Weiner H' showed significant differences between the two reaches ($p < 0.05$). Additionally, mussels showed a clumped distribution pattern within treatment reaches. We would need to sample upstream of the reservoir and further downstream to determine how our upstream sites compare to a baseline, and where mussel populations recover.

Within both treatment reaches of the Sangamon River, twenty-seven species of fish were sampled; six sportfish species were found. Sampling for channel catfish began under high water conditions (a necessity of boat AC electrofishing). During this high water event, fish were distributed throughout both treatment reaches; to provide an assessment of habitat use during periods of low to moderate flows, a tracking study could be initiated. Channel catfish were the most numerically abundant sportfish sampled and found in good condition. Sampling for all sportfish using boat AC electrofishing will take place in spring 2012. Because of high conductivity below the effluent, DC barge electrofishing was ineffective; seines were used to resample all downstream sites for a more accurate fish assessment. These findings and the sampling we will conduct summer 2012 will be used to assess the Sangamon River in regards to the Tiered Aquatic Life use (TALU).

LIST OF TABLES

LIST OF FIGURES

INTRODUCTION

Impoundments of rivers are constructed and operated for a variety of purposes including residential, commercial, and agricultural water supply; flood and debris control; and hydropower production (Kondolf 1997). Impoundments, however, may impact downstream aquatic systems and their surrounding terrestrial habitats. They can affect riverine systems by altering the flow regime, changing the sediment and nutrient loads, and modifying energy flow (Lignon *et al.* 1995). In addition, impoundments can cause diminished water quality and availability, closures of fisheries, extirpation of species, and groundwater depletion for surrounding areas (Abramovitz 1996, Collier *et al.* 1996, Naiman *et al.* 1995). As a result of impoundments, downstream reaches may no longer be able to support native species, which will be reflected by reduced integrity of biotic communities (Naiman *et al.* 1995, NRC 1992).

A critical aspect for sustaining ecosystem integrity and native biodiversity in rivers is a natural flow regime (Poff *et al.* 1997). Depending on the purpose for which the dam was built, it may have varying effects on downstream aquatic habitats. Impoundments used for urban water supplies cause a reduction in flow rates downstream the dam throughout the entire year (Finlayson *et al*. 1994), as well as increase daily and seasonal variability in flow regime (Finlayson *et al*. 1994, McMahon & Finlayson 2003). In addition, abiotic variables including temperature, dissolved oxygen, turbidity, pH, conductivity, and solids concentrations are altered in the downstream river system (Finlayson *et al.* 1994).

Along with stream and river impoundments, point source and non-point source pollution can have profound effects on the ecological integrity of the system. Non-point sources of pollution may include agriculture, livestock grazing, and urbanization, while sanitary discharge and industrial waste are considered point source pollutions. In order to reduce point source pollution, the Water Quality Act of 1972 encouraged wastewater treatment plants to upgrade their systems. As a result, many communities were forced to build advanced tertiary water treatment facilities (Karr *et al.* 1985). Although, they built advanced tertiary water treatment facilities, they still export high concentrations of nutrients into rivers. Carpenter and Waite (2000) documented that concentrations of phosphorus were highest in streams draining agricultural basins and at sites influenced by wastewater discharges, while Twichell et al (2002) reported that sewage effluent inputs had elevated nitrate levels. The enhanced nutrient inputs can be expected to increase productivity within a river because primary productivity and detrital processing usually are limited by low ambient stream nutrient concentrations (Stockner and Shortreed 1978, Elwood et al 1981, Winterbourn 1990).

The Sangamon River immediately below Lake Decatur is influenced by impoundment, altered flow regime, and point source discharges. The river runs for approximately 400 km in central Illinois, and its 14,000 km^2 watershed extends to 18 counties. Streams converging with the Sangamon run through glacial and alluvial deposits, creating a low gradient stream with sand and gravel substrates. The Sangamon basin has experienced multiple point and non-point source impacts throughout the years. Land use around the river system is currently 80% agricultural of which 85% is corn or soybeans. Bloomington, Decatur, and Springfield, with a combined population of over 500,000 people, are the main cities along the river. Lake Taylorville, Lake Sangchris, Lake Springfield, Clinton Lake, and Lake Decatur were all created by impounding the Sangamon River or its tributaries.

Due to multiple anthropogenic influences, the biotic integrity of the Sangamon River is in constant flux. An intensive sampling program, beginning in 1998-99 and continuing from 2001-2010, was conducted to document temporal and spatial heterogeneity of an 8.5 km urban reach of the Sangamon River. Sampling began directly below the Lake Decatur Dam and continued downstream to incorporate discharges from the Sanitary District of Decatur (SDD). These studies (Fischer & Pederson 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010) were intended to characterize stream habitat quality and assess impacts from ongoing reservoir and urban management by evaluating biotic integrity at various trophic levels in the context of the physical and chemical nature of the Sangamon River.

All sampling locations were associated with operation of SDD that were easily identified by landmarks within the city of Decatur, Illinois, USA (Appendix 1). Sites were established in 1998 in conjunction with combined sewage overflow (CSO) facilities and the main treatment plant. Sites are located in the mainstem of the Sangamon River extending from directly below the Lake Decatur dam to the Lincoln Memorial Highway Bridge, located five miles southwest of Decatur. Sites 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8 extend from the dam to directly above the discharge of the main treatment plant in the upstream reach, and sites 9, 11, 12, and 14 extend from the main treatment discharge to a point approximately 8 river miles downstream near Lincoln Trail Homestead State Park.

The Stream Habitat Assessment Procedure (SHAP), which assesses lotic habitat quality using features considered important to biotic integrity, was performed in 1998, 2001, and 2002. At each site, two individuals assessed metrics relating to substrate and instream cover, channel morphology and hydrology, and riparian and bank features to one of four habitat quality types, following guidelines established by the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (1994). The mean total score of the 15 metrics forms the basis of an overall habitat quality rating for the stream reach under consideration. Habitat quality of the upstream and downstream reaches was categorized as “fair” quality stream reaches indicating that the physical structure of the stream is homogenous.

This overall physical structure provides a base for the ability of the study reach to support diverse life. Routine assessment of characteristic water quality variables combined with substrate characteristics, channel morphology, and bank features can aid in understanding the functioning of stream systems. Analyzing certain physical and chemical variables is essential to understanding the potential for anthropogenic impacts to decrease biotic integrity as organisms often exist in narrow ranges of tolerance for these variables. We began routine analyses of various physical and chemical features of the Sangamon River sites from 2002-2011. Principle components analysis (PCA) of water quality variables has routinely indicated differences between the upstream and downstream reaches at low discharge (as indicated by the USGS stream gauge at IL Route 48 Bridge), which have become negligible at discharges exceeding 400 cfs.

Assessment of stream biota was required to determine whether differences observed in the physical and chemical habitat between the two stream reaches were reflected in multiple trophic levels. Such an evaluation involved biotic indices based upon macroinvertebrates and fish, taxa that are widely used for biotic assessments. Downstream sites typically were characterized by significantly lower MBI scores, indicating improved habitat quality capable of supporting more diverse or environmentally sensitive macroinvertebrate communities. In contrast, general diversity indices (species richness, evenness) and IBI scores suggest that fish may be insensitive to the environmental gradient that we studied. We concluded that sites downstream of the main treatment plant outfall from the SDD may have increased biotic integrity due to predictable instream flows and increased primary production, due in part to nutrient loading.

Stable and predictable instream flows observed in the reach downstream of the SDD can facilitate development of more diverse biotic communities, as seen in work conducted in other riverine systems (Sanders 1969; Fisher 1983; Peckarsky 1983; Ward & Stanford 1983; Reice 1985; Ross *et al*. 1985; Walde 1986; Resh *et al*. 1988). Differences in the overall nature of the upstream and downstream reaches become less distinct during periods of high reservoir discharge. Drastic reduction of instream flow, resulting from routine elimination of reservoir discharge, is damaging to habitat quality in the upstream reach. Overall, water quality results suggest that a flow threshold of 400 cfs exists to maintain a continuum between the upstream and downstream reaches. When flow is below 400 cfs, the two reaches have discrete habitat quality characteristics. Water quality may be compromised in the upstream reach of the Sangamon River, extending downstream from the dam to the main treatment plant discharge of the Sanitary District of Decatur, as a result of reservoir level maintenance management eliminating outflow. Effective management of the Sangamon River may require a continuous instream flow above the proposed threshold (400 cfs) by discharge from Lake Decatur.

Biotic assessment can be used as a stand-alone measure or as part of a larger scope. For example, Unionid mussels have shown sensitivity to various assaults on lotic systems. Mussels are affected by substrate type and flow (Harman 1972; Strayer 1983; Vaughn 1997; Watters 1999), and can be harmed by excessive concentrations of heavy metals, phosphorus, and nitrogen (Beckvar *et al.* 2000; Jacobson et al 1997; Mummert et al 2003; Wang et al 2007). As such, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency is proposing using mussel communities in setting ammonia standards (Great Lakes Environmental Center 2005). Based on this information it is important to include these specific communities in assessment of aquatic systems. The Tiered Aquatic Life Use (TALU) is a broad measure of the value of habitat and includes both biotic and abiotic values of a given resource. The TALU includes not only historic ecological indices of multiple trophic levels of biota, but economic and recreational value of an aquatic system as well. For example, although sportfish make up a small portion of the fish assemblage they almost always have the greatest economic and recreational value.

We sought to assess the water quality, as well as the macroinvertebrate, non-game fish, sportfish, and Unionid mussel communities of the Sangamon River below the Lake Decatur Dam. We sampled the communities in two treatment reaches above and below the Decatur Sanitary District main effluent. Although, all of these metrics individually provide some measure of habitat, the combination of all data will provide a more broad analysis of multiple uses as it pertains to the TALU.

METHODS

Water Data Collection and Chemistry Determination

Water quality data were collected monthly from May 2011 to March 2012. We began sampling at the Lake Decatur dam and proceeded downstream. In field, we determined abiotic variables including dissolved oxygen, temperature, pH, and conductivity using a Eureka field multiprobe and amphibian field display. Water samples were collected 0.3 m below the surface, returned to the lab on ice, and analyzed within accepted time limits. All analyses followed the Standard Methods for Examination of Water and Wastewater (APHA, 1995).

We determined suspended and total solids by drying residue collected on standard glass fiber filters and unfiltered samples at 103-105 ^{o}C. Volatile and suspended solids were determined by weight loss upon ignition at 550 ^{o}C. Total oxidized nitrogen (NO_2-N + NO_3-N) was determined using the cadmium reduction method, and ammonia nitrogen was determined with the phenate method. The ascorbic acid method was used to determine total phosphorus (following persulfate digestion) and soluble reactive phosphate (flowing filtration). We determined colorimetry of all nutrient analyses using a Beckman DU 530 Life Science UV/Vis Spectrophotometer. We measured hardness and alkalinity using titration to colormetric endpoint methods. We considered quality control procedures during all analyses, including but not limited to parallel analyses of laboratory standards.

Averages of each variable were calculated for the upstream and downstream reaches. Principle components analysis was conducted for 16 variables after individually log transforming and normalizing the data. All analyses were performed using Primer 6.1.14 (Clarke and Warwick 2001). Variables that were highly correlated to another and thus redundant were eliminated from the analysis.

Benthic Algae and Diatoms

Benthic algae will be collected from naturally occurring substrates in late summer 2011and Diatom Species Proportional Counts as described in Standard Methods for Examination of Water and Wastewater, 19th Edition (APHA-AWWA-WEF) will be performed. Appropriate indices based on relative tolerance of diatom genera will be calculated along with standard community-level variables.

Assessment of Macroinvertebrate Community

We sampled macroinvertebrates during summer 2011 using IEPA's multihabitat 20-jab method. The proportion of jabs in a specific substrate type was based on relative proportions in the Qualitative Habitat Evaluation Index (QHEI) originally calculated in spring 2010 and reestimated in 2011. Macroinvertebrates were preserved in 70% ethanol and taken back to the EIU Fisheries and Aquatic Research Lab for identification and enumeration. We moved the macroinvertebrates to fresh 70% ethanol within a week of collection to prevent degradation of the samples. All macroinvertebrates were identified to the lowest taxonomic group possible. Specimens were fixed and catalogued into the EIU invertebrate collection.

We assessed the species richness (S), Simpson's diversity (D), Shannon-Weiner diversity (H'), and macroinvertebrate index of biotic integrity (MBI) based on taxon-specific environmental sensitivity values using standard River watch and EPA protocols. The Simpson's diversity (D) was calculated using the formula:

$$D = \frac{1}{\sum p_i^2}$$

Where:

- p_i = is the proportion of the total number of individuals comprised by species i

We calculated Shannon-Weiner diversity (H') using the formula:

$$H' = -\sum \left(p_i \times ln(p_i)\right)$$

Where:

- p_i = is the proportion of the total number individuals comprised by species i

We performed t-tests with a significance level of P=0.05 (Zar 1996) to assess differences between upstream and downstream sites.

Assessment of Unionid Mussel Community

Mussel assemblages were sampled during summer 2011 using timed hand searches. Four people spread out and searched within the 100 m site at random for one hour, creating four total man hours of effort. Searches were conducted visually and tactilely. We sieved the substrate with a clam rake for smaller species and juveniles. Twenty scoops were taken at each site, targeting substrates with sand, small gravel, and clay. All mussels were collected in mesh bags and identified to species according to Cummings and Mayer (1992). We took length measurements in field and returned all live mussels to the river. Dead shells collected for vouchers were taken back to the lab for identification conformation and cataloging.

We calculated species richness (S), Simpson's diversity (D), Shannon-Weiner diversity (H'), and catch per unit effort (CPUE) for the mussel assemblages. Simpson's diversity and Shannon-Weiner diversity were calculated using the same formulas as outlined above. We performed t-tests with a significance level of P=0.05 (Zar 1996) to assess differences between upstream and downstream sites. Catch per unit effort was determined as individual mussels caught per hour for the timed hand searches, and individual mussels caught per square meter for the substrate sieves. Relative abundance of species present was examined using multidimensional scaling (MDS) based on Bray-Curtis similarity matrices (BC). Data were square root transformed to down-weight the influence of abundant taxa. Similarity of assemblages among sample sites was portrayed in scatter plots of the first two ordination axes. Multivariate analysis of similarity

(ANOSIM) was used to determine significant differences between upstream and downstream assemblages. All analysis were performed using Primer 6.1.14 (Clarke and Warwick 2001), and significance level was set at P = 0.10.

Assessment of Sportfish Community

We sampled two treatment reaches (upstream and downstream) during Spring 2011 using three gear types including; three phase alternating current (AC) electrofishing using an unbalanced three dropper electrode array, direct current (DC) electrofishing using three anodes, and 50 foot seines. Sampling using AC electrofishing was used to target channel catfish and began in mid-April at the most upstream site, sampling randomly in a downstream manner until the most downstream sight was reached. In early-August all fish were sampled using DC electrofishing at the most upstream site continuing downstream until all possible designated sites were sampled. Conductivity was much higher in the downstream reach (upstream= 585.8 mS cm^{-1}, downstream= 2015.4 mS cm^{-1}), causing the electrofishing gear to be an ineffective sampling method. As a result, seines were used to re-sample all sites in the downstream reach to compare relative densities of each gear. As an estimate of relative density for electrofishing (AC and DC), we calculated catch per unit effort (CPUE) as number of fish captured per electrofishing hour.

Kick and pull seine methods were used to sample fish in each site the downstream reach beginning in mid-August. In the absence of a riffle, we performed 2 pull seines, which required one person to hold one end of the seine near shore, staying in place, while a second person pulled the seine out into the middle of the river and continued upstream, wrapping around to meet the person near shore. When a riffle was present we performed 1 pull and 1 kick seine. The kick seine method requires two people hold the seine downstream of the riffle, while a third person kicks beginning upstream moving in a downstream manner until the seine is reached. For seining we calculated catch per unit effort (CPUE) as catch per seine pull/kick.

All fishes sampled using DC electrofishing and seine methods that could not be identified to species in the field were taken back to the laboratory for identification.

Channel catfish sampled using AC electrofishing were weighed to the nearest gram and measured to the nearest millimeter total length (TL). The left pectoral spine was removed using a disarticulation process for all catfish over 200 mm to estimate age. Spines were let dry for 24 hours, and then cleaned to prepare for cutting. At least three sections of the articulating process were cut at a width of approximately 750 microns with a Buehler IsoMet Low Speed saw. The sections were placed in mineral oil and observed under low magnification with reflected light on a dissecting microscope. The age of each fish was estimated by counting annuli in the cross section of the spine. Two independent researchers estimated the age of each catfish and disagreements in ages were reconciled by consensus.

As an index of condition, relative weight (W_r) was calculated for channel catfish. We did not include two outliers in our calculation of average relative weight. Relative weight estimates the condition of individuals based on a length specific standard weight for a species. Relative weight is calculated from the equation (Anderson and Neumann 1996):

$$W_r = \frac{W}{Ws} \times 100$$

Where:

- W = weight of an individual
- Ws = length-specific standard weight

The standard weight equation for an individual species is based on the 75^{th} percentile of different populations throughout each species range (Anderson and Neumann 1996). Relative weight scores of less than 100 suggest overabundance, while scores greater than 100 suggest poor use of available prey (Anderson and Neumann 1996).

RESULTS

Water Data Collection and Water Chemistry Determination

Nineteen variable levels were determined for eleven mainstem sites in 2011-12 (Table 1). As in previous sampling years, a general pattern of higher values at the downstream sites was apparent. Specific conductivity was significantly higher in the downstream reach, possibly related to an increase in total dissolved solids and salts. Contributions to elevated solids included nutrient loads (nitrogen and phosphorus).

PCA analysis extracted seven factors, which explained 83% of the variation in water quality observed within the Sangamon River during the sampling period. Upstream and downstream sites occupy discrete regions in the ordination space created by PCA factors 1 and 2, with lowflow samples differing by a greater degree than highflow samples (Figure 1). Ammonia, alkalinity, and suspended solids tended to vary by flow, while phosphates, total nitrogen, specific conductivity, and dissolved solids tended to vary based on the reach. ANOSIM revealed significant differences between upstream and downstream reaches at times when discharge at the Route 48 Bridge was less than 10 cfs ($\rho = 0.597$, $P = 0.01$).

Assessment of Macroinvertebrate Community

A total of 13 orders of macroinvertebrates were found at the upstream and downstream sites sampled on the Sangamon. No significant differences were found between the upstream and downstream reaches for species richness, biotic integrity, Simpson's diversity, and Shannon-Weiner diversity (Table 2, $p > 0.05$). River watch MIBI scores varied more in the upstream reach, indicating stream quality from "very poor" to "fair", while all downstream sites were in the "fair" category. Original QHEI scores tended to vary more between upstream sites. However, upstream MIBI scores did not correlate with QHEI scores, but rather with the presence or absence of riffles.

Assessment of Unionid Mussel Community

A total of 14 species of native mussels (Table 3) and 1 introduced species (*Corbicula* sp.) were recovered. The most abundant species was threeridge, and this species along with fawnsfoot, fragile papershell, pink papershell and giant floater made up 83% of total individuals found (Table 3). One rock pocketbook, an uncommon species in Illinois, was found at site 7 (Table 3). The relative density (CPUE) was highest at site 7 and lowest at site 5 among the upstream sites and dropped to zero in the downstream sites (Figure 2). The timed hand search CPUE (mussels/hour) and substrate sieve CPUE (mussels/m^2) follow the same pattern across sites, indicating even juvenile and adult recruitment (Figure 2). Species richness, Simpson's D, and Shannon-Weiner H' were all significantly higher in the upstream reach (Table 4, $p < 0.05$).

MDS revealed differences in relative abundance of species present. Clustering occurred (2D stress: 0.0) within the treatment reaches (Figure 3) as well as significant separation between the two reaches (ANOSIM, $\rho = 0.963$, $p < 0.05$).

Assessment of Sportfish Community

We sampled a total of 7 sites, 4 upstream sites, and 3 downstream sites using DC barge electrofishing and seines. In the sites, we sampled a total of 26 species (Table 5). The five most dominant species sampled were: red shiner, bullhead minnow, juvenile cyprinidae species, and gizzard shad (Table 5). The sportfish community was comprised of: bluegill, channel catfish, hybrid striped bass, and largemouth bass; with bluegill and channel catfish being the most numerically abundant (Table 5).

Relative density (CPUE) of all fishes using the seine was highest in site 7 and lowest in site 3, while relative density using DC electrofishing was highest in site 8 and lowest in site 4 (Table 6). Channel catfish in the Sangamon River were found to be in good condition, with an average relative weight of 92.19 ± 1.10 (N=164). Relative density for the channel catfish population was 29.126 fish per hour (N=166). Channel catfish had an average length of 343.21 ± 6.22 mm with a maximum of 660 mm and a minimum of 172 mm (N=166) (Figure 4.). The average age of channel catfish in the Sangamon River was 5.07 years ± 0.11, with the oldest fish being 10 years old, and the youngest being 2 years old**.** Average percent error (APE) for channel catfish ages between the two independent agers was 8.23 %. There was an 89.02% chance that the agers were within one year difference and a 34% chance that the agers agreed 100% of the time. Channel catfish need to be sampled again in order to show a complete representation of age structure of the population, but based on current data, the population is aging normally (Figure

5.). Among four sites (site 3, site 8, site 11, and site 12) 22 sportfish were sampled using a seine at an average catch of 2.23 ± 1.13 fish/hour (Table 7.). The relative density of sportfish using the seine was at site 11, while the lowest was at site 3. The average relative density for sportfish using the seine was low (2.23 ± 1.13 fish/hour), while DC electrofishing was higher (61.15 ± 33.40 fish/hour, N=138) (Table 7). Relative density of sportfish using DC electrofishing was highest at site 7, and lowest at site 11 (among a total of 6 sites: site 3, site 5, site 7, site 8, site 11, site 14) (Table 7).

DISCUSSION

The primary difference between the upstream and downstream reaches is likely attributable to metrics related to reservoir discharge and inputs from the SDD main discharge. Outflow from Lake Decatur is the primary input to the upstream reach, which is compromised as a result of management to maintain reservoir levels by eliminating outflow. Sites downstream of the SDD may have greater potential for instream primary productivity as a result of nutrient loading as indexed by higher levels of dissolved solids, conductivity, oxidized nitrogen, and phosphorus. This elevated productivity could in turn support more diverse macroinvertebrate and fish assemblages.

The discharge from the main treatment plant of the SDD alters instream water chemistry, especially during periods of low reservoir discharge. Consistent flow downstream of the SDD's main outfall, during periods of low reservoir discharge, helps maintain the overall QHEI scores and physical habitat quality. During high reservoir discharge, however, water quality over the entire study reach is relatively homogenous. This indicates that high flow can compensate for the elevated nutrient inputs.

The macroinvertebrate community was dominated by aquatic midges; a group that is indicative of organic rich habitats, and are often the most abundant taxa (Rabeni and Wang 2000). Macroinvertebrate communities are typically correlated with habitat scores (Hammer and Linke 2003). Upstream River watch MBI scores had a greater range, reflected by a greater variation in the habitat due to inconsistent flow. Future assessment of high quality benthic habitats in the two reaches will provide further insight into the effect of impoundment on the macroinvertebrate community.

The relative density of Unionid mussels in the Sangamon River varied among the upstream sites, and dropped to zero in the downstream reach. Unlike the other biota sampled, mussels showed a greater sensitivity to the effects related to the discharge of the main treatment plant of the Sanitary District of Decatur. The upstream reach was dominated by few species, and lost diversity originally present upstream of the Lake Decatur dam in historic data. We will sample further downstream of the main discharge in summer or fall 2012 to determine where mussel populations return, and upstream of the dam to assess species richness and diversity loss from the impoundment.

The diversity of fish species was comparable to other Midwestern streams (Colombo unpublished data), with red shiners, bullhead minnows, multiple cyprinidae species, and gizzard shad being the most numerically abundant non-game species and bluegill, channel catfish, hybrid striped bass, and largemouth bass being the most abundant sportfish species. Fairly small and young channel catfish dominated the sportfish population of the Sangamon River. The community of catfish was found to have a higher relative abundance compared to other Midwestern river systems (Colombo unpublished data). Although density was high, age structure did not suggest a completely unexploited system. We expected to see a population comprised of large, old fish, indicative of an unharvested population. Although no catfish was measured to be greater than 660 mm, fish tended to be in good condition, suggesting an adequate food supply for growth. Future sampling using multiple gears will allow a more accurate estimation of age structure of channel catfish; these data will be used to determine the economic value and best management strategy for the fishery in the Sangamon River. We will be conducting additional sampling during the spring of 2012 to more accurately assess all sportfishes in the Sangamon River.

LITERATURE CITED

Abramovitz, J.N. 1996. *Imperiled waters, impoverished future: the decline of freshwater ecosystems*, Worldwatch Institute, Worldwatch paper nr 128, Washington (D.C.).

Anderson, R.O., and R.M Neuman. 1996. Length, Weight, and Associated Structural Inidices. Pages 447-482 in B.R. Murphy and D.W. Willis, editors. Fisheries Techniques, 2nd edition. American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, Maryland.

APHA. 1995. *Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater*. 19th Ed. American Public Health Association, Washington, DC.

Beckvar, N., S. Salazar, M. Salazar, and K. Finkelstein. 2000. An in situ assessment of mercury contamination in the Sudbury River, Massachusetts, using transplanted freshwater mussels (*Elliptio complanata*). Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. 57(5): 1103-1112.

Carpenter, K.D. and I.R. Waite. 2000. Relations of habitat-specific algal assemblages to land use and water chemistry in the Willamette Basin, Oregon. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 64, 247-257.

Clarke, K. R., and R. M. Warwick. 2001. Change in marine communities: an approach to statistical analysis and interpretation, 2nd edition. PRIMER-E Ltd, Plymouth, UK.

Collier, M., R.H. Webb, and J.C. Schmidt. 1996. *Dams and rivers: primer on the downstream effects of dams*, U.S. Geologic Survey, Circular nr 1126, Reston, VA.

Cummings, K.S. and C.A. Mayer. 1992. Field Guide to Freshwater Mussels of the Midwest. Illinois Natural History Survey, Manual 5. Champaign, IL.

Elwood, J.W., J.D. Newbold, A.F. Trimble, and R.W. Stark. 1981. The limiting role of phosphorus in a woodland stream ecosystem: effects of P enrichment on leaf decomposition and primary producers. *Ecology*, 62, 146-158.

Finlayson, B.L., C.J. Gippel, and S.O. Brizga. 1994. Effects of reservoirs on downstream habitat. *Water*, 21, 15-20.

Fischer, R.U. and C.L. Pederson. 2003. *Biotic assessment of water quality in a reach of the Sangamon River receiving effluent from the Sanitary District of Decatur*. Report 2003, Charleston, IL.

Fisher, S.G. 1983. Succession in streams. In: *Stream ecology: application and testing of general ecological theory* (Eds. Barnes, J.R. and Minshall, G.W.) pp. 7-27. Plenum Press, New York, NY.

Great Lakes Environmental Center. 2005. Mussel Toxicity Testing Procedures Workshop. EPA Contract 68-C-04-006. Chicago, Illinois

Hammer, J. and R. Linke. 2003. Assessments of the impacts of dams on the Dupage River. The Conservation Foundation. Naperville, Illinois.

Harman, W.N. 1972. Benthic substrates: their effect of freshwater Mollusca. Ecology. 53(2): 271-277.

Jacobson, P. J., R. J. Neves, D. S. Cherry, and J. L. Farris. 1997. Sensitivity of glochidial stages of freshwater mussels (Bivalvia: Unionidae) to copper. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry. 16(11): 2384-2392.

Karr, J.R., R.C. Heidinger, and E.H. Helmer. 1985. Effects of chlorine and ammonia from wastewater treatment facilities on biotic integrity. *Journal of the Water Pollution Control Federation*, 57, 912-915.

Ligon, F.K., W.E. Dietrich, and W.J. Trush. 1995. Downstream ecological effects of dams. *BioScience*, 45, 183-192.

McMahon, T.A. and B.L. Finlayson. 2003. Droughts and anti-droughts: the low flow hydrology of Australian rivers. *Freshwater Biology*, 48, 1147-1160.

Mummert, A. K., R. J. Neves, T. J. Newcomb, and D. S. Cherry. 2003. Sensitivity of juvenile freshwater mussels (*Lampsilis fasciola*, *Villosa iris*) to total and un-ionized ammonia. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry. 22(11): 2545-2553.

Naiman, R.J., J.J. Magnuson, D.M. McKnight, and J.A. Stanford. 1995. *The freshwater imperative: a research agenda*, Island Press, Washington (D.C.).

[NRC] National Research Council. 1992. *Restoration of aquatic systems: science, technology, and public policy*, National Academy Press, Washington (D.C.).

Peckarsky, B.L. 1983. Biotic interactions or abiotic limitations? A model lotic community structure. In: *Dynamics of lotic ecosystems* (Eds. Fontaine, T.D. III, and Bartell, S.M.) pp. 303-324. Ann Arbor Press, Ann Arbor, MI.

Poff, N.L., J.D. Allan, M.B. Bain, J.R. Karr, K.L. Prestegaard, B.D. Richter, R.E. Sparks, and J.C. Stromberg. 1997. The natural flow regime. *BioScience*, 47, 769-784.

Reice, S.R. 1985. Experimental disturbance and the maintenance of species diversity in a stream community. *Oecologia*, 67, 90-97.

Resh, V.H., A.V. Brown, A.P. Covich, M.E. Gurtz, H.W. Li, G.W. Minshall, S.R. Reice, A.L. Sheldon, J.B. Wallace, and R.C. Wissmar. 1988. The role of disturbance in stream ecology. *Journal of the North American Benthological Society*, 7, 433-455.

Ross, S.T., W.J. Matthews, and A.A. Echelle. 1985. Persistence of stream fish assemblage: effects of environmental change. *American Naturalist*, 126, 24-40.

Sanders, H.L. 1969. Benthic marine diversity and the stability time hypothesis. Brookhaven Symposium. *Biology*, 22, 71-81.

Stockner, J.G. and K.R.S. Shortreed. 1978. Enhancement of autotrophic production by nutrient addition in a coastal rainforest stream on Vancouver Island. *Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada*, 35, 28-34.

Strayer, D. 1983. The effects of surface geology and stream size on freshwater mussel (Bivalvia, Unionidae) distribution in southeastern Michigan, U.S.A. Freshwater Biology. 13(3): 253-264.

Twichell, S., S. Sheldon, L. Deegan, and R. Garritt. 2002. Nutrient and freshwater inputs from sewage effluent discharge alter benthic algal and in faunal communities in a tidal salt marsh creek. *The Biological Bulletin*, 203,256-258.

Vaughn, C. C. 1997. Regional patterns of mussel species distributions in North American rivers. Ecography. 20:107-115.

Walde, S.J. 1986. Effect of an abiotic disturbance on a lotic predator-prey interaction. *Oecologia*, 69, 243-247.

Wang, N., C. G. Ingersoll, D. K. Hardesty, C. D. Ivey, J. L. Kunz, T. W. May, F. J. Dwyer, A. D. Roberts, T. Augspurger, C. M. Kane, R. J. Neves, and M. C. Barnhart. 2007. Acute toxicity of copper, ammonia, and chlorine to glochidia and juveniles of freshwater mussels (unionidae). Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry. 26(10): 2036-2047.

Watters, T. G. 1999. Freshwater mussels and water quality: A review of the effects of hydrologic and instream habitat alterations. Proceedings of the First Freshwater Molluck Conservation Society Symposium. 261-274.

Winterbourn, M.J. 1990. Interactions among nutrients, algae, and invertebrates in a New Zealand mountain stream. *Freshwater Biology*, 23, 463-474.

Zar, J.H. 1999. Biostatistical Analysis. Prentice-Hall, Inc. Upper Saddle River, New Jersey.

TABLES AND FIGURES

Table 1. Measured water quality variables for eleven Sangamon River sites associated with the Sanitary District of Decatur. Variables below the detection limit are indicated with a < .

Date	Site	DO mg L^{-1}	Temp (°C)	pH	Spec. Cond. mS cm^{-1}	Hard. mg L^{-1}	Total Alk. mg L^{-1}	NO_2/NO_3 mg L^{-1}	NH_4 mg L^{-1}	PO_4 total mg L^{-1}	PO_4 SRP mg L^{-1}	TSS mg L^{-1}	FSS mg L^{-1}	VSS mg L^{-1}	TDS mg L^{-1}	FDS mg L^{-1}	VDS mg L^{-1}	TS mg L^{-1}	TFS mg L^{-1}	TVS mg L^{-1}
5.13.2011	1	9.0	22.0	8.6	462	449	112	4.31	0.18	0.04	0.11	12.8	6.0	6.8	393.9	143.3	250.5	406.7	149.3	257.3
6.09.2011	1	8.2	26.4	8.9	429	314	112	4.97	<	0.19	0.03	18.0	15.2	2.8	424.7	134.1	290.5	442.7	149.3	293.3
7.28.2011	1	4.0	30.3	9.1	628	325	168	0.07	0.10	0.29	0.06	6.0	3.2	2.8	247.3			253.3		
8.18.2011	1	7.7	28.3	8.8	628	219	181	0.17	0.13	0.16	0.13	6.4	3.2	3.2	396.3	222.1	174.1	402.7	225.3	177.3
9.28.2011	1	12.9	2.0	9.2	628	237	195	0.05	0.07	0.06	0.05	<	0.4	<	407.7	300.9	106.8	405.3	301.3	104.0
10.25.2011	1	11.0	13.1	8.2	682	288	195	<	0.04	0.04	<	3.6	0.8	2.8	277.7	<	287.9	281.3	<	290.7
11.30.2011	1	9.5	5.1	5.5	584	223	251	0.06	0.01	0.08	<	0.0	3.5	<	302.7	283.2	19.5	302.7	286.7	16.0
12.16.2011	1	12.5	6.9	6.2	536	172	140	0.08	0.04	0.07	<	6.5	3.5	3.0	134.8	<	305.0	141.3	<	308.0
2.16.2012	1	29.4	2.0	8.5	555	277	168	0.31	0.06	0.16	0.01	21.0	10.5	10.5	356.3	86.8	269.5	377.3	97.3	280.0
3.20.2012	1	15.8	19.1	8.2	541	256	98	0.11	<	0.08	0.40	16.0	5.5	10.5	198.7	533.2	<	214.7	538.7	<
5.13.2011	3	8.2	21.5	8.6	524	314	126	4.71	0.04	0.05	0.09	16.0	6.5	9.5	400.0	125.5	274.5	416.0	132.0	284.0
6.09.2011	3	8.2	26.5	9.0	430	438	140	4.76	0.01	0.28	0.04	14.8	10.8	4.0	431.9	187.9	244.0	446.7	198.7	248.0
7.28.2011	3	2.5	26.6	8.7	760	206	161	<	0.12	0.37	0.08	<	<	3.2	328.3			266.7		
8.18.2011	3	4.1	23.5	8.6	682	234	195	0.15	0.13	0.29	0.15	14.8	5.6	9.2	411.9	189.1	222.8	426.7	194.7	232.0
9.28.2011	3	12.7	2.0	9.2	628	197	168	0.33	0.19	0.18	0.05	1.0	2.5	<	233.7	146.8	86.8	234.7	149.3	85.3
10.25.2011	3	4.5	13.0	7.9	771	358	168	0.18	0.30	0.12	<	0.8	1.6	<	368.5	<	398.1	369.3	<	397.3
11.30.2011	3	8.3	4.8	7.3	456	234	181	0.43	0.20	0.08	0.02	<	<	<	237.8	49.0	188.8	233.3	48.0	185.3
12.16.2011	3	11.8	9.7	7.3	420	212	112	0.26	0.10	0.05	<	22.0	10.0	12.0	79.3	<	246.7	101.3	<	258.7
2.16.2012	3	19.5	2.0	8.1	552	248	181	0.60	0.03	0.16	<	27.5	13.0	14.5	352.5	161.7	190.8	380.0	174.7	205.3
3.20.2012	3	5.5	18.5	8.1	698	285	195	0.03	0.00	0.13	0.03	22.0	11.5	10.5	294.0	504.5	<	316.0	516.0	<
5.13.2011	4	9.0	22.3	8.8	476	263	154	4.89	0.22	0.04	0.09	21.5	9.5	12.0	425.2	162.5	262.7	446.7	172.0	274.7
6.09.2011	4	8.2	26.5	9.0	432	219	140	6.49	<	0.19	0.01	14.5	10.3	4.2	424.2	209.7	214.5	438.7	220.0	218.7
7.28.2011	4	3.2	28.8	8.2	730	188	175	<	0.18	0.30	0.16	6.0	2.8	3.2	258.0			264.0		
8.18.2011	4	5.3	24.3	8.2	735	259	195	0.19	0.13	0.33	0.23	13.2	4.4	8.8	510.8	280.9	229.9	524.0	285.3	238.7
9.28.2011	4	12.6	2.1	9.4	629	146	140	0.58	0.93	0.21	0.05	3.5	3.0	0.5	115.2	77.0	38.2	118.7	80.0	38.7
10.25.2011	4	7.9	12.1	8.0	538	252	126	0.09	0.18	0.10	<	4.0	2.0	2.0	208.0	<	223.3	212.0	<	225.3
11.30.2011	4	9.4	4.4	7.9	476	223	195	0.36	0.43	0.11	0.03	<	1.5	<	240.5	66.5	174.0	240.0	68.0	172.0
12.16.2011	4	20.7	8.7	7.4	554	230	154	0.24	0.26	0.06	<	16.0	6.5	9.5	177.3	<	334.5	193.3	<	344.0
2.16.2012	4	19.0	2.4	8.3	560	252	154	0.78	0.03	0.15	0.10	29.5	13.0	16.5	343.8	200.3	143.5	373.3	213.3	160.0
3.20.2012	4	9.6	18.7	8.2	727	336	168	0.08	0.24	0.06	<	10.0	5.3	4.7	292.7	502.7	<	302.7	508.0	<

Table 1. Cont.

Date	Site	DO mg L^{-1}	Temp (°C)	pH	Spec. Cond. mS cm^{-1}	Hard. mg L^{-1}	Total Alk. mg L^{-1}	NO_2/NO_3 mg L^{-1}	NH_4 mg L^{-1}	PO_4 total mg L^{-1}	PO_4 SRP mg L^{-1}	TSS mg L^{-1}	FSS mg L^{-1}	VSS mg L^{-1}	TDS mg L^{-1}	FDS mg L^{-1}	VDS mg L^{-1}	TS mg L^{-1}	TFS mg L^{-1}	TVS mg L^{-1}
5.13.2011	5	8.8	22.3	8.8	482	420	126	4.71	0.13	0.05	0.10	17.5	8.5	9.0	398.5	127.5	271.0	416.0	136.0	280.0
6.09.2011	5	8.1	26.5	9.0	431	383	140	5.91	0.02	0.21	0.01	13.1	12.0	1.1	440.2	213.3	226.9	453.3	225.3	228.0
7.28.2011	5	2.4	28.2	8.0	699	224	175	0.01	0.43	0.30	0.10	<	7.2	<	430.3			266.7		
8.18.2011	5	5.8	24.7	8.6	735	248	195	0.19	0.24	0.36	0.22	13.6	6.0	7.6	434.4	258.0	176.4	448.0	264.0	184.0
9.28.2011	5	12.6	20.9	9.4	630	153	112	0.45	0.24	0.20	0.05	5.0	<	6.0	140.3	170.3	<	145.3	169.3	<
10.25.2011	5	8.8	11.5	7.9	577	259	126	0.08	0.17	0.14	<	6.0	7.5	<	264.7	1.8	262.8	270.7	9.3	261.3
11.30.2011	5	9.5	3.7	7.9	463	168	195	0.36	0.41	0.15	0.05	<	<	<	351.9	96.5	255.4	240.0	96.0	144.0
12.16.2011	5	10.8	8.7	7.5	569	223	154	0.27	0.27	0.06	<	10.0	4.0	6.0	223.3	<	315.3	233.3	<	321.3
2.16.2012	5	24.7	2.2	8.1	553	281	154	0.89	0.03	0.23	0.03	33.5	15.0	18.5	333.2	193.0	140.2	366.7	208.0	158.7
3.20.2012	5	7.3	18.2	8.0	732	256	168	0.07	0.22	0.06	<	11.3	4.7	6.7	376.7	483.3	<	388.0	488.0	<
5.13.2011	6	8.7	22.4	8.7	491	394	126	4.50	0.22	0.03	0.10	16.5	9.5	7.0	383.5	110.5	273.0	400.0	120.0	280.0
6.09.2011	6	8.0	26.5	8.9	431	208	140	7.35	0.16	0.25	0.02	13.7	10.0	3.7	427.7	219.3	208.3	441.3	229.3	212.0
7.28.2011	6	4.6	29.8	7.9	875	195	195	<	0.18	0.27	0.07	9.6	6.0	3.6	307.7			317.3		
8.18.2011	6	7.7	24.4	7.9	811	299	209	0.15	0.17	0.22	0.10	10.0	6.0	4.0	543.3	191.3	352.0	553.3	197.3	356.0
9.28.2011	6	12.7	2.0	9.3	633	226	195	0.21	0.25	0.23	0.05	20.5	<	22.5	303.5	320.7	<	324.0	318.7	5.3
10.25.2011	6	8.8	12.6	7.8	543	241	98	0.52	0.04	0.23	<	8.0	7.5	0.5	266.7	68.5	198.2	274.7	76.0	198.7
11.30.2011	6	8.5	5.3	7.8	441	157	168	0.36	0.29	0.18	0.08	3.0	<	3.5	237.0	352.5	<	240.0	352.0	<
12.16.2011	6	11.3	8.3	7.6	601	252	168	0.23	0.53	0.04	<	11.5	5.0	6.5	265.8	<	329.5	277.3	<	336.0
2.16.2012	6	19.5	2.3	8.2	563	241	168	0.80	0.04	0.20	<	28.5	12.0	16.5	322.2	157.3	164.8	350.7	169.3	181.3
3.20.2012	6	8.0	19.5	7.9	716	266	154	0.03	0.06	0.09	<	19.3	8.0	11.3	358.0	388.0	<	377.3	396.0	<
5.13.2011	7	11.5	21.6	9.0	444	369	112	3.70	0.17	<	0.07	13.0	11.0	2.0	368.3	101.0	267.3	381.3	112.0	269.3
6.09.2011	7	9.2	26.5	9.0	431	168	112	5.34	0.08	0.32	0.02	15.0	11.3	3.7	434.3	198.0	236.3	449.3	209.3	240.0
7.28.2011	7	3.9	30.3	7.9	771	217	175	<	0.08	0.29	<	18.0	6.4	11.6	234.0			252.0		
8.18.2011	7	10.4	25.2	8.5	621	208	181	0.60	0.22	0.20	0.00	22.0	9.2	12.8	396.7	222.8	173.9	418.7	232.0	186.7
9.28.2011	7	12.8	1.9	9.3	632	263	251	0.41	0.62	0.18	0.05	0.0	<	6.0	333.3	183.3	150.0	333.3	177.3	156.0
10.25.2011	7	7.2	14.1	8.0	580	266	140	0.34	0.13	0.11	<	15.3	6.0	9.3	299.3	52.7	246.7	314.7	58.7	256.0
11.30.2011	7	10.3	6.0	8.0	500	186	195	0.80	0.40	0.10	0.01	2.5	1.5	1.0	308.2	151.8	156.3	310.7	153.3	157.3
12.16.2011	7	10.9	6.7	7.8	673	299	209	0.35	0.54	<	<	3.0	3.5	<	329.0	<	357.8	332.0	<	357.3
2.16.2012	7	20.7	2.4	8.3	562	241	140	0.82	0.04	0.16	0.00	32.7	11.3	21.3	326.0	272.7	53.3	358.7	284.0	74.7
3.20.2012	7	13.8	19.4	8.6	594	219	140	<	0.00	0.16	<	167.3	22.7	144.7	208.7	326.7	<	376.0	349.3	26.7

Table 1. Cont.

Date	Site	DO mg L^{-1}	Temp (°C)	pH	Spec. Cond. mS cm^{-1}	Hard. mg L^{-1}	Total Alk. mg L^{-1}	NO_2/NO_3 mg L^{-1}	NH_4 mg L^{-1}	PO_4 total mg L^{-1}	PO_4 SRP mg L^{-1}	TSS mg L^{-1}	FSS mg L^{-1}	VSS mg L^{-1}	TDS mg L^{-1}	FDS mg L^{-1}	VDS mg L^{-1}	TS mg L^{-1}	TFS mg L^{-1}	TVS mg L^{-1}
5.13.2011	8	11.2	21.4	8.9	444	427	98	3.41	0.12	0.00	0.06	14.0	11.5	2.5	366.0	124.5	241.5	380.0	136.0	244.0
6.09.2011	8	8.3	26.5	9.0	430	113	154	6.20	0.04	0.23	0.02	16.7	42.9	<	432.6	177.1	255.5	449.3	220.0	229.3
7.28.2011	8	3.9	29.3	7.9	781	217	168	<	0.17	0.25	<	178.8	5.6	173.2	30.5			209.3		
8.18.2011	8	10.2	23.9	8.5	654	208	140	0.33	0.37	0.19	0.01	13.5	4.5	9.0	450.5	283.5	167.0	464.0	288.0	176.0
9.28.2011	8	12.8	1.8	9.3	633	223	265	0.60	0.73	0.11	0.05	<	<	2.7	312.7	267.3	45.3	309.3	261.3	48.0
10.25.2011	8	7.8	12.2	8.0	591	234	154	0.25	<	0.04	<	11.3	8.7	2.7	304.7	131.3	173.3	316.0	140.0	176.0
11.30.2011	8	8.7	4.9	7.9	549	266	237	0.45	0.54	0.08	0.02	<	2.0	<	315.0	224.7	90.3	312.0	226.7	85.3
12.16.2011	8	19.5	2.6	8.4	557	266	140	0.61	0.30	<	<	<	6.5	<	395.2	512.2	<	310.7	518.7	<
2.16.2012	8	12.3	7.8	7.9	547	230	154	0.96	0.12	0.21	<	36.7	12.7	24.0	323.3	238.0	85.3	360.0	250.7	109.3
3.20.2012	8	11.9	19.3	8.5	585	226	154	0.03	0.01	0.11	<	<	768.0	<	369.3	<	868.0	297.3	269.3	28.0
5.13.2011	9	10.6	21.9	8.7	889	485	140	4.42	0.18	1.01	0.79	55.0	26.5	28.5	578.3	102.8	475.5	633.3	129.3	504.0
6.09.2011	9	8.2	26.5	8.9	446	150	126	6.87	0.07	0.59	0.32	15.6	12.0	3.6	464.4	234.7	229.7	480.0	246.7	233.3
7.28.2011	9	5.3	31.2	7.9	3445	237	237	<	0.07	4.13	3.52	6.8	2.8	4.0	1586.5			1593.3		
8.18.2011	9	6.4	29.2	8.4	3138	245	293	9.98	0.11	2.53	3.52	5.6	<	7.2	2130.4	261.6	1868.8	2136.0	260.0	1876.0
9.28.2011	9	11.7	5.0	9.2	1112	245	363	6.39	0.77	2.16	2.48	<	<	<	1326.7	354.7	972.0	1318.7	349.3	969.3
10.25.2011	9	6.8	24.5	7.8	3561	369	293	6.44	0.17	0.53	2.24	37.3	27.3	10.0	2225.3	255.3	1970.0	2262.7	282.7	1980.0
11.30.2011	9	7.8	15.4	7.6	2069	285	419	2.71	0.34	3.00	2.27	<	3.5	<	1326.8	353.8	973.0	1325.3	357.3	968.0
12.16.2011	9	9.4	17.6	7.6	2334	285	279	4.88	0.61	2.51	2.38	9.5	7.0	2.5	1455.8	531.7	924.2	1465.3	538.7	926.7
2.16.2012	9	16.3	6.4	8.1	1231	263	209	2.86	0.09	1.80	2.41	26.7	10.7	16.0	733.3	386.7	346.7	760.0	397.3	362.7
3.20.2012	9	9.8	22.0	8.0	2972	303	307	<	0.08	0.56	2.38	23.3	12.0	11.3	1802.0	750.7	1051.3	1825.3	762.7	1062.7
5.13.2011	11	10.4	21.9	8.7	842	347	140	3.27	0.24	0.73	0.93	36.0	16.5	19.5	586.7	82.2	504.5	622.7	98.7	524.0
6.09.2011	11	8.2	25.8	8.9	528	142	126	7.45	<	0.64	0.41	51.6	17.8	33.8	511.1	246.2	264.9	562.7	264.0	298.7
7.28.2011	11	0.6	32.1	8.0	3456	224	216	<	0.09	3.59	3.84	52.4	8.8	43.6	1566.3			1618.7		
8.18.2011	11	6.8	29.2	8.5	3135	234	321	10.06	0.08	2.74	3.07	6.4	<	8.0	2205.6	249.6	1956.0	2212.0	248.0	1964.0
9.28.2011	11	11.8	4.4	9.2	1076	248	363	14.29	1.61	2.84	2.42	2.6	7.7	<	1372.1	326.9	1045.2	1374.7	334.7	1040.0
10.25.2011	11	7.2	24.0	7.9	3549	358	293	7.65	0.20	0.50	2.52	10.8	5.6	5.2	2189.2	249.1	1940.1	2200.0	254.7	1945.3
11.30.2011	11	8.1	15.1	7.7	2060	237	377	3.40	0.28	3.32	2.44	3.0	4.5	<	1309.0	415.5	893.5	1312.0	420.0	892.0
12.16.2011	11	15.2	17.7	7.7	2435	299	279	4.50	0.64	2.43	2.71	13.5	8.0	5.5	1535.8	462.7	1073.2	1549.3	470.7	1078.7
2.16.2012	11	17.8	5.7	8.1	1076	248	168	2.64	0.06	2.64	1.95	34.7	15.3	19.3	657.3	211.3	446.0	692.0	226.7	465.3
3.20.2012	11	10.0	23.3	8.3	3018	321	251	<	0.03	0.55	2.73	12.0	5.3	6.7	1892.0	660.0	1232.0	1904.0	665.3	1238.7

Table 1. Cont.

Date	Site	DO mg L^{-1}	Temp (°C)	pH	Spec. Cond. mS cm^{-1}	Hard. mg L^{-1}	Total Alk. mg L^{-1}	NO_2/NO_3 mg L^{-1}	NH_4 mg L^{-1}	PO_4 total mg L^{-1}	PO_4 SRP mg L^{-1}	TSS mg L^{-1}	FSS mg L^{-1}	VSS mg L^{-1}	TDS mg L^{-1}	FDS mg L^{-1}	VDS mg L^{-1}	TS mg L^{-1}	TFS mg L^{-1}	TVS mg L^{-1}
5.13.2011	12	9.0	22.0	8.5	709	405	126	4.06	0.15	0.52	0.72	25.5	11.0	14.5	534.5	173.0	361.5	560.0	184.0	376.0
6.09.2011	12	7.9	26.3	8.9	495	131	126	7.83	0.07	0.67	0.42	28.7	13.5	15.3	516.6	245.2	271.4	545.3	258.7	286.7
7.28.2011	12	4.3	29.7	8.0	3090	224	237	<	0.19	4.22	3.74	18.8	4.4	14.4	1393.2			1412.0		
8.18.2011	12	7.5	28.8	8.6	3092	219	293	13.69	0.17	2.55	3.43	11.2	<	12.4	2112.8	258.5	1854.3	2124.0	257.3	1866.7
9.28.2011	12	11.9	3.2	9.1	1080	219	293	17.59	0.88	0.34	2.44	13.0	<	14.5	983.0	285.5	697.5	996.0	284.0	712.0
10.25.2011	12	6.9	20.8	8.0	3459	350	279	8.20	0.25	0.57	3.52	16.5	6.0	10.5	2151.5	227.3	1924.2	2168.0	233.3	1934.7
11.30.2011	12	10.0	10.2	7.7	1464	259	321	2.37	0.36	2.60	2.39	4.0	4.0	0.0	894.7	328.0	566.7	898.7	332.0	566.7
12.16.2011	12	7.5	13.3	7.2	1786	332	251	2.58	0.36	2.64	2.21	19.5	8.5	11.0	1113.8	334.2	779.7	1133.3	342.7	790.7
2.16.2012	12	20.6	6.3	7.9	1237	274	209	2.50	0.07	2.22	2.08	32.7	14.0	18.7	744.7	242.0	502.7	777.3	256.0	521.3
3.20.2012	12	10.7	22.7	8.0	2673	325	209	<	<	0.60	2.41	14.0	8.7	5.3	1670.0	639.3	1030.7	1684.0	648.0	1036.0
5.13.2011	14	7.9	22.1	8.5	748	449	140	3.92	0.17	0.58	0.88	16.5	12.0	4.5	576.8	154.7	422.2	593.3	166.7	426.7
6.09.2011	14	7.4	26.4	8.8	496	135	140	6.30	<	0.70	0.51	27.2	15.6	11.6	547.5	273.7	273.7	574.7	289.3	285.3
7.28.2011	14	4.5	30.3	8.2	2570	219	230	<	0.01	4.10	4.69	18.8	7.6	11.2	1137.2			1156.0		
8.18.2011	14	9.2	25.8	9.0	3104	259	265	9.41	0.01	2.55	3.20	17.5	0.0	17.5	2126.5	265.3	1861.2	2144.0	265.3	1878.7
9.28.2011	14	12.6	3.0	9.3	1078	230	530	10.72	0.50	1.99	2.77	16.5	<	19.5	2188.8	595.0	1593.8	2205.3	592.0	1613.3
10.25.2011	14	9.3	15.5	8.2	2984	332	307	6.35	0.13	0.59	2.72	18.7	2.0	16.7	1820.0	262.0	1558.0	1838.7	264.0	1574.7
11.30.2011	14	9.7	9.4	7.9	1802	266	321	5.00	0.36	2.69	2.24	9.0	4.5	4.5	1073.7	276.8	796.8	1082.7	281.3	801.3
12.16.2011	14	7.4	12.0	7.7	2956	365	293	4.02	0.62	2.67	2.03	38.5	8.5	30.0	1893.5	380.8	1512.7	1932.0	389.3	1542.7
2.16.2012	14	12.8	5.4	8.1	1124	281	195	2.38	0.04	2.35	1.91	36.0	11.3	24.7	685.3	183.3	502.0	721.3	194.7	526.7
3.20.2012	14	12.4	22.3	8.2	2298	307	237	0.01	0.00	0.52	1.98	39.3	15.3	24.0	1378.0	516.7	861.3	1417.3	532.0	885.3
Upstream	Mean	10.3	15.4	8.3	585.8	254.2	161.7	1.4	0.2	0.2	0.1	19.0	20.1	13.0	323.1	214.9	224.9	332.2	223.1	204.8
Downstream	Mean	9.4	18.9	8.3	2015.4	277.7	255.1	6.2	0.3	1.9	2.3	21.7	9.9	14.0	1324.9	327.1	987.1	1345.3	335.5	998.7

Table 2. Comparison of the upstream and downstream reaches of the Sangamon River using macroinvertebrate community indices. All data were analyzed using t-test with a P = 0.05 level of significance.

Parameter	Upstream		Downstream		
	Mean	*S.E.*	Mean	*S.E.*	*P-Value*
Species Richness	6	*2.0*	7.5	*0.5*	*p = 0.54*
Simpson's Diversity	1.59	*0.34*	1.85	*0.02*	*p =0.53*
Shannon-Weiner Diversity	0.45	*0.03*	0.57	*0.09*	*p = 0.29*
River Watch MIBI[a]	7.14	*1.16*	5.52	*0.17*	*p = 0.30*

[a] – lower values suggest a higher quality assemblage.

Table 3. Summary of the mussels sampled using timed hand searches and substrate sieves on seven sites of the Sangamon River during summer 2011.

	Upstream				Downstream			
Species	Site 3	Site 5	Site 7	Site 8	Site 11	Site 12	Site 14	Total
3 ridge (*Amblema plicata*)	24	1	67	13				105
fawnsfoot (*Truncilla donaciformis*)	16	2	18	2				38
fragile papershell (*Leptodea fragilis*)	11	6	12	2				31
pink papershell (*Potamilus ohiensis*)	14	2	1	4			1	22
giant floater (*Anodonta grandis*)	9		3	2			1	15
mapleleaf (*Quadrula quadrula*)			10					10
white heelsplitter (*Lasmigona complanata*)	4		5					9
deertoe (*Truncilla truncata*)	2		5	1				8
3 horn wartyback (*Obliquaria reflexa*)	4		2	1				7
pistolgrip (*Tritogonia verrucosa*)			4					4
pimpleback (*Quadrula pustulosa*)			2					2
lilliput (*Toxolasma parvus*)	1							1
rock pocketbook (*Arcidens confragosus*)			1					1
Wabash pigtoe (*Fusconaia flava*)			1					1

Table 4. Comparison of the upstream and downstream reaches of the Sangamon River using Unionid mussel community indices. All data were analyzed using t-test with a P = 0.05 level of significance.

Parameter	Upstream		Downstream		
	Mean	*S.E.*	Mean	*S.E.*	*P-Value*
Species Richness	8.25	*1.9*	0.67	*0.67*	*p = 0.02**
Simpson's Diversity	3.71	*0.68*	0.67	*0.67*	*p =0.03**
Shannon-Weiner Diversity	1.55	*0.15*	0.23	*0.23*	*p =0.004**

*Denotes significantly different means at α = 0.05.

Table 5. Summary of the fishes sampled using DC electrofishing and seining on seven sites of the Sangamon River during spring 2011.

	Upstream				Downstream			
Species	Site 3	Site 5	Site 7	Site 8	Site 11	Site 12	Site 14	Total
Bluegill (*Lepomis macrochirus*)	3		7		3	6		19
Bluntnose Minnow (*Pimephales notatus*)			12	2	2	1		17
Brook Silverside (*Labidesthes sicculus*)	12		5	6	9	3		35
Bullhead Minnow (*Pimephales vigilax*)	2	21	123	378			18	542
Centrarchidae Spp. Juvenile				7				7
Channel Catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*)			5	1	1	1	5	13
Cyprinidae Spp.Juvenile				1		224	4	229
Dusky Darter (*Percina sciera*)						1		1
Freshwater Drum (*Aplodinotus grunniens*)	2		15					17
Gizzard Shad (*Dorosoma cepedianum*)	1	32	9		123			165
Green Sunfish (*Lepomis cyanellus*)					2	1	1	4
Hybrid Striped Bass (*Morone saxatilis* X *Morone chrysops*)		1						1
Largemouth Bass (*Micropterus salmoides*)	1					1		2
Logperch (*Percina caprodes*)			1	1		4		6
Longear Sunfish (*Lepomis megalotis*)	1							1
Mosquito Fish (*Gambusia affinis*)	1		1	2				4
Quillback (*Carpiodes cyprinus*)					1			1

Table 5. Continued

	Upstream				Downstream			
Species	Site 3	Site 5	Site 7	Site 8	Site 11	Site 12	Site 14	Total
Red Shiner (*Cyprinella lutrensis*)	28	74	199	8	13	79	199	600
River Carpsucker (*Carpiodes carpio*)					1			1
River Shiner (*Notropis blennius*)							1	1
Sand Shiner (*Notropis ludibendus*)	7	35	20	26	7	3		98
Shortnose Gar (*Lepisosteus platostomus*)		1						1
Spotfin Shiner (*Cyprinella spiloptera*)			8					8
Suckermouth Minnow (*Phenacobius mirabilis*)						3	1	4
Tadpole Madtom (*Noturus gyrinus*)							2	2
Yellow Bass (*Morone mississippienis*)				1				1
Total	58	164	405	433	162	327	231	1780

Table 6. Relative density estimated for all fishes by fish per seine pull using seining and fish per hour using DC electrofishing, as well as total catch per site for each gear type on seven sites on the Sangamon River during spring 2011.

	Seine		DC Electrofishing	
Site	CPUE (Fish/seine)	Total Catch	CPUE (Fish/hr)	Total Catch
3	29.00	58	0.037	12
5	82.00	164	0.020	110
7	197.00	394	0.048	235
8	144.33	433	0.276	23
11	75.00	150	0.147	12
12	65.40	327	N/A	N/A
14	110.50	221	0.214	19
Mean ± *S.E.*	100.46 ± 21.08		0.124 ± 0.043	
Sum		1747		411

Table 7. Relative density estimated for all sportfishes by fish per seine pull using seining and fish per hour using DC electrofishing, as well as total catch per site for each gear type on seven sites on the Sangamon River during spring 2011.

	Seine		DC Electrofishing	
Site	CPUE (Fish/Seine)	Total Catch	CPUE (Fish/hr)	Total Catch
3	0.25	12	23.33	7
5	N/A	N/A	65.46	24
7	N/A	N/A	223.20	93
8	8.00	1	30.87	8
11	5.50	2	9.93	2
12	1.71	7	N/A	N/A
14	N/A	N/A	14.12	4
Mean ± *S.E.*	2.23 ± 1.27		61.15 ± 33.40	
Sum		22		138



Figure 1. Principle components analysis of water quality data sampled during 2011-2012 from all mainstem sites of the Sangamon River.



Figure 2. Relative density as estimated by catch per unit effort (CPUE) of all mussels sampled at seven sites on the Sangamon River during summer 2011. Timed hand searches are represented with mussels per hour, and substrate sieves are represented by mussels per square meter.



Figure 3. Multidimensional scaling plot based on Bray-Curtis similarity (2D stress = 0.0). The two different reaches were significantly different (ANOSIM, $p < 0.05$).



Figure 4. Length frequency histogram for channel catfish sampled using AC electrofishing on the Sangamon River during spring 2011.



Figure 5. Mean total length at age for all channel catfish sampled using AC electrofishing on the Sangamon River during spring 2011 (N=164).

APPENDIX 1
SANGAMON RIVER SITES

Sangamon River Sampling sites (Site # based on previously completed studies)

Site 1 – Lincoln Park CSO – above outfall
Site 3 – Lincoln Park CSO – below outfall
Site 4 – Oakland CSO (Lincoln Park) - above outfall
Site 5 – Oakland CSO (Lincoln Park) – below outfall
Site 6 – 7th Ward CSO (End Sunset Dr.) – above outfall
Site 7 – 7th Ward CSO (End Sunset Dr.) - below outfall
Site 8 – Main Treatment Plant (Off Main street) – upstream of main outfall
Site 9 – Main Treatment Plant (Off Main street) –down stream of main outfall
Site 10 – Sangamon River at mouth of Stevens Creek
Site 11 – Sangamon River directly downstream of Stevens Creek
Site 12 – Bridge on Wyckles Road
Site 14 – Lincoln Trail Homestead State Park

Routine collections for water quality assessment will be conducted at all sites.

Intensive sampling for fish, macroinvertebrate, mussel, and benthic diatoms will be conducted at Sites 3, 5, 7, 8, 11, 12, and 14.

Exhibit 26

Biotic assessment of water quality in a stretch of the Sangamon River Receiving Effluent from the Sanitary District of Decatur: Focusing on qualitative habitat assessment, mussel assemblage, tiered-aquatic life use, and the sport fishery

Robert E. Colombo, Ph.D.
Department of Biological Sciences
Eastern Illinois University
(217) 581-3011
FAX: 581-7141
recolombo@eiu.edu

Jeffrey R. Laursen, Ph.D.
Department of Biological Sciences
Eastern Illinois University
(217) 581-3914
FAX: 581-7141
jrlaursen@eiu.edu

Charles L. Pederson, Ph.D.
Department of Biological Sciences
Eastern Illinois University
(217) 581-6239
FAX: 581-7141
clpederson@eiu.edu

Submitted to:
Sanitary District of Decatur
501 Dipper Lane
Decatur, IL 62522

May, 2011

{00033353.1}

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

We sampled two treatment reaches of the Sangamon River for water and habitat quality, macroinvertebrate, mussel, and sportfish diversity. The two treatment reaches were upstream of the Decatur Sanitary District main discharge and downstream of the main discharge. We sampled eleven sites monthly for water quality and designated eight sites (Appendix 1) to be sampled annually for habitat quality, macroinvertebrate, mussel, sportfish, and non-game fish diversity. Four sites were located in the reach extending from the dam which impounds Lake Decatur to the discharge of the main treatment plant of the Sanitary District of Decatur (SDD). The other four sites were located downstream of SDD.

Water quality in the upstream and downstream reaches differed only during periods when discharge, measured at the Route 48 Bridge was below 10 cfs. Habitat quality as determined by the Qualitative Habitat Evaluation Index (QHEI) was higher in the downstream reaches compared to the upstream reaches ($p < 0.05$), duein part to continuous flow provided by the sanitary district effluent. Macroinvertebrate diversity wasestimated by Simpson’s D and Shannon-Weiner H’ ($p < 0.05$); however, there was no difference in the River watch MBI between river reaches. We found a significant relationship between QHEI and macroinvertebrate Simpson’s D ($p < 0.10$). Additionally, macroinvertebrate communities showed clear clustering within treatment reaches and significant separation between reaches ($p < 0.10$). We will complete a second quantitative assessment of the macroinvertebrate community during fall 2011. Qualitative assessment of the mussel community found evidence of thirteen different species of mussels in the Sangamon. A quantitative assessment of the mussel

community will be completed during summer 2011 and 2012.

A total of five sampling sites (4 upstream; 1 downstream) was sampled for sportfish. High water prevented us from completing the sportfish sampling. We will conclude sportfish sampling as soon as the USGS route 48 gauge reaches eight feet. We sampled eight different species of sportfishes in the two treatment reaches of the Sangamon. Channel catfish were the dominant species of sportfish and relative abundance of catfish in the Sangamon was higher than in other Midwestern river systems. Sampling for sportfish began under high water conditions (a necessity of the gear). During this high water event fish were distributed throughout the treatment reaches, to provide an assessment of the habitat use during periods of low to moderate flows a tracking study could be initiated. Sampling for sportfish using boat AC electrofishing will be conducted again during spring 2012. We will complete an assessment of the non-game fish using a combination of two-man seines and DC barge shocking during summer 2011 and 2012.

In addition to these preliminary findings we will use the sampling conducted during summer 2011 and 2012 to assess the Sangamon in regards to Tiered Aquatic Life Use (TALU).

LIST OF TABLES

LIST OF FIGURES

INTRODUCTION

Impoundment of rivers to create reservoirs used for irrigation purposes, as urban water supplies, and recreation, is commonplace. However, impoundments may impact downstream aquatic systems and their surrounding terrestrial habitats. Diminished water quality and availability, closures of fisheries, extirpation of species, groundwater depletion, and more frequent and intense flooding are increasingly distinguished as consequences of current river management associated with impoundments (Abramovitz 1996, Collier *et al* 1996, Naiman *et al* 1995). Specifically, dams can affect riverine systems by altering flow regime, changing nutrient and sediment loads, and modifying energy flow (Ligon *et al* 1995). As a result, river reaches downstream from a dam may no longer able to support native species, which will be reflected by reduced integrity of biotic communities. (Naiman *et al* 1995, NRC 1992).

A natural flow regime is critical for sustaining ecosystem integrity and native biodiversity in rivers (Poff, *et al*. 1997). Dams can have varying effects on downstream aquatic habitats depending on the purpose for which the dam was built. Impoundments used for urban water supplies reduce flow rates below the dam throughout the entire year (Finlayson et al. 1994) as well as increased daily and seasonal variability in flow regime (Finlayson et al. 1994, McMahon & Finlayson 2003). In addition, abiotic variables including temperature, dissolved oxygen, turbidity, pH, conductivity, and solids concentrations are altered in the downstream river system (e.g., (Finlayson et al. 1994).

Along with stream impoundments, point source and non-point source pollution can have profound effects on the ecological integrity of river systems. Non-point sources of pollution may include agriculture, livestock grazing, and urbanization while sanitary discharge and industrial waste are examples of point source pollution. To reduce point source pollution, the Water Quality Act of 1972 encouraged wastewater treatment plants to upgrade their systems and, as a result, many communities were forced to build advanced tertiary water treatment facilities (Karr et al 1985). Yet these treatment facilities still export high concentrations of nutrients into rivers. Carpenter and Waite (2000) documented that concentrations of phosphorus were highest in streams draining agricultural basins and at sites influenced by wastewater discharges, while Twichell et al (2002) reported that sewage effluent inputs had elevated nitrate levels. These enhanced nutrient inputs can be expected to increase productivity within a river because primary productivity and detrital processing usually are limited by low ambient stream nutrient concentrations (Stockner and Shortreed 1978, Elwood et al 1981, Winterbourn 1990).

Physical habitat (e.g., flow regime, bottom substrate composition, instream cover, etc.) and chemical water quality must be suitable for support of individual species in lotic systems and maintenance of the integrity of aquatic communities. The Sangamon River immediately below the dam which impounds Lake Decatur is influenced by impoundment, altered flow regime, as well as point source discharges. The Sangamon River Basin is a 14,000 km^2 watershed covering all or portions of eighteen counties in central Illinois. More than 3540 km of streams within the basin course through glacial and alluvial deposits creating typically low gradient stream with sand and gravel substrates. Streams within the basin have been impacted for most of the past century, receiving inputs from both point and non-point sources. Current land use is 80%

agricultural of which 85% is corn or soybeans. Major metropolitan areas associated with the Sangamon River are Bloomington, Decatur, and Springfield representing a combined population of more than 500,000 residents. Impoundments associated with urbanization include Lake Taylorville, Lake Sangchris, and Lake Springfield on the tributaries South Fork of the Sangamon; Clinton Lake on Salt Creek; as well as Lake Decatur.

In this context, the biotic integrity of the Sangamon River system is in constant flux. In 1998-99 and continuing from 2001-2010, an intensive sampling program was conducted to document temporal and spatial heterogeneity of an 8.5 km urban reach of the Sangamon River beginning just below the Lake Decatur Dam and extending downstream to incorporate discharges from the Sanitary District of Decatur (SDD). These studies (Fischer & Pederson 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010) were intended to characterize stream habitat quality and to assess impacts resulting from ongoing municipal and reservoir management by evaluating biotic integrity at various trophic levels in the context of the physical and chemical nature of the Sangamon River.

All sampling locations were associated with operation of SDD that were easily identified by prominent landmarks within the City of Decatur, Illinois, USA (Appendix 1). Sites were established initially in 1998 during an assessment of potential impacts of discharges from combined sewage overflow (CSO) facilities as well as the main treatment plant. All sites were located in the mainstem of the Sangamon River extending from just downstream of the dam, which impounds Lake Decatur to the Lincoln Memorial Highway bridge approximately five miles southwest of Decatur. Sites 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8 are within the upstream reach extending from the dam to the discharge of the main treatment plant, and Sites 9, 11, 12, 13 and 14 are located in the downstream reach which extends from the main treatment plant discharge to the a point near the Lincoln Trail Homestead State Park.

The Stream Habitat Assessment Procedure (SHAP), which evaluates lotic habitat quality using features considered important to biotic integrity, was performed in 1998, 2001, and 2002. At each stream site, two individuals independently assigned metrics related to substrate and instream cover, channel morphology and hydrology and riparian and bank features to one of four habitat quality types using guidelines established by the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (1994). The mean total score of the 15 metrics forms the basis of an overall habitat quality rating for the stream reach under consideration. Habitat quality of the upstream and downstream reaches was categorized as "fair" quality stream reaches indicating that the physical structure of the stream is homogeneous.

This overall physical structure provides a backdrop for the ability of the study reach to support a diverse flora and fauna. Routine assessment of characteristic water quality variables superimposed on substrate characteristics, channel morphology and bank features can aid in understanding the functioning of stream systems. Given that organisms exist within often-narrow ranges of tolerance for certain physical and chemical characteristics of their environment, analysis of these variables is imperative for understanding the potential for anthropogenic impacts to decrease biotic integrity of natural systems. As a result, we began routine analyses of various physical and chemical features of the Sangamon River sites from 2002 -2010. Principle components analysis (PCA) of water quality variables has routinely indicated differences between upstream and downstream reaches at relatively low discharge (as indicated by the USGS stream gauge at IL Route 48 Bridge). Overall differences between upstream and downstream reaches are negligible when discharge measured at the Route 48 Bridge exceeds 400 cfs.

Qualitative evaluation of the two stream reaches required assessment of stream biota to determine whether or not differences in the two stream reaches were reflected by higher trophic levels. Such an evaluation of overall stream habitat quality involved biotic indices based upon macroinvertebrates and fish, taxa that have become widely used for biotic assessments. Downstream sites typically were characterized by significantly lower MBI scores, indicative of improved habitat quality capable of supporting diverse biota and a variety of different trophic levels. In contrast, general diversity indices (species richness, evenness) and IBI scores suggest that fish may be insensitive to the environmental gradient that we studied. Conclusions were that sites associated with the main treatment plant outfall from the SDD may have increased biotic integrity due to predictable instream flows and increased autochthonous primary production due in part to nutrient loading.

Stable and predictable instream flows observed in the reach downstream of the SDD facilitate development of more diverse biotic communities as confirmed by work conducted in other riverine systems (Sanders 1969; Fisher 1983; Peckarsky 1983; Ward & Stanford 1983; Reice 1985; Ross *et al*. 1985; Walde 1986; Resh *et al*. 1988). Difference in the overall nature of the upstream and downstream reaches becomes less distinct during periods of high reservoir discharge. Drastic reduction of instream flow resulting by routine elimination of reservoir discharge is detrimental to habitat quality in the upstream. Overall, results suggest that a threshold exists with respect to flow, i.e. periods when discharge is less than 400 cfs. When flow is below this threshold, the upstream and downstream reaches are discrete while they appear to behave as a continuum when discharge exceeds 400 cfs. This suggests that water quality is compromised in the reach of the Sangamon River extending downstream from the dam to the discharge of the main treatment plant of the Sanitary District of Decatur as a result of management to maintain reservoir levels by eliminating outflow. In contrast, effective management of Sangamon River may require maintenance of instream flow above the proposed threshold (400 cfs) by continuous discharge from Lake Decatur.

The Tiered Aquatic Life Use (TALU) is a broad measure of the value of habitat and includes both biotic and abiotic values of a given resource. In addition to historic ecological indices of macroinvertebrate and non-game fishes diversity, the TALU also includes measures of the economic and recreational value of an aquatic system. For example, although sportfish make up a small portion of the fish assemblage they almost always have the greatest economic and recreational value. Additionally, Unionid mussels are only a portion of the macroinvertebrate community; however, mussels have been shown to be sensitive to ecological impacts. As such the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency is proposing using mussel communities in setting ammonia standards (Great Lakes Environmental Center 2005). Based on this information it is important to include these specific communities in assessment of aquatic systems.

We have begun to assess the habitat quality using the Qualitative Habitat Evaluation Index (QHEI) (Rankin 1989). The QHEI was designed to quantify lotic habitats essential to biotic communities. Additionally, the QHEI has been shown to correlate with both fish IBI (Rankin 1989) and macroinvertebrate MCI (Hammer and Linke 2003). This may provide a better assessment of habitat quality for inclusion in the TALU.

We sought to assess the water and habitat quality, as well as the macroinvertebrate, non-game fish, sportfish, and unionid mussel communities of the Sangamon River. We sampled these communities in two treatment reaches above and below the Decatur Sanitary District main effluent. Although, all of these metrics individually provide some measure of habitat, the combination of all data will provide a broader analysis of multiple uses as it pertains to the TALU.

METHODS

Water Data Collection and Chemistry Determination

Water quality data were collected monthly from September 2010 to April, 2011. Sampling was initiated at the Lake Decatur dam and proceeded downstream. While in the field, abiotic variables were determined, such as dissolved oxygen, pH, conductivity, and temperature using a Eureka field multiprobe. Water samples were collected at 0.3 m below the surface and returned to the laboratory on ice and analyzed within generally accepted time limits. All sampling and analyses were conducted according to Standard Methods for Examination of Water and

Wastewater (APHA, 1995).

In the laboratory, suspended and total solids determinations were made by drying residue collected on standard glass fiber filters as well as unfiltered samples at 103-105 ^oC. Volatile and suspended solids fractions were determined by weight loss upon ignition at 550 ^oC. Total phosphorus (following persulfate digestion) and soluble reactive phosphorus (utilizing filtered, undigested, sample aliquots) were determined using the ascorbic acid method. The phenate method was used for determination of ammonia nitrogen, and total oxidized nitrogen (NO_2-N + NO_3-N) was determined via the cadmium reduction method. Colorimetry of all nutrient analyses was determined using a Beckman DU 530 Life Science UV/Vis Spectrophotometer. Alkalinity and hardness were measured by titration to colorimetric endpoint methods. For all chemical analyses, due consideration was given to quality control and quality assurance procedures, including but not limited to parallel analyses of laboratory standards.

Benthic Algae and Diatoms

Benthic algae will be collected from naturally occurring substrates in late summer 2011and Diatom Species Proportional Counts as described in Standard Methods for Examination of Water and Wastewater, 19th Edition (APHA-AWWA-WEF) will be performed. Appropriate indices based on relative tolerance of diatom genera will be calculated along with standard community-level variables.

Habitat Assessment Using the Qualitative Habitat Evaluation Index (QHEI)

We assessed the habitat quality of 8 sites (4 upstream and 4 downstream) using the Ohio EPA Qualitative Habitat Assessment Index (QHEI) (Rankin 1989). The QHEI ranks habitat on a scale from 0 to 100 with higher scores signifying better habitat quality. The ranking is based on six metrics: substrate type, instream cover, channel morphology, riparian zone, pool/riffle quality, and gradient (Rankin 1989, Rankin 2006). Each 150m site was split into eleven equidistant transects, and all 6 metrics were measured in all transects.

Assessment of Macroinvertebrate Community

Macroinvertebrates were sampled during fall 2010 using *IEPA's multihabitat 20- jab* method. The proportion of jabs in specific substrate types was based on their relative proportions in the QHEI. Macroinvertebrates were preserved in 95% ethanol and brought to the EIU Fisheries and Aquatic Research Lab for species identification and enumeration. Within one week of sampling, we exchanged the ethanol in the sample containers to ensure quality fixation. All macroinvertebrates were identified to lowest possible taxonomic group, enumerated, and referenced. Specimens were fixed and catalogued into the EIU invertebrate collection.

We assessed the species richness (S), Simpson's diversity (D), Shannon-Weiner diversity (H'), and macroinvertebrate index of biotic integrity based on standard River watch protocols. The Simpson's diversity (D) was calculated using the formula:

$$D = \frac{1}{\sum p_i^2}$$

Where:

- p_i = is the proportion of the total number individuals comprised by species i

We calculated Shannon-Weiner diversity (H') using the formula:

$$H' = -\sum(p_i \times ln(p_i))$$

Where:

- p_i = is the proportion of the total number individuals comprised by species i

Differences between upstream and downstream sites were compared using t-tests with a significance level was of P = 0.05 (Zar 1996). Relative abundance of species present was examined using multidimensional scaling (MDS) based on Bray-Curtis similarity matrices (BC). Data were square root transformed to down-weight the influence of abundant taxa. Similarity of assemblages among sample sites was portrayed in scatter plots of the first 2 ordination axes. Multivariate analysis of similarity (ANOSIM) was used to determine significance of upstream and downstream assemblages. All analyses were performed using Primer 6.3.1. (Clarke and Warwick 2001), and significance level was set at the P = 0.10. We used a linear regression to determine if QHEI was related to macroinvertebrate Simpson's D with significance set at P = 0.10.

Assessment of Unionid Mussel Community

The mussel assemblage was assessed qualitatively by visual inspection of exposed portions of the stream bed. Representative examples of dead shells (relic or recent dead) were collected from individual upstream and downstream reaches which had been evaluated using QHEI. Dead shells were returned to the EIU Fisheries and Aquatic Research Lab for identification. Live mussels were identified and returned to the stream. All identifications were to species, according to Cummings and Mayer (1992). Upstream and downstream reaches were compared based on the presence or absence of mussel species.

Assessment of Sportfish Community

We sampled two treatment reaches (Upstream and Downstream) during spring 2011 with three-phase alternating current electrofishing using a balanced three dropper electrode array. Sampling began during mid-April at the most upstream site and continued downstream until all possible designated sampling sites were sampled. At each site, sportfish were sampled along each shoreline in a downstream manner until a 200 m of stream bank was sampled, leading to about 10 minutes of effort per shoreline. As an estimate of relative density, we calculated catch per unit effort (CPUE) as number of fish captured per electrofishing hour (pedal time).

All fish species captured were weighed to the nearest g and measured to the nearest mm total length (TL). To numerically describe the size structure of the population we used proportional and relative stock density indices. The proportional stock density (PSD) is calculated by the equation:

$$PSD = \frac{Number \geq Quality\ Length}{Number \geq Stock\ Length} \times 100$$

Where:

- Stock Length = 20-26% of the world record length

- Quality Length = 36-41% of the world record length

The relative stock density (RSD) is calculated form the equation:

$$RSD = \frac{Number\ \geq Specified\ Length\ (Preferred, Memorable, or\ Trophy)}{Number\ \geq Stock\ Length} \times 100$$

Where:

- Stock Length = 20-26% of the world record length
- Specified Length = Preferred (45-55%), Memorable (59-64%), or Trophy (74-80%)

As an index of condition, relative weight (W_r) was calculated for each sportfish species (largemouth bass, bluegill, green sunfish, white and black crappie, walleye, hybrid striped bass, and channel and flathead catfish). Relative weight estimates the condition (plumpness) of individuals based on a length specific standard weight for a species. Relative weight is calculated from the equation (Anderson and Neumann 1996):

$$Wr = \frac{W}{Ws} \times 100$$

Where:

- W = weight of an individual
- Ws = length-specific standard weight

The standard weight equation for an individual species is based on the 75th percentile of different populations throughout each species range (Anderson and Neumann 1996). Relative weight scores of less than 100 suggests overabundance while scores greater than 100 suggests poor use of available prey (Anderson and Neumann 1996).

For largemouth bass, bluegill, green sunfish, hybrid striped bass, and black and white crappie the sagittal otoliths were removed. Two independent researchers will estimate the age of these fishes in double blind fashion. We will estimate fish age from the otolith with a dissecting microscope equipped with a top-mounted digital camera. For channel catfish, we will estimate the age from annual rings on the pectoral spine. From each channel catfish the articulating process of the spine will be sectioned to 0.5 mm with a Beuhler low speed isomet saw and used to estimate age. Disagreements in age estimates for all species will be reconciled by consensus between the two readers. In a small percentage of fish artifacts on the otolith or spine prevent that structure from being used for aging. If disagreements cannot be reconciled the sample will be discarded. Due to small sample sizes all walleye were released unharmed.

RESULTS

Field Data Collection and Water Chemistry Determination

Levels of 19 separate water quality variables were determined for eleven mainstem sites in 2011 (Table 1). The trend established in prior sampling years continued throughout this recent sampling period, with levels for each of the variables being generally higher in downstream locations. Aggregate measures of solids, both suspended and dissolved, were elevated as a result of discharge from the main treatment plant. Higher concentrations of fixed dissolved solids downstream of the SDD discharge were confirmed by elevated specific conductance. Primary contributors to the elevated solids content include plant macronutrients (nitrate, ammonia, and different forms of phosphate) as well as other minerals, e.g., calcium and magnesium measured as hardness.

Given that some measures were determined to be redundant or uninformative, they were eliminated from multivariate analysis (e.g., conductivity and total dissolved solids are highly correlated). PCA analysis was conducted using 12 measured variables. PCA analysis extracted 5 factors, which explained a total of 86 % of the variation in water quality observed within the Sangamon River during the sampling period. Upstream and downstream sites occupy discrete regions in the ordination space created by PCA Factors 1 and 2 (Figure 1). ANOSIM revealed significant differences between the upstream and downstream reaches at times when discharge at the Route 48 Bridge was less than 10 cfs ($\rho = .639$, $P < 0.0001$).

Habitat Assessment Using the Qualitative Habitat Assessment Index (QHEI)

The QHEI scores ranged from 42 (poor) to 65.5 (good) with the highest quality habitat occurring in the site directly downstream of the effluent and the lowest quality habitat occurring in the site directly upstream of the effluent (Figure 2). Overall, habitat quality based on QHEI scores was higher in the downstream treatment reach compared to the upstream reach (Figure 3; $p < 0.05$). Whereas the average QHEI in the upstream reach suggests fair habitat quality, the average QHEI in the downstream reach suggests good habitat quality (Rankin 2006).

Assessment of Macroinvertebrate Community

A total of 25 different taxa of macroinvertebrates comprising ten different orders was sampled from the eight different sites on the Sangamon. Although, there was no significant difference in the species richness ($p > 0.05$) and biotic integrity ($p > 0.05$) between the two reaches (Upstream and Downstream) both Simpson's D ($p < 0.05$) and Shannon-Weiner H' ($p < 0.05$) were higher in the downstream reach (Table 2). Additionally, there were significant differences in the relative abundance of species present. We found clear clustering (2D Stress: 0.05) within treatment reaches (Figure 4) and significant separation between the two reaches (ANOSIM, $p < 0.10$). Additionally, macroinvertebrate diversity (Simpson's D) increased linearly with habitat quality as estimated by QHEI (Figure 4; $P < 0.10$).

Assessment of Unionid Mussel Community

A total of 13 species of native mussels (Table 3) and 1 introduced species (*Corbicula* sp.) were recovered. Of these, there were 6 unique species in the upstream sites and 1 unique species in the downstream sites (Table 3).

Assessment of Sportfish Community

We sampled a total of 4 upstream sites and 1 downstream site using three phase AC electrofishing before high water prevented access. The three remaining sites (12, 13 and 14) will be completed as soon as the USGS Route 48 gauge (USGS 05573540) reaches 8 feet. In the five completed sites we sampled a total of 22 different species of fishes (Table 4). The five most dominant fish species were: channel catfish, gizzard shad, bluegill, freshwater drum, and smallmouth buffalo (Table 4). The sportfish community was comprised of: black crappie, bluegill, channel and flathead catfish, green sunfish, hybrid striped bass, largemouth bass, longear sunfish, walleye, and white crappie; with channel catfish and bluegill being the most numerically abundant (Table 4).

The relative density (CPUE) of all fishes was highest in site 7 and lowest in site 11 (Table 5). Sportfish relative density averaged 107.0 fish/hour with the highest density of sportfish occurring at site 3 (Table 5). Due to small sample sizes of all sportfish species except channel catfish, only relative weight was calculated (Table 6). Excluding largemouth bass the relative weight for all species of sportfish suggested fish in average condition; however, largemouth bass were in above average condition (Table 6). Average channel catfish CPUE was high (44.8 catfish/hr), with the highest relative density in site 7 and the lowest relative density in site 5 (Table 5). Although, the majority (67%) of channel catfish were of harvestable size (> 280 mm) there was a small proportion greater than quality size (PSD = 28) and no catfish greater than preferred length (610 mm) (Figure 6).

DISCUSSION

The primary difference between upstream and downstream reaches is attributable indirectly to metrics related to reservoir discharge and input of dissolved solids from the SDD main discharge. Outflow from Lake Decatur is the primary input to the upstream reach, which is compromised as a result of management to maintain reservoir levels by eliminating outflow. Sites downstream of the SDD main discharge may have greater potential for instream primary productivity as a result of nutrient loading as indexed by higher levels of dissolved solids, conductivity, total alkalinity, oxidized nitrogen, and phosphorus. This elevated autochthonous primary productivity may in turn support more diverse macroinvertebrate and fish assemblages.

These results are reflected by the QHEI values which showed that downstream reach habitat was of higher quality than that of the upstream reach. This most likely is a function of a more continuous flow which is critical for maintaining high quality substrate and instream cover in lotic systems. Discharge from the main treatment plant of the Sanitary District of Decatur alters instream water chemistry during periods of low reservoir discharge. However, the entire study reach is relatively homogeneous during periods of high discharge from the dam which impounds Lake Decatur. As a result, the stability of instream flows at downstream locations compensates for the elevated nutrient inputs. During fall 2011, we will repeat the habitat assessment of the eight sampling sites on the Sangamon River.

Overall, the macroinvertebrate community was dominated by aquatic midges. This group is indicative of organic rich habitats, but they are often the most abundant taxa (Rabeni and Wang 2000). Macroinvertebrate communities are typically correlated with habitat scores (Hammer and Linke 2003). Based on preliminary data, that would seem to be the case in this study. Although the MBI scores and number of taxa (richness) were not significantly different between reaches, the diversity (Simpson's D and Shannon-Weiner H'), which takes into account both abundance and number of taxa, increased downstream. Overall, sites in the downstream reach clustered together, and separate from upstream sites. This suggests that communities were similar within reaches, but differed between reaches. Unionid mussels were collected from upstream and downstream reaches. At low water, we will do a quantitative assessment of the unionid mussel community during summer of 2011. Additionally, we will repeat the IEPA 20-jab macroinvertebrate sampling during fall 2011.

The relative density of both large non-game and sport fishes in the Sangamon was high compared to other Midwestern rivers (Colombo unpublished data). Further, the diversity of fish species was comparable to other Midwestern systems (Colombo unpublished data). The sportfish community of the Sangamon River was dominated by channel catfish. This population of catfish has higher relative abundance compared to other Midwestern river systems (Colombo 2007). Based on the density and condition of these catfish it would seem that this is an underexploited resource. Once age structure is developed for this population we can determine the economic value and best management strategy channel catfish fishery in the Sangamon. Sample sizes of all other sportfishes were low. We will be conducting additional sampling during spring 2011 and 2012 to develop a more accurate assessment of the sportfish community. Additionally, we will conduct an assessments of the non-game fish community of that are not vulnerable to the boat shocking gear during summer 2011 and 2012. These data will allow correlations to be made between the macroinvertebrate, unionid and fish communities.

One aspect that was revealed with the incorporation of sportfish data into the sampling regime is during high water flows the sportfish community is free to access both reaches of the Sangamon. It therefore becomes important to know the low water refugia that sportfish use. This can be determined using an ultrasonic tracking study. Tracking data could then be used to assess the critical habitats of the Sangamon River and to assess the extent of the home ranges for sportfish.

LITERATURE CITED

Abramovitz, J.N. 1996. *Imperiled waters, impoverished future: the decline of freshwater ecosystems*, Worldwatch Institute, Worldwatch paper nr 128, Washington (D.C.).

Anderson, R.O., and R.M Neuman. 1996. Length, Weight, and Associated Structural Inidices. Pages 447-482 in B.R. Murphy and D.W. Willis, editors. Fisheries Techniques, 2nd edition. American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, Maryland.

APHA. 1995. *Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater*. 19th Ed. American Public Health Association, Washington, DC.

Carpenter, K.D. and I.R. Waite. 2000. Relations of habitat-specific algal assemblages to land use and water chemistry in the Willamette Basin, Oregon. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, **64**, 247-257.

Clarke, K. R., and R. M. Warwick. 2001. Change in marine communities: an approach to statistical analysis and interpretation, 2nd edition. PRIMER-E Ltd, Plymouth, UK.

Collier, M., R.H. Webb, and J.C. Schmidt. 1996. *Dams and rivers: primer on the downstream effects of dams*, U.S. Geologic Survey, Circular nr 1126, Reston, VA.

Colombo, R. E. 2007. Demographics and the ecological role of the channel catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*) in commercially exploited and un-commercially exploited reaches of the Wabash River with implications for the flathead catfish (*Pylodictis olivaris*). Southern Illinois University, Carbondale.

Cummings, K.S. and C.A. Mayer. 1992. Field Guide to Freshwater Mussels of the Midwest. Illinois Natural History Survey, Manual 5. Champaign, IL.

Elwood, J.W., J.D. Newbold, A.F. Trimble, and R.W. Stark. 1981. The limiting role of phosphorus in a woodland stream ecosystem: effects of P enrichment on leaf decomposition and primary producers. *Ecology*, **62**, 146-158.

Finlayson, B.L., C.J. Gippel, and S.O. Brizga. 1994. Effects of reservoirs on downstream habitat. *Water*, **21**, 15-20.

Fischer, R.U. and C.L. Pederson. 2003. *Biotic assessment of water quality in a reach of the Sangamon River receiving effluent from the Sanitary District of Decatur*. Report 2003, Charleston, IL.

Fisher, S.G. 1983. Succession in streams. In: *Stream ecology: application and testing of general ecological theory* (Eds. Barnes, J.R. and Minshall, G.W.) pp. 7-27. Plenum Press, New York, NY.

Great Lakes Environmental Center. 2005. Mussel Toxicity Testing Procedures Workshop. EPA Contract 68-C-04-006. Chicago, Illinois

Hammer, J. and R. Linke. 2003. Assessments of the impacts of dams on the Dupage River. The Conservation Foundation. Naperville, Illinois.

Karr, J.R., R.C. Heidinger, and E.H. Helmer. 1985. Effects of chlorine and ammonia from wastewater treatment facilities on biotic integrity. *Journal of the Water Pollution Control Federation*, **57**, 912-915.

Ligon, F.K., W.E. Dietrich, and W.J. Trush. 1995. Downstream ecological effects of dams. *BioScience*, **45**, 183-192.

McMahon, T.A. and B.L. Finlayson. 2003. Droughts and anti-droughts: the low flow hydrology of Australian rivers. *Freshwater Biology*, **48**, 1147-1160.

Naiman, R.J., J.J. Magnuson, D.M. McKnight, and J.A. Stanford. 1995. *The freshwater imperative: a research agenda*, Island Press, Washington (D.C.).

[NRC] National Research Council. 1992. *Restoration of aquatic systems: science, technology, and public policy*, National Academy Press, Washington (D.C.).

Peckarsky, B.L. 1983. Biotic interactions or abiotic limitations? A model lotic community structure. In: *Dynamics of lotic ecosystems* (Eds. Fontaine, T.D. III, and Bartell, S.M.) pp. 303-324. Ann Arbor Press, Ann Arbor, MI.

Poff, N.L., J.D. Allan, M.B. Bain, J.R. Karr, K.L. Prestegaard, B.D. Richter, R.E. Sparks, and J.C. Stromberg. 1997. The natural flow regime. *BioScience*, **47**, 769-784.

Rankin, E.T. 1989. The Qualitative Habitat Evaluation Index [QHEI]: Rationale, Methods, and Application. State of Ohio Environmental Agency. Columbus, Ohio.

Rankin, E.T. 2006. Methods for Assessing Habitat in Flowing Waters: Using the Qualitative Habitat Evaluation Index (QHEI). State of Ohio Environmental Protection Agency. Groveport, Ohio.

Reice, S.R. 1985. Experimental disturbance and the maintenance of species diversity in a stream community. *Oecologia*, **67**, 90-97.

Resh, V.H., A.V. Brown, A.P. Covich, M.E. Gurtz, H.W. Li, G.W. Minshall, S.R. Reice, A.L. Sheldon, J.B. Wallace, and R.C. Wissmar. 1988. The role of disturbance in stream ecology. *Journal of the North American Benthological Society*, **7**, 433-455.

Ross, S.T., W.J. Matthews, and A.A. Echelle. 1985. Persistence of stream fish assemblage: effects of environmental change. *American Naturalist*, **126**, 24-40.

Sanders, H.L. 1969. Benthic marine diversity and the stability time hypothesis. Brookhaven Symposium. *Biology*, **22**, 71-81.

Stockner, J.G. and K.R.S. Shortreed. 1978. Enhancement of autotrophic production by nutrient addition in a coastal rainforest stream on Vancouver Island. *Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada*, **35**, 28-34.

Twichell, S., S. Sheldon, L. Deegan, and R. Garritt. 2002. Nutrient and freshwater inputs from sewage effluent discharge alter benthic algal and in faunal communities in a tidal salt marsh creek. *The Biological Bulletin*, **203**,256-258.

Walde, S.J. 1986. Effect of an abiotic disturbance on a lotic predator-prey interaction. *Oecologia*, **69**, 243-247.

Winterbourn, M.J. 1990. Interactions among nutrients, algae, and invertebrates in a New Zealand mountain stream. *Freshwater Biology*, **23**, 463-474.

Zar, J.H. 1999. Biostatistical Analysis. Prentice-Hall, Inc. Upper Saddle River, New Jersey.

TABLES AND FIGURES

Table 1. Measured water quality variables for 11 Sangamon River sites associated with the Sanitary District of Decatur.

Date	Site	Temp (°C)	DO mg L^{-1}	pH	Spec. Cond. mS cm^{-1}	Hard. mg L^{-1}	Total Alk mg L^{-1}	NO_2/NO_3 mg L^{-1}	NH_4 mg L^{-1}	PO_4 total mg L^{-1}	PO_4 SRP mg L^{-1}	TSS mg L^{-1}	VSS mg L^{-1}	FSS mg L^{-1}	TDS mg L^{-1}	VDS mg L^{-1}	FDS mg L^{-1}	TS mg L^{-1}	TVS mg L^{-1}	TFS mg L^{-1}
9/14/2010	1					256	307	0.53	0.13	0.36	0.18	13.1	4.6	8.6	381.5	92.8	288.8	394.7	97.3	297.3
10/26/2010	1	18.3	8.3	8.1	464	383	195	0.75	0.32	0.44	0.83	40.0	22.0	18.0	362.7	126.0	236.7	402.7	148.0	254.7
11/14/2010	1	9.3	9.2	8.7	752	423	363	0.04	0.07	0.18	0.13	10.4	4.8	5.6	548.3	116.5	431.7	558.7	121.3	437.3
1/18/2011	1	2.0	12.9	9.2	628	511	251	0.04	0.07	0.18	0.13	6.6	2.8	3.8	362.7	226.5	136.2	369.3	229.3	140.0
2/20/2011	1	2.9	12.8	9.2	640	292	181	4.08	0.13	0.07	0.04	6.3	5.7	0.6	393.7	111.6	282.1	400.0	117.3	282.7
3/28/2011	1	8.0	11.4	9.6	567	270	147	4.12	0.01	0.02	0.00	10.4	4.8	5.6	548.3	116.5	431.7	558.7	121.3	437.3
4/17/2011	1	13.4	10.4	8.3	469	234	147	4.46	0.24	0.12	0.05	28.0	19.0	9.0	424.0	198.3	225.7	452.0	217.3	234.7
9/14/2010	3					318	342	0.34	0.00	0.26	0.15	12.7	5.7	7.0	426.0	101.0	325.0	438.7	106.7	332.0
10/26/2010	3	17.8	7.9	8.4	561	391	265	0.17	0.20	0.49	0.27	45.0	14.0	31.0	407.0	130.0	277.0	452.0	144.0	308.0
11/14/2010	3	10.2	7.1	8.6	794	445	377	0.06	0.04	0.28	0.09	18.8	10.4	8.4	498.5	113.6	384.9	517.3	124.0	393.3
1/18/2011	3	2.0	12.7	9.2	628	365	293	0.06	0.04	0.28	0.09	4.6	2.4	2.2	404.7	213.6	191.1	409.3	216.0	193.3
2/20/2011	3	2.8	12.8	9.2	642	310	237	3.66	0.15	0.05	0.01	8.8	6.0	2.8	375.2	116.7	258.5	384.0	122.7	261.3
3/28/2011	3	8.0	11.1	9.9	568	267	154	6.22	0.00	0.09	0.00	18.4	4.4	14.0	430.9	126.3	304.7	449.3	130.7	318.7
4/17/2011	3	13.4	10.3	8.7	470	281	126	4.46	0.17	0.17	0.01	29.5	19.0	10.5	462.5	234.3	228.2	492.0	253.3	238.7
9/14/2010	4	20.9	8.4	8.0	574	263	335	0.68	0.08	0.20	0.08	7.1	3.1	4.0	424.9	98.2	326.7	432.0	101.3	330.7
10/26/2010	4	16.7	9.7	8.5	720	442	384	0.11	0.00	0.66	0.15	13.3	9.0	4.3	506.7	128.3	378.3	520.0	137.3	382.7
11/14/2010	4	10.4	11.5	8.7	795	569	426	0.12	0.21	0.41	0.07	8.3	3.0	5.3	529.0	115.7	413.3	537.3	118.7	418.7
1/18/2011	4	2.1	12.6	9.4	629	420	307	0.12	0.21	0.41	0.07	5.2	2.0	3.2	389.5	195.3	194.1	394.7	197.3	197.3
2/20/2011	4	2.9	12.6	9.2	641	256	98	4.90	0.09	0.08	0.02	7.2	6.0	1.2	378.1	92.7	285.5	385.3	98.7	286.7
3/28/2011	4	8.3	11.2	9.8	566	336	154	6.01	0.04	0.08	0.00	21.2	6.8	14.4	425.5	127.9	297.6	446.7	134.7	312.0
4/17/2011	4	13.6	10.4	9.0	470	391	147	4.11	0.24	0.12	0.04	30.5	19.0	11.5	469.5	254.3	215.2	500.0	273.3	226.7
9/14/2010	5	20.7	8.0	8.0	574	296	328	0.68	0.06	0.20	0.09	6.0	3.0	3.0	432.7	105.0	327.7	438.7	108.0	330.7
10/26/2010	5	16.8	7.6	8.5	755	507	384	0.07	0.00	0.62	0.16	17.0	11.0	6.0	507.0	123.7	383.3	524.0	134.7	389.3
11/14/2010	5	8.5	7.0	8.6	799	836	426	0.09	0.17	0.22	0.11	7.3	3.3	4.0	515.4	115.4	400.0	522.7	118.7	404.0
1/18/2011	5	20.9	12.6	9.4	630	650	405	0.09	0.17	0.22	0.11	4.6	2.8	1.8	379.4	158.5	220.9	384.0	161.3	222.7
2/20/2011	5	3.0	12.5	9.2	638	292	168	5.18	0.09	0.12	0.03	17.2	8.8	8.4	388.1	116.5	271.6	405.3	125.3	280.0
3/28/2011	5	8.3	11.2	9.7	569	263	154	4.93	0.21	0.09	0.00	51.0	16.0	35.0	393.0	114.7	278.3	444.0	130.7	313.3
4/17/2011	5	13.6	10.2	8.9	470	329	140	4.04	0.18	0.15	0.02	29.0	18.0	11.0	447.0	226.0	221.0	476.0	244.0	232.0

Table 1. Continued

Date	Site	Temp (°C)	DO mg L^{-1}	pH	Spec. Cond. mS cm^{-1}	Hard. mg L^{-1}	Total Alk mg L^{-1}	NO_2/NO_3 mg L^{-1}	NH_4 mg L^{-1}	PO_4 total mg L^{-1}	PO_4 SRP mg L^{-1}	TSS mg L^{-1}	VSS mg L^{-1}	FSS mg L^{-1}	TDS mg L^{-1}	VDS mg L^{-1}	FDS mg L^{-1}	TS mg L^{-1}	TVS mg L^{-1}	TFS mg L^{-1}
9/14/2010	6	22.0	8.3	7.9	577	325	300	0.66	0.04	0.22	0.07	6.0	1.5	4.5	438.0	91.8	346.2	444.0	93.3	350.7
10/26/2010	6	16.5	7.5	8.7	816	518	419	0.24	0.00	0.08	0.00	14.0	7.0	7.0	554.0	125.0	429.0	568.0	132.0	436.0
11/14/2010	6	9.8	9.4	8.4	861	920	454	0.07	0.20	0.30	0.05	14.4	4.4	10.0	576.3	120.9	455.3	590.7	125.3	465.3
1/18/2011	6	2.0	12.7	9.3	633	657	307	0.07	0.20	0.30	0.05	5.2	2.8	2.3	394.8	138.5	256.3	400.0	141.3	258.7
2/20/2011	6	5.2	12.7	9.5	634	329	168	4.35	0.11	0.12	0.05	9.2	8.0	1.2	393.5	116.0	277.5	402.7	124.0	278.7
3/28/2011	6	8.4	11.2	9.0	571	223	161	4.43	0.00	0.07	0.00	18.0	13.5	4.5	416.7	113.2	303.5	434.7	126.7	308.0
4/17/2011	6	13.7	10.2	8.9	471	252	147	4.27	0.18	0.10	0.00	32.0	19.5	12.5	438.7	197.8	240.8	470.7	217.3	253.3
9/14/2010	7	24.0	9.8	8.4	546	380	314	0.35	0.05	0.19	0.04	21.7	7.2	14.5	375.6	78.1	297.5	397.3	85.3	312.0
10/26/2010	7	17.5	10.4	8.6	645	515	356	0.13	0.00	0.11	0.00	23.2	10.8	12.4	404.8	94.5	310.3	428.0	105.3	322.7
11/14/2010	7	10.3	10.0	8.5	682	1073	394	0.14	0.40	0.19	0.05	12.3	4.7	7.7	439.7	92.7	347.0	452.0	97.3	354.7
1/18/2011	7	1.9	12.8	9.3	632	712	307	0.14	0.40	0.19	0.05	6.8	3.8	3.0	406.5	150.9	255.7	413.3	154.7	257.7
2/20/2011	7	5.2	12.3	9.4	636	347	168	6.49	0.09	0.11	0.05	19.3	4.0	15.3	384.7	116.0	268.7	404.0	120.0	284.0
3/28/2011	7	8.6	11.3	9.8	569	299	140	5.29	0.02	0.08	0.00	22.0	11.6	10.4	395.3	111.1	284.3	417.3	122.7	294.7
4/17/2011	7	13.8	10.4	9.3	472	369	147	4.00	0.21	0.10	0.00	31.0	18.0	13.0	449.0	216.7	232.3	480.0	234.7	245.3
9/14/2010	8	24.2	8.8	8.1	544	332	314	0.36	0.01	0.14	0.01	15.7	5.7	10.0	377.6	85.0	292.7	393.3	90.7	302.7
10/26/2010	8	17.2	6.0	8.5	801	515	433	0.61	0.01	0.49	0.55	14.3	9.3	5.0	548.3	98.7	449.7	562.7	108.0	454.7
11/14/2010	8	9.9	6.7	8.4	670	657	349	0.11	0.48	0.14	0.05	8.0	3.3	4.7	418.7	83.3	335.3	426.7	86.7	340.0
1/18/2011	8	1.8	12.8	9.3	633	566	293	0.11	0.48	0.14	0.05	6.6	3.8	2.8	408.1	196.2	211.9	414.7	200.0	214.7
2/20/2011	8	3.2	13.5	9.2	636	402	293	4.01	0.16	0.10	0.03	6.9	6.0	0.9	374.5	123.3	251.1	381.3	129.3	252.0
3/28/2011	8	8.7	11.2	9.6	570	245	140	5.15	0.08	0.09	0.05	21.5	16.5	5.0	399.8	123.5	276.3	421.3	140.0	281.3
4/17/2011	8	13.8	10.1	9.2	472	292	133	3.88	0.15	0.11	0.00	30.5	19.5	11.0	442.8	227.2	215.7	473.3	246.7	226.7

Table 1. Continued

Date	Site	Temp (°C)	DO mg L^{-1}	pH	Spec. Cond. mS cm^{-1}	Hard. mg L^{-1}	Total Alk mg L^{-1}	NO_2/NO_3 mg L^{-1}	NH_4 mg L^{-1}	PO_4 total mg L^{-1}	PO_4 SRP mg L^{-1}	TSS mg L^{-1}	VSS mg L^{-1}	FSS mg L^{-1}	TDS mg L^{-1}	VDS mg L^{-1}	FDS mg L^{-1}	TS mg L^{-1}	TVS mg L^{-1}	TFS mg L^{-1}
9/14/2010	9	27.7	7.0	8.0	545	398	489	3.81	0.01	3.28	3.25	4.9	2.9	2.0	1896.4	182.4	1714.0	1901.3	185.3	1716.0
10/26/2010	9	25.1	6.8	8.4	3197	632	510	5.09	0.08	8.08	7.53	8.0	6.2	1.8	2144.0	239.2	1904.8	2152.0	245.3	1906.7
11/14/2010	9	22.9	7.7	8.5	3432	537	565	2.37	0.74	3.27	2.92	9.7	5.3	4.3	2279.7	241.3	2038.3	2289.3	246.7	2042.7
1/18/2011	9	5.0	11.7	9.2	1112	708	335	2.37	0.74	3.27	2.92	12.0	8.2	3.8	688.0	158.5	529.5	700.0	166.7	533.3
2/20/2011	9	4.5	11.8	9.1	765	387	168	3.19	0.09	0.33	0.27	18.0	7.1	10.9	390.0	120.9	269.1	408.0	128.0	280.0
3/28/2011	9	9.6	10.9	9.5	802	347	168	6.08	0.09	0.93	0.91	24.0	16.0	8.0	522.7	102.7	420.0	546.7	118.7	428.0
4/17/2011	9	13.9	10.3	9.2	496	340	140	3.38	0.17	1.96	1.61	28.0	16.5	11.5	497.3	239.5	257.8	525.3	256.0	269.3
9/14/2010	11	27.7	7.2	8.0	2442	409	440	3.12	0.10	3.66	3.64	8.5	3.3	5.2	1379.5	136.8	1242.8	1388.0	140.0	1248.0
10/26/2010	11	25.2	6.4	8.4	3388	453	496	7.13	0.07	8.20	7.84	16.4	8.2	8.2	2198.3	245.1	1953.1	2214.7	253.3	1961.3
11/14/2010	11	22.2	7.4	8.5	3395	413	503	2.55	0.11	3.27	3.06	16.3	10.0	6.3	2221.0	226.0	1995.0	2237.3	236.0	2001.3
1/18/2011	11	4.4	11.8	9.2	1076	365	321	2.55	0.11	3.27	3.06	8.0	5.2	2.8	658.7	133.5	525.2	666.7	138.7	528.0
2/20/2011	11	3.7	12.8	9.1	697	398	154	4.43	0.12	0.48	0.41	15.7	6.0	9.7	389.6	122.0	267.6	405.3	128.0	277.3
3/28/2011	11	9.7	10.8	9.5	843	329	168	4.00	0.03	2.14	1.04	25.0	17.5	7.5	559.0	123.8	435.2	584.0	141.3	442.7
4/17/2011	11	14.5	10.2	9.4	665	325	140	3.84	0.20	1.95	1.41	29.5	20.5	9.0	595.8	231.5	364.3	625.3	252.0	373.3
9/14/2010	12	25.9	8.6	8.0	2058	445	440	3.31	0.11	3.49	3.47	16.7	3.3	13.5	1463.2	151.4	1311.8	1480.0	154.7	1325.3
10/26/2010	12	21.0	7.7	8.6	2487	496	475	5.46	0.05	8.06	5.54	13.7	4.2	9.5	1625.0	223.8	1401.2	1638.7	228.0	1410.7
11/14/2010	12	17.4	8.0	8.7	2957	420	377	2.15	0.09	3.52	3.05	17.7	5.3	12.3	1961.0	230.7	1730.3	1978.7	236.0	1742.7
1/18/2011	12	3.2	11.9	9.1	1080	478	391	2.15	0.09	3.52	3.05	8.4	4.8	3.6	638.3	143.2	495.1	646.7	148.0	498.7
2/20/2011	12	4.0	12.9	9.3	668	329	140	4.01	0.12	0.67	0.57	70.0	16.5	53.5	376.7	102.2	274.5	446.7	118.7	328.0
3/28/2011	12	8.8	12.3	9.0	723	310	181	4.65	0.00	1.18	0.96	22.8	12.0	10.8	554.5	116.0	438.5	577.3	128.0	449.3
4/17/2011	12	13.7	9.5	9.2	718	358	154	4.84	0.16	1.09	0.85	33.0	18.5	14.5	575.0	226.8	348.2	608.0	245.3	362.7
9/14/2010	14	23.5	7.8	8.1	1660	376	468	2.83	0.14	3.27	3.07	14.6	2.0	12.6	1164.1	115.3	1048.8	1178.7	117.3	1061.3
10/26/2010	14	18.8	8.0	8.6	2953	559	482	3.28	0.04	8.25	8.18	23.3	6.9	16.4	1976.7	215.8	1760.9	2000.0	222.7	1777.3
11/14/2010	14	11.9	9.1	8.9	2865	653	503	1.62	0.08	3.19	2.94	22.7	5.7	17.0	1929.3	193.0	1736.3	1952.0	198.7	1753.3
1/18/2011	14	3.0	12.6	9.3	1078	697	363	1.62	0.08	3.19	2.94	6.6	2.8	3.8	362.7	226.5	136.2	369.3	229.3	140.0
2/20/2011	14	4.4	12.9	9.0	661	420	140	3.46	0.42	0.95	0.76	39.2	9.6	29.6	455.5	106.4	349.1	494.7	116.0	378.7
3/28/2011	14	8.7	12.2	8.6	707	318	181	5.51	0.08	1.07	0.99	21.6	12.8	8.8	533.1	117.9	415.2	554.7	130.7	424.0
4/17/2011	14	13.6	9.3	9.2	711	372	168	4.73	0.22	1.09	0.87	41.8	14.9	26.9	551.5	211.8	339.8	593.3	226.7	366.7
Upstream	Mean	10.9	10.4	8.9	618.8	418.7	264.7	2.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	16.7	8.5	8.1	433.7	136.7	297.0	450.3	145.2	305.1
Downstream	Mean	14.1	9.8	8.8	1577.9	438.2	323.3	3.7	0.2	3.1	2.8	20.6	9.0	11.6	1092.4	174.4	918.0	1113.0	183.4	929.5

Table 2. Comparison of the Upstream and Downstream reaches of the Sangamon River using macroinvertebrate community indices. All data were analyzed using t-test with a P = 0.05 level of significance.

	Upstream		Downstream		
Parameter	Mean	*S.E.*	Mean	*S.E.*	*P-value*
Species Richness	10	*1.5*	13.5	*1.9*	$p = 0.100$
Simpson's Diversity	1.66	*0.29*	2.69	*0.21*	$p < 0.010$*
Shannon-Weiner Diversity	0.82	*0.16*	1.5	*0.09*	$p < 0.004$[*]
River watch MIBI[a]	6.64	*0.45*	6.06	*0.25*	$p = 0.156$

*Denotes significantly different means at $\alpha = 0.05$.[a] – lower values suggest a higher quality assemblage.

Table 3. Summary of the Unionid mussel community sampled from eight 200 m sampling sites on the Sangamon River during fall 2010.

	Upstream				Downstream			
Species	Site 3	Site 5	Site 7	Site 8	Site 11	Site 12	Site 13	Site 14
3 horn wartyback (*Obliquaria reflexa)*		X		X				
pistolgrip (*Tritogonia vurrucosa)*		X						
3 ridge (*Amblyma plicata)*		X		X				
deertoe (*Truncilla truncate*)		X		X	X		X	
fawnsfoot (*Truncilla donaciformis)*		X						
plain pocketbook (*Lampsilis cardium)*		X			X			
giant floater (*Pyganodon grandis)*		X		X				
fragile papershell (*Leptodea fragilis)*		X		X	X			
pink papershell (*Potamilus ohiensis)*		X			X			
yellow sandshell (*lampsilis teres)*		X		X				
pimpleback (*Quadrula pustulosa)*				X				
mapleleaf (*Quadrula quadrula)*				X	X			
white heelsplitter (*Lasmigona complanata*)					X			

Table 4. Summary of the fishes sampled using three-phase AC electrofishing on five sites of the Sangamon River during spring 2011.

Species	S3	S5	S7	S8	S9	Total
Bigmouth Buffalo (*Ictiobus cyprinellus*)	7	3	5	1	1	17
Black Crappie (*Pomoxis nigromaculatus*)	1	1				2
Bluegill (*Lepomis macrochirus*)	16	11	12	5	6	50
Channel Catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*)	14	10	24	16	14	78
Common Carp (*Cyprinus carpio*)	4	2	4	1	2	13
Flathead Catfish (*Pylodictis olivaris*)					1	1
Freshwater Drum (*Aplodinotus grunniens*)	4	4	24	10	5	47
Gizzard Shad (*Dorosoma cepedianum*)	20	20	1	2	10	53
Golden Redhorse (*Moxostoma erythrurum*)		1		1	2	4
Green Sunfish (*Lepomis cyanellus*)	13	4	1			18
Highfin Carpsucker (*Carpiodes velifer*)				1		1
Hybrid Striped Bass (*Morone saxatilis x M. chrysops*)		6		1		7
Largemouth Bass (*Micropterus salmoides*)	7	4		2		13
Longear sunfish (*Lepomis megalotis*)	2		2		5	9
Quillback (*Carpiodes cyprinus*)			1	1		2
River Carpsucker (*Carpiodes carpio*)	1	2	7	1	1	12
Shorthead Redhorse (*Moxostoma macrolepidotum*)		1	6	5		12
Shortnose Gar (*Lepisosteus platostomus*)			3	2	1	6
Silver Carp			1			1

(*Hypophthalmichthys molitrix*)						
Smallmouth Buffalo	4	8	18	5	3	38
(*Ictiobus bubalus*)						
Walleye		2	1	1		4
(*Sander vitreus*)						
White Crappie	2					2
(*Pomoxis annularis*)						

Site	CPUE (Fish/hr)		
	All Fishes	Sportfishes	Channel Catfish
S3	282.64	163.64	41.65
S5	237.00	114.00	30.00
S7	292.25	106.27	63.76
S8	162.70	73.95	47.33
S11	150.86	76.91	41.41
Mean ± *S.E.*	225.09 ± 29.5	106.95 ± 16.3	44.83 ± 5.5

Table 5. Relative density as estimated by catch per unit of effort (CPUE) of all fishes, sportfishes, and channel catfish sampled with three-phase AC electrofishing on five sites on the Sangamon River during spring 2010.

Table 6. Mean relative weight of sportfish sampled from five sampling sites on the Sangamon River during spring 2011.

Species	n	Wr	*S.E.*
Black Crappie	2	89.1	5.93
Bluegill	43	84.6	2.12
Channel Catfish	78	84.7	1.00
Green Sunfish	16	85.0	2.33
Hybrid Striped Bass	7	82.6	2.84
Largemouth Bass	11	111.0	2.96
Walleye	4	88.9	7.06
White Crappie	2	81.1	12.6



Figure 1. Principle components analysis of water quality data sampled during 2010 – 2011 from all mainstem sites of the Sangamon River.



Figure 2. Qualitative habitat evaluation index (QHEI) as a function of sampling site for eight sampling sites in the two treatment reaches (Upstream and Downstream) of the Sangamon River sampled during fall 2010



Figure 3. Average QHEI ± S.E. for the two different treatment reaches of the Sangamon River sampled during fall 2010. Habitat as estimated by QHEI was significantly higher in the reach below the effluent (Downstream) compared to the upstream reach ($p < 0.05$)



Figure 4. Multidimensional scaling plot based on Bray-Curtis similarity (2D stress = 0.08). The two different reaches were significantly different (ANOSIM, $p < 0.10$).



Figure 5. Regression showing a significant ($p < 0.10$) positive relationship between habitat quality as estimated by QHEI and macroinvertebrate species diversity as estimated by Simpson's D for eight sites on the Sangamon River. Simpson's D = -1.05 + 0.05 * QHEI; ($r^2 = 0.4169$).



Figure 6. Length frequency histogram for channel catfish sampled from five sites on the Sangamon River during spring 2011.

APPENDIX 1
SANGAMON RIVER SITES

Sangamon River Sampling sites (Site # based on previously completed studies)

Site 1 – Lincoln Park CSO – above outfall
Site 3 – Lincoln Park CSO – below outfall
Site 4 – Oakland CSO (Lincoln Park) - above outfall
Site 5 – Oakland CSO (Lincoln Park) – below outfall
Site 6 – 7th Ward CSO (End Sunset Dr.) – above outfall
Site 7 – 7th Ward CSO (End Sunset Dr.) - below outfall
Site 8 – Main Treatment Plant (Off Main street) – upstream of main outfall
Site 9 – Main Treatment Plant (Off Main street) –down stream of main outfall
Site 10 – Sangamon River at mouth of Stevens Creek
Site 12 – Bridge on Wyckles Road
Site 13 – Bridge at Route 51
Site 14 – Lincoln Trail Homestead State Park

Routine collections for water quality assessment will be conducted at all sites.

Assessment by QHEI as well as intensive sampling for fish, macroinvertebrate, mussel, and benthic diatoms will be conducted at Sites 3, 5, 7, 8, 11, 12, 13, and 14.

Exhibit 27

Biotic assessment of water quality in a reach of the Sangamon River receiving effluent from the Sanitary District of Decatur

REPORT - 2010

Charles L. Pederson Ph.D. and Robert U. Fischer Ph.D.
Department of Biological Sciences
Eastern Illinois University
Charleston, Illinois 61920

Submitted to:
Sanitary District of Decatur
501 Dipper Drive
Decatur, Illinois 62522

August, 2010

Introduction

Impoundment of rivers to create reservoirs used for irrigation purposes, as urban water supplies, and recreation is commonplace. However, impoundments may impact downstream aquatic systems and their surrounding terrestrial habitats. Diminished water quality and availability, closures of fisheries, extirpation of species, groundwater depletion, and pronounced hydrologic variability are increasingly distinguished as consequences of current river management associated with impoundments (Abramovitz 1996, Collier *et al.* 1996, Naiman *et al.* 1995). Specifically, dams affect riverine systems by altering flow regime, changing nutrient and sediment loads, and modifying energy flow (Ligon *et al.* 1995). As a result, river reaches downstream from a dam may no longer support intolerant native species, reflected by a reduction in the integrity of biotic communities. (Naiman *et al.* 1995, NRC 1992).

A natural flow regime is critical for sustaining ecosystem integrity and native biodiversity in rivers (Poff *et al.* 1997). Dams can have varying effects on downstream aquatic habitats depending on the purpose for which the dam was built. Impoundments used for urban water supplies reduce flow rates below dams throughout the entire year, but increase daily and seasonal variability in flow regime (Finlayson *et al.* 1994, McMahon and Finlayson 2003). Due to the flow regime, abiotic variables including temperature, dissolved oxygen, turbidity, pH, conductivity and solids concentrations are altered in the downstream river system (e.g., (Finlayson *et al.* 1994).

Along with stream impoundments, point source and non-point source inputs of particulate and dissolved substances can have profound effects on the ecological integrity of riverine systems. Water chemistry is often directly impacted by human disturbance, such as nutrient enrichment resulting from agriculture and wastewater treatment plants (McCormick and O'Dell 1996, Pan *et al.* 1996). Non-point sources of pollution may include agriculture, livestock grazing, and urbanization while sanitary discharge and industrial waste are examples of point source pollution. To reduce point source pollution, the Water Quality Act of 1972 encouraged wastewater treatment plants to upgrade their systems and, as a result, many communities were forced to build advanced tertiary water treatment facilities (Karr *et al.* 1985). Yet these treatment facilities still export high concentrations of nutrients into rivers. Carpenter and Waite (2000) documented that concentrations of phosphorus were highest in streams draining agricultural basins and at sites influenced by wastewater discharges, while Twichell *et al.* (2002) reported that sewage effluent inputs had elevated nitrate levels. These enhanced nutrient inputs can be expected to increase productivity within a river because primary productivity and detrital processing usually are limited by low ambient stream nutrient concentrations (Stockner and Shortreed 1978, Elwood *et al.* 1981, Winterbourn 1990).

Distribution and abundance of individual species and the composition of aquatic communities in lotic systems largely are governed by geographically related physiochemical variables. Desirable physical habitat (e.g., flow, current velocity, bottom substrate composition, cover, etc.) and suitable chemical water quality must exist to meet specific requirements of individual species. While the physical and chemical characteristics of a stream are set by local conditions, human activities alter these components. Routine monitoring of river conditions traditionally incorporates chemical as well as biological analyses. Chemical analyses are essential to ensure that levels of nutrients, metals, pesticides, etc. are kept below recommended levels, whereas biocriteria are necessary to evaluate overall effects of the chemical input on organisms (Round 1991).

Passage of the Clean Water Act of 1977 (PL 95-217) and more recently, the Water Quality Act of 1987 (PL 100-4) has emphasized protection and assessment of biotic integrity in aquatic environments. Assessment of biotic integrity using fish has received increased emphasis in recent years (Stauffer *et al.* 1976, Hocutt 1981, Karr 1981, Karr *et al.* 1986). And the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency has emphasized use of fish communities as an indicator of stream quality for assessments required by Section 305(b) of the Clean Water Act and as the primary biotic metric of the Illinois EPA/IDNR Interagency Biological Stream Characterization (BSC) process (Hite and Bertrand 1989). Water quality conditions that significantly affect lower levels of the food web also may alter the abundance, species composition, and condition of the fish community. Because fish occupy upper trophic levels, they are affected directly and indirectly by physical and chemical changes in the environment. Condition of a fishery is the index of water quality most meaningful to the general public (Weber 1973).

Benthic macroinvertebrates may be better suited for biomonitoring because they are sensitive and respond quickly to reduced water quality and their use in evaluating aquatic habitat is well established (Cairns and Dickson 1971, Barbour *et al.* 1999). Each macroinvertebrate species is dependent on specific ranges of environmental conditions (e.g., water quality, habitat, flow) throughout its lifespan. Unlike fish they are sessile, and upon collection, macroinvertebrates can be assumed to have integrated information regarding environmental conditions over the preceding weeks and months. This makes the macroinvertebrate community especially useful under conditions of mild or intermittent perturbation when altered water quality is not readily detectable by conventional chemical surveys (Chutter 1972). Good water quality typically supports a diverse community containing largely intolerant taxa, while various types of pollution may increase density of tolerant species and reduce species richness (Keup *et al.* 1967).

Benthic algae are important to riverine ecology when considering their role as primary producers and transformers of inorganic nutrients into organic forms that are ready to be used by other organisms (Lamberti 1996, Mulholland 1996). Algae also stabilize substrate and create mats that may form habitat for fish and invertebrates (Bott 1996). Along with fish and macroinvertebrates, benthic diatoms have been used for biological assessment of rivers (Growns 1999) and may represent an extremely useful taxonomic group for studying ecosystem perturbation. Although often neglected in monitoring programs due to the lack of available taxonomic expertise in many agencies, diatoms are easily identified due to the unique ornamentation of their frustules (Round 1993). Diatom assemblages are useful for evaluating different forms of pollution, such as organic enrichment downstream of sewage discharges (Cox 1991), and they respond quickly to environmental change due to their relatively short life cycles. Many studies have related changes in diatom assemblages to altered water chemistry, specifically phosphorus, nitrogen, and pH (Carrick *et al.* 1988, Pan *et al.* 1996, Winter and Duthie 2000). Diatom communities vary with substratum type (Leland 1995) and overall habitat heterogeneity (Robinson *et al.* 1994). Diatom community structure likely varies according to reach scale morphological features that coincide with land use and geologic variation among basins (Kutka and Richards 1996). That said, sampling of benthic algae in streams may be more difficult than sampling of macroinvertebrates and fish.

Physical habitat (e.g., flow regime, bottom substrate composition, instream cover, etc.) and chemical water quality must be suitable for support of individual species in lotic systems and maintenance of the integrity of aquatic communities. Since 2001, we have studied these relationships in the a stream influenced by impoundment as well as point source discharges - the Sangamon River. The Sangamon River Basin is a 14,000 km^2 watershed covering all or portions of eighteen counties in central Illinois. More than 3540 km of streams within the basin course through glacial and alluvial deposits creating typically low gradient stream with sand and gravel substrates. Streams within the basin have been impacted for most of the past century, receiving inputs from both point and non-point sources. Current land use is over 80% agricultural of which 85% is corn or soybeans. The great expanses of prairie that once existed in Illinois have been reduced to isolated hill and sand prairies coupled with remnants along highway and railroad right-of-ways and native deciduous woodlands now are limited to stream riparian areas. Major metropolitan areas associated with the Sangamon River basin are Bloomington, Decatur, and Springfield representing a combined population of more than 500,000 residents. Impoundments associated with urbanization include Lake Taylorville, Lake Sangchris, and Lake Springfield on the South Fork of the Sangamon; Clinton Lake on Salt Creek; as well as Lake Decatur.

Considerable development and habitat alteration within the watershed may impact biotic integrity of the Sangamon River system. In 2001, an intensive sampling program was initiated to document temporal and spatial heterogeneity of an 8.5 km reach of the Sangamon River beginning just below the Lake Decatur Dam and extending downstream to incorporate discharges from the Sanitary District of Decatur (SDD). The 8.5 km urban reach can be divided into two reaches that have received profoundly different inputs. The upstream reach extends upstream from the SDD to the dam that impounds Lake Decatur and is influenced mainly by reservoir discharge, while downstream sites, occur downstream of the SDD and receive treated sanitary effluent from the Sanitary District of Decatur.

Sampling Sites

We utilize sampling locations associated with operation of SDD that were easily identified by prominent landmarks within the City of Decatur, Illinois, USA and have logged GPS coordinates for those sites (Table 1). Sites were established initially in 1998 during an assessment of potential impacts of discharges from combined sewage overflow (CSO) facilities as well as the main treatment plant. All sites were located in the mainstem of the Sangamon River extending from just downstream of the dam, which impounds Lake Decatur to a site located near the Lincoln Trail Homestead Park. Sites 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8 are within the upstream reach extending from the dam to the discharge of the main treatment plant, and Sites 9, 11, 12 and 14 are located in the downstream reach which extends from the SDD main treatment plant discharge.

Table 1. List of the 11 sites utilized by the Department of Biological Sciences for studies conducted on reaches of the Sangamon River associated with the Sanitary District of Decatur.

Site #1 - Lincoln Park - above CSO outfall
Site #3 - Lincoln Park - below CSO outfall
Site #4 - Oakland (Lincoln Park Drive) - above CSO outfall
Site #5 - Oakland (Lincoln Park Drive) - below CSO outfall
Site #6 - 7th Ward - upstream of CSO outfall
Site #7 - 7th Ward - downstream of CSO outfall
Site #8 - SDD Main Treatment Plant - upstream of main outfall
Site #9 - SDD Main treatment Plant - downstream of main outfall
Site #11 - Sangamon River - downstream of Stevens Creek
Site #12 - Sangamon River at Wyckles Road
Site # 14 - Sangamon Rivernear the Lincoln Trail Homestead State Park, 1km north of the intersection of CR 600E and CR 800N

Physical Habitat Assessment

The Stream Habitat Assessment Procedure (SHAP), which evaluates lotic habitat quality using features considered important to biotic integrity, was performed by us in early project years. At each stream site, two individuals independently assigned metrics related to substrate and instream cover, channel morphology and hydrology, and riparian and bank features to one of four habitat quality types using guidelines established by the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (1994). The mean total score of the 15 metrics (Table 2) forms the basis of an overall habitat quality rating for the stream reach under consideration. Habitat quality of the **upstream** and **downstream** reaches were categorized on the basis of its SHAP score as follows: <59 = Very Poor; 59 - 100 = Fair; 100 - 142 = Good; > 142 = Excellent. Average SHAP scores for **upstream** and **downstream** sites were 82 and 93, respectively (Table 3). Nonetheless, physical habitat structure based on SHAP still results in classification of all mainstem sites as "fair" quality stream reaches indicating that the physical structure of the stream is homogeneous.

This overall physical structure provides a backdrop for the ability of the study reach to support a diverse flora and fauna. Routine assessment of characteristic water quality variables superimposed on substrate characteristics, channel morphology and bank features can aid in understanding the functioning of stream systems. Given that organisms exist within often-narrow ranges of tolerance for certain physical and chemical characteristics of their environment, analysis of these variables is imperative for understanding the potential for anthropogenic impacts to decrease biotic integrity of natural systems. As a result, we incorporated routine analyses of various physical and chemical features of the Sangamon River sites studied during 2002, which based on principal components analysis, revealed significant differences between the upstream and downstream reaches. Monitoring of relevant variables has continued through 2009.

Field data collection and water chemistry determination

Methods

Water quality data were collected every two to four weeks from April 2009 to April 2010. Sampling was initiated at the Lake Decatur dam and proceded downstream. While in the field, additional abiotic variables (dissolved oxygen, pH, conductivity, and temperature were determined) using Eureka Amphibian and Manta multiprove. Surface water samples were collected at 0.3 m below the surface and returned to the laboratory on ice and analyzed within generally accepted time limits. All sampling and analyses were conducted according to Standard Methods for Examination of Water and Wastewater (APHA, 1995).

In the laboratory, suspended and total solids determinations were made by drying residue collected on standard glass fiber filters as well as unfiltered samples placed into tared porcelain crucibles at 103-105 °C. Total dissolved solids were calculated by difference. Total phosphorus (following persulfate digestion) and soluble reactive phosphorus (utilizing filtered, undigested, sample aliquots) were determined using the ascorbic acid method. The phenate method was used for determination of ammonia nitrogen, and total oxidized nitrogen (NO_2-N + NO_3-N) was

Table 2. Parameters and values for the Stream Habitat Assessment Procedure (SHAP).

METRIC	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
Substrate and Instream Cover				
Bottom Substrate	16-20	11-15	6-10	1-5
Deposition	10-12	7-9	4-6	1-3
Substrate Stability	13-16	9-12	5-8	1-4
Instream Cover	10-12	7-9	4-6	1-3
Pool Substrate	16-20	11-15	6-10	1-5
Channel Morphology and Hydrology				
Pool Quality	13-16	9-12	5-8	1-4
Pool Variability	13-16	9-12	5-8	1-4
Channel Alteration	7-8	5-6	3-4	1-2
Channel Sinuosity	10-12	7-9	4-6	1-3
Width/Depth Ratio	13-16	9-12	5-8	1-4
Hydrologic Diversity	10-12	7-9	4-6	1-3
Riparian and Bank Features				
Canopy Cover	10-12	7-9	4-6	1-3
Bank Vegetation	13-16	9-12	5-8	1-4
Immediate Land Use	7-8	5-6	3-4	1-2
Flow-Related Refugia	10-12	7-9	4-6	1-3

Table 3. The habitat parameters scores and the overall SHAP score for the 12 sites sampled in the Sangamon River Basin.

Habitat Parameters	Site #1	Site #2	Site #3	Site #4	Site #5	Site #6	Site #7	Site #8	Site #9	Site #10	Site #11	Site #12
Bottom Substrate	11	4	11	6	6	15	11	11	9	16	13	11
Deposition	7	3	9	10	10	10	10	7	10	9	8	7
Substrate Stability	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	6	6	12	9	8
Instream Cover	4	1	5	9	6	4	4	7	4	7	6	7
Pool Substrate	6	1	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	12	6	6
Pool Quality	4	0	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	8	4	4
Pool Variablity	4	1	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	9	4	4
Canopy Cover	4	4	7	9	9	4	4	6	6	11	7	9
Bank Vegetation	4	1	4	4	4	4	4	2	2	9	4	4
Top of Bank Land Use	4	4	5	6	6	6	4	6	6	4	7	7
Flow-Related Refugia	6	1	6	4	6	4	4	5	5	8	5	5
Channel Alteration	3	1	5	6	6	6	6	7	7	7	6	6
Channel Sinuosity	4	1	5	4	4	3	3	6	6	7	4	5
Width/Depth Ratio	8	10	6	5	5	5	5	5	5	11	5	5
Hydrologic Diversity	4	1	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	9	5	4
Total SHAP Score	**78**	**36**	**87**	**87**	**86**	**86**	**79**	**88**	**86**	**129**	**93**	**92**

determined via the cadmium reduction method. Colorimetry of all nutrient analyses was determined using a Beckman DU 530 Life Science UV/Vis Spectrophotometer. Hardness and alkalinity were measured by titration to colorimetric endpoint methods. For all chemical analyses, due consideration was given to quality control and quality assurance procedures, including but not limited to parallel analyses of laboratory standards.

Results

Levels of 13 separate water quality variables were determined for eleven mainstem sites in 2009 – 2010 (Table 4). The trend established in prior sampling years continued throughout this recent sampling period, with levels for each of the variables being generally higher in DOWNSTREAM locations. Most notably, higher concentrations of forms of phosphorus and nitrogen were observed along with a general trend of elevated conductivity, presumably resulting from discharge from the main treatment plant of the Sanitary District of Decatur. Water chemistry continued to be relatively homogeneous over the entire study reach during periods of high discharge from the dam which impounds Lake Decatur.

Benthic algal (diatom) samples

Methods and Results

Artificial substrates were deployed at 11 sites in the main channel of the Sangamon River 9 June 2009. Substrates were 1 x 3 inch clean glass microscope slides suspended at the surface of the stream in commercially available periphytometers (Wildco, Inc.). Difficulties with thie sampling protocol were similar to those in the 2008 sampling season, as substrates were lost at all sites due either to natural occurrence (i.e., high discharge events) or due to vandalism. As such, further analysis of diatom assemblages was not pursued. Given the inherent difficulties of deployment of artificial substrates for collection of benthic algae, future efforts will focus on collection of materials from naturally occurring substrates.

Macroinvertebrates

Methods and Results

As in past years, we attempted to collect macroinvertebrate samples using modified multiplate samplers (Hester and Dendy 1962). Substrates were placed on the stream bottom for periods of six weeks, beginning 9 June 2009 to allow colonization. All samplers were lost or displaced, likely due to high stream discharge (although vandalism remains as a potential source of disruption). Samplers were not redeployed, as water levels remained routinely high throughout much of the 2009 summer period. Loss of samplers precluded analysis of macroinvertebrates.

Relatively greater success had been realized in previous years, and data from 2001 – 2008 are informative. Upon collection and after sorting, macroinvertebrates were identified to the lowest

Table 4. Levels of water quality variables for 11 mainstem sites in the Sangamon River associated with the SDD.

Date	Site	D.O. ppm	Temp. °C	pH	Cond µmho	Hardness ppm	total Alkalinity ppm	TON ppm	NH4 ppm	TP ppm	SRP ppm	TSS ppm	TS ppm	TDS ppm
04.28.09	1	10.6	17.3	8.4	572	306	307	3.94	0.06	0.13	0.02	22.7	398.7	376.0
05.28.09	1	8.2	21.1	7.8	469	257	237	3.28	0.07	0.26	0.14	29.2	301.3	272.1
06.09.09	1	9.4	22.6	9.5	563	301	265	5.73	0.14	0.21	0.01	44.0	433.3	389.3
07.29.09	1	9.0	26.0	9.7	525	308	328	5.84	0.05	1.90	1.80	44.0	710.7	666.7
08.11.09	1	9.1	28.3	9.8	485	251	237	1.55	0.00	0.13	0.02	14.0	321.3	307.3
09.29.09	1	12.4	17.6	9.1	692	314	314	0.11	0.05	0.23	0.09	13.5	385.3	371.8
10.20.09	1	11.9	13.5	9.6	488	242	251	0.55	0.02	0.21	0.14	20.5	320.0	299.5
11.10.09	1	12.3	11.6	7.7	404	295	254	3.04	0.05	0.22	0.18	35.0	308.0	273.0
1.26.10	1					277	272	2.97	0.13	0.18	0.10	24.0	90.7	66.7
2.27.10	1	17.6	1.0	0.0	7.78	208	230	2.64	0.45	0.42	0.41	19.0	260.0	188.5
3.31.10	1	17.2	10.9	8.8	529	252	293	5.24	0.00	0.15	0.06	27.5	418.7	319.2
4.28.10	1	10.5	16.7	9.0	431			1.65	0.41	0.08	0.01			
04.28.09	3	10.1	17.3	8.4	573	306	279	3.86	0.13	0.13	0.03	23.7	412.0	388.3
05.28.09	3	8.4	20.9	8.4	471	240	223	3.21	0.05	0.25	0.14	31.2	306.7	275.5
06.09.09	3	9.7	22.6	9.5	565	277	286	6.64	0.14	0.22	0.00	47.3	434.7	387.3
07.29.09	3	8.6	26.0	9.7	526	279	251	3.75	0.09	0.14	0.02	21.2	376.0	354.8
08.11.09	3	8.8	29.0	9.7	488	236	223	1.36	0.00	0.09	0.01	13.2	336.0	322.8
09.29.09	3	7.3	17.1	9.1	742	346	328	0.43	0.01	0.20	0.07	12.0	440.0	428.0
10.20.09	3	10.6	13.6	9.6	537	263	251	0.68	0.00	0.21	0.11	21.5	354.7	333.2
11.10.09	3	11.6	11.6	7.8	404	255	216	2.78	0.05	0.30	0.18	38.0	301.3	263.3
1.26.10	3					256	279	2.80	0.12	0.18	0.10	25.5	97.3	71.8
2.27.10	3	15.8	0.9	8.0	460	215	230	0.40	0.56	0.51	0.39	14.8	269.3	254.5
3.31.10	3	14.6	10.9	8.7	529	263	293	5.15	0.01	0.14	0.06	25.5	418.7	393.2
4.28.10	3	10.4	16.7	9.1	432			1.99	0.33	0.13	0.00			

Table 4. (continued)

Date	Site	D.O. ppm	Temp. °C	pH	Cond µmho	Hardness ppm	total Alkalinity ppm	TON ppm	NH4 ppm	TP ppm	SRP ppm	TSS ppm	TS ppm	TDS ppm
04.28.09	4	10.4	17.3	8.4	573	322	279	3.94	0.05	0.15	0.04	22.4	421.3	398.9
05.28.09	4	8.1	19.7	7.8	501	248	230	0.31	0.06	0.13	0.29	19.5	367.5	348.0
06.09.09	4	9.0	22.7	9.6	566	295	272	7.23	0.14	0.16	0.00	45.3	429.3	384.0
07.29.09	4	9.2	26.3	9.7	527	259	335	3.04	0.01	0.18	0.03	28.5	317.3	288.8
08.11.09	4	12.1	27.9	9.7	503	275	265	1.46	0.05	0.13	0.01	27.2	345.3	318.1
09.29.09	4	7.3	15.9	9.0	707	342	342	0.41	0.01	0.12	0.07	12.0	394.7	382.7
10.20.09	4	15.2	13.2	9.5	550	277	265	0.78	0.07	0.14	0.11	16.0	368.0	352.0
11.10.09	4	11.9	11.6	7.9	405	224	244	3.89	0.05	0.21	0.16	42.0	286.7	244.7
1.26.10	4					263	279	3.77	0.11	0.14	0.10	26.5	96.0	69.5
2.27.10	4	15.0	1.0	8.1	462	259	237	3.05	0.52	0.45	0.42	20.4	260.0	239.6
3.31.10	4	14.5	11.0	8.6	529	256	279	5.15	0.01	0.14	0.05	27.1	418.7	391.6
4.28.10	4	10.2	16.8	9.1	431			1.39	0.36	0.05	0.01			
04.28.09	5	10.3	1.3	8.4	575	304	307	4.32	0.02	0.14	0.03	26.8	450.7	423.9
05.28.09	5	8.3	20.1	7.8	516	255	237	2.15	0.15	0.28	0.09	107.7	392.0	284.3
06.09.09	5	9.0	22.7	9.6	566	283	265	6.29	0.14	0.20	0.01	48.7	449.3	400.7
07.29.09	5	9.2	26.3	9.7	526	291	216	2.69	0.08	0.16	0.03	25.0	1441.3	1416.3
08.11.09	5	9.5	28.3	9.7	504	220	251	1.54	0.00	1.11	0.01	16.8	353.3	336.5
09.29.09	5	7.4	16.3	9.0	712	342	356	0.44	0.00	0.17	0.07	9.3	405.3	396.0
10.20.09	5	15.3	13.1	9.5	542	271	265	0.72	0.18	0.23	0.11	17.0	360.0	343.0
11.10.09	5	11.1	11.6	7.8	406	224	244	3.51	0.05	0.24	0.18	44.0	314.7	270.7
1.26.10	5					270	279	1.23	0.16	0.20	0.11	4.5	28.5	24.0
2.27.10	5	14.8	1.0	8.2	465	215	216	0.91	0.58	0.46	0.40	22.4	330.7	308.3
3.31.10	5	14.5	10.9	8.6	532	270	286	5.34	0.03	0.14	0.05	25.5	422.7	397.2
4.28.10	5	10.3	16.8	9.1	432			1.65	0.36	0.11	0.01			

Table 4. (continued)

Date	Site	D.O. ppm	Temp. °C	pH	Cond µmho	Hardness ppm	total Alkalinity ppm	TON ppm	NH4 ppm	TP ppm	SRP ppm	TSS ppm	TS ppm	TDS ppm
04.28.09	6	10.3	17.2	8.3	575	301	279	4.32	0.07	0.15	0.03	28.4	452.0	423.6
05.28.09	6	8.1	20.6	7.8	493	248	230	2.71	0.10	0.25	0.11	51.3	342.7	291.3
06.09.09	6	10.2	22.7	9.6	568	271	321	6.43	0.14	0.21	0.01	43.3	442.7	399.3
07.29.09	6	9.0	26.2	9.6	529	279	258	4.67	0.03	0.15	0.02	25.6	377.3	351.7
08.11.09	6	11.3	28.3	9.7	522	244	251	1.15	0.05	0.08	0.01	12.0	358.7	346.7
09.29.09	6	11.7	18.0	8.8	794	350	370	0.20	0.03	0.14	0.02	7.7	450.7	443.0
10.20.09	6	14.5	13.3	9.5	504	234	265	0.70	0.00	0.24	0.12	18.5	325.3	306.8
11.10.09	6	11.2	1.6	7.8	406	204	244	3.37	0.05	0.31	0.17	43.3	297.3	254.0
1.26.10	6					270	272	3.77	1.74	0.17	0.09	31.5	104.0	72.5
2.27.10	6	14.9	1.0	8.1	464	245	230	1.73	0.53	0.51	0.40	20.8	289.3	268.5
3.31.10	6	13.5	11.0	8.7	529	248	286	5.34	0.02	0.13	0.05	27.0	422.7	395.7
4.28.10	6	10.1	16.8	9.2	432			1.69	0.35	0.13	0.00			
04.28.09	7	10.2	17.2	8.4	575	316	293	4.09	0.08	0.15	0.03	30.0	477.3	447.3
05.28.09	7	9.4	20.1	8.2	451	234	209	2.57	0.08	0.16	0.04	23.4	314.7	291.2
06.09.09	7	8.8	22.7	9.9	566	287	279	6.67	0.13	0.21	0.02	48.0	460.0	412.0
07.29.09	7	17.9	26.1	9.6	532	267	251	3.57	0.04	0.16	0.01	25.5	389.3	363.8
08.11.09	7	10.1	28.6	9.8	489	232	251	1.68	0.00	0.11	0.03	30.8	353.3	322.5
09.29.09	7	9.9	16.4	9.1	702	295	363	0.03	0.03	0.17	0.00	30.5	422.7	392.2
10.20.09	7	8.9	13.3	9.3	418	230	230	0.72	0.03	0.13	0.05	18.5	325.3	306.8
11.10.09	7	10.9	11.6	7.8	411	224	258	3.48	0.05	0.29	0.15	38.0	312.0	274.0
1.26.10	7					285	286	3.87	0.14	0.20	0.10	30.0	126.7	96.7
2.27.10	7	14.8	1.0	8.2	465	241	230	1.11	0.60	0.49	0.41	20.8	286.7	265.9
3.31.10	7	17.6	11.0	8.7	530	281	293	5.10	0.00	0.14	0.05	26.0	442.7	416.7
4.28.10	7	10.0	16.9	9.4	433			1.39	0.39	0.09	0.01			

Table 4. (continued)

Date	Site	D.O. ppm	Temp. °C	pH	Cond µmho	Hardness ppm	total Alkalinity ppm	TON ppm	NH4 ppm	TP ppm	SRP ppm	TSS ppm	TS ppm	TDS ppm
04.28.09	8	10.1	17.2	8.4	575	318	279	4.17	0.01	0.15	0.04	32.4	469.3	436.9
05.28.09	8	9.3	20.1	8.2	453	234	209	2.78	0.00	0.18	0.03	26.1	316.0	289.9
06.09.09	8	8.5	22.8	9.7	567	283	272	6.29	0.12	0.19	0.01	49.3	449.3	400.0
07.29.09	8	8.5	26.2	9.6	530	271	265	3.64	0.01	0.16	0.02	23.6	373.3	349.7
08.11.09	8	12.2	28.6	9.7	481	275	237	1.65	0.00	0.10	0.03	22.4	344.0	321.6
09.29.09	8	9.8	16.8	9.1	689	326	349	0.00	0.00	0.14	0.02	19.3	382.7	363.3
10.20.09	8	11.3	13.4	9.2	479	263	237	0.65	0.02	0.12	0.06	17.0	317.3	300.3
11.10.09	8	11.8	11.6	7.9	405	259	244	2.83	0.05	0.23	0.17	43.3	317.3	274.0
1.26.10	8					285	286	3.53	0.15	0.18	0.12	33.0	116.0	83.0
2.27.10	8	14.7	1.1	8.2	467	237	223	1.18	0.56	0.42	0.44	21.6	278.7	257.1
3.31.10	8	18.6	11.0	8.7	528	281	293	5.15	0.02	0.14	0.05	28.5	442.7	414.2
4.28.10	8	10.0	16.9	9.3	433			1.87	0.49	0.12	0.01			
04.28.09	9	10.0	17.2	8.4	578									
05.28.09	9	9.1	20.6	8.0	813	249	223	2.43	0.01	0.24	1.49	25.1	477.3	452.2
06.09.09	9	8.5	22.9	9.6	688	275	272	6.29	0.13	0.86	0.67	44.7	550.7	506.0
07.29.09	9	8.2	26.5	9.6	845	283	293	6.09	0.03	1.53	1.52	28.5	549.3	520.8
08.11.09	9	19.4	29.6	9.3	2048	299	363	8.42	0.13	2.60	2.98			
09.29.09	9	10.7	25.8	9.1	3509	381	489	25.41	0.02	2.79	2.59			
10.20.09	9	8.0	16.6	9.0	1254	238	265	5.73	0.13	1.66	1.93	18.0	813.3	795.3
11.10.09	9	11.0	12.1	7.8	508	196	251	4.16	0.05	0.65	0.72	44.7	376.0	331.3
1.26.10	9					314	265	3.20	0.17	0.40	0.32	31.0	77.3	46.3
2.27.10	9	14.7	1.2	8.1	495	252	237	3.59	0.57	0.77	0.69	22.0	364.0	342.0
3.31.10	9	13.3	11.1	8.6	723	285	286	5.78	0.01	0.76	0.64	28.0	473.3	453.3
4.28.10	9	9.9	17.0	9.3	492			1.69	0.59	0.65	0.50			

Table 4. (continued)

Date	Site	D.O. ppm	Temp. °C	pH	Cond µmho	Hardness ppm	total Alkalinity ppm	TON ppm	NH4 ppm	TP ppm	SRP ppm	TSS ppm	TS ppm	TDS ppm
04.28.09	11	9.9	17.2	8.3	610	310	293	3.79	0.08	0.64	0.47	27.6	522.7	495.1
05.28.09	11	9.1	20.5	8.0	761	259	223	3.07	0.06	0.43	1.15	24.0	489.3	537.4
06.09.09	11	8.4	22.9	9.6	760	318	272	7.47	0.12	1.21	1.11	44.0	598.7	554.7
07.29.09	11	6.4	26.6	9.5	953	281	293	6.16	0.01	1.45	1.69	30.0	598.7	568.7
08.11.09	11	8.7	29.4	9.3	1955									
09.29.09	11	10.1	24.8	9.1	3456	416	503	19.71	0.06	2.98	3.38			
10.20.09	11	8.3	16.5	9.0	1190	269	265	5.80	0.09	1.94	2.08	23.0	742.7	719.7
11.10.09	11	11.1	11.8	7.8	460	220	237	3.99	0.05	0.63	0.44	42.7	341.3	298.7
1.26.10	11					296	265	4.43	0.15	0.53	0.42	33.5	92.0	58.5
2.27.10	11	14.5	1.7	8.1	549	277	230	0.01	0.50	0.87	0.80	30.4	340.0	318.4
3.31.10	11	13.4	11.3	8.5	632	285	293	4.90	0.01	0.70	0.59	26.5	505.3	478.8
4.28.10	11	9.8	17.2	9.3	527			2.06	0.43	0.58	0.54			
04.28.09	12	9.3	16.4	8.1	598	291	293	5.00	0.33	0.65	0.43	81.5	557.3	475.8
05.28.09	12	8.6	19.9	7.9	729	261	251	3.28	0.00	0.27	1.22	37.3	508.0	470.7
06.09.09	12	8.2	21.6	8.2	699	291	265	6.18	0.11	0.73	0.58	50.7	549.3	498.7
07.29.09	12	8.1	26.0	8.3	1077	303	342	6.16	0.13	1.82	1.81	33.2	714.7	681.5
08.11.09	12	7.9	28.3	8.0	1738	310	307	3.03	0.02	2.25	2.90	30.0	1137.3	1107.3
09.29.09	12	5.7	20.4	7.8	3222	389	475				3.25	34.3	2089.3	2055.0
10.20.09	12	9.5	14.5	7.9	877	251	279	5.89	0.13	1.30	1.60	19.2	562.7	543.5
11.10.09	12	11.1	11.7	7.8	449	220	230	3.45	0.05	0.59	0.41	50.7	338.7	288.0
1.26.10	12					292	293	3.40	0.63	0.34	0.40	25.6	65.3	39.7
2.27.10	12													
3.31.10	12													
4.28.10	12													

Table 4. (continued)

Date	Site	D.O. ppm	Temp. °C	pH	Cond µmho	Hardness ppm	total Alkalinity ppm	TON ppm	NH4 ppm	TP ppm	SRP ppm	TSS ppm	TS ppm	TDS ppm
04.28.09	14	8.9	16.6	8.1	573	269	237	3.33	0.44	0.72	0.49	133.8	588.0	545.2
05.28.09	14	7.9	20.3	7.9	575	249	237	3.49	0.05	0.69	2.91	60.7	448.0	387.3
06.09.09	14	8.7	21.7	8.2	651	287	272	6.60	0.08	0.93	0.78	53.3	592.0	538.7
07.29.09	14	8.9	25.9	8.3	1067	308	328	5.84	0.05	1.90	1.80	44.0	710.7	666.7
08.11.09	14	9.4	27.6	8.4	1329	273	293	2.51	0.01	2.19	2.54	28.8	856.0	827.2
09.29.09	14	7.0	17.2	8.1	2894	373	489	18.55	0.03	2.65	3.12	17.3	1882.7	1865.3
10.20.09	14	10.7	12.8	8.2	712	229	251	3.00	0.05	1.19	1.19	22.5	468.0	445.5
11.10.09	14	10.6	12.2	7.9	522	207	251	4.10	0.05	0.88	0.69	110.0	424.0	314.0
1.26.10	14					343	279	3.77	0.18	0.32	0.30	60.7	156.0	95.3
2.27.10	14	14.2	1.9	8.8	531	285	258	0.61	0.45	0.69	0.59	30.4	349.3	318.9
3.31.10	14	14.9	11.5	8.3	593	259	286	5.34	0.01	0.47	0.34	38.5	490.7	452.7
4.28.10	14	9.7	16.9	9.3	535			1.17	0.42	0.64	0.51			

possible taxonomic level and data were used to calculate a Macroinvertebrate Biotic Index (MBI) according to Hilsenhoff (1982). In this method, each taxon is assigned a pollution tolerance value ranging from zero to eleven based on available literature and previous field experience. Based on present assessment methods, MBI values reflect water quality as follows (IEPA 1988): < 5.0 - Excellent; 5.0 - 6.0 - Very good; 6.1 - 7.5 - Good/Fair; 7.6 - 10.0 - Poor; > 10.0 - Very Poor. Macroinvertibrate Biotic Index scores for during this time frame indicate that the Sangamon River downstream of the SDD main discharge warrants a ranking of at least "very good" where as quality of the upstream reach is somewhat compromised (Table 5).

Fish

Methods

Fish were collected by hand seining on 17-18 September 2009, with attempts to standardize sampling effort at each site. Fish were identified to species, counted and returned to the stream alive when possible, although voucher specimens were preserved and retained. When field identifications were not practical, specimens were preserved in ten percent formalin and returned to the laboratory. Fish assemblage data were used to determine the community-based Index of Biotic Integrity (IBI), which uses twelve metrics in three categories to appraise fish communities (Karr et al., 1986). Values of 1, 3, and 5 are assigned for each metric, and the values for the individual metrics are then summed to generate a score from 12 to 60. Calculation of IBI values was performed using an interactive program written in Basic for use on an IBM-PC (Bickers et al., 1988). The utility of IBI scores is that they enable qualitative characterization of streams, as follows: 51-60 – excellent; comparable to best situations without human disturbance, 41-50.9 – good; good fishery for gamefish: species richness may be below expectations, 31-40.9 – fair; bullheads, sunfish, and carp predominate; diversity and intolerants reduced, 21-30.9 – poor; fish dominated by omnivores and tolerant forms; diversity notably reduced, <21 – very poor; few fish of any species present, no sport fishery exists.

A total of 2721 fish representing 20 unique species were collected at 11 sites during July 2003 (Table 6). As in previous years, the fish assemblage again was dominated by the family Cyprinidae (minnows and carp). Stream quality in the Sangamon River basin as evaluated by fish population samples and the Index of Biotic Integrity ranged from 28 (Site 4) to 36 (Sites 11 and 12). Overall mean IBIs for data pooled from 2001-2009 were 31.6 and 35.1 for the upstream and downstream reaches, respectively (Table 7) – values which are typical of a "fair" stream habitat.

Discussion

Overall, the Sangamon River extending from the dam, which impounds Lake Decatur to the Wyckles Road Bridge, can be considered a fair quality aquatic system with minimal habitat variety. Although there is significant variation in physical habitats upstream and downstream of the SDD, variability in SHAP ratings were primarily dependent upon such factors as substrate stability, pool variability and quality due to stream flow, and loss or reduction of riparian zone

Table 5. Mean MBI Scores for Sangamon River sites upstream and doenstream of the main discharge from the Sanitary District of Decatur Treatment Plant

Year	Upstream Reach	Downstream Reach
2001	7.3	5.9
2002	7.7	6.2
2003	7.1	5.6
2004	6.3	6.1
2005	6.8	5.7
2006	6.9	5.9
2007	6.8	5.9
2008	6.7	----
2009	----	----
overall mean	**7.0**	**5.9**

Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office :
06/30/2014 - * * * R2014-024 * * *

Table 6. Fish assemblage data and calculated IBI's for 11 mainstem sites in the Sangamon River associated with SDD.

Common Name	Scientific Name	Site #1	Site #3	Site #4	Site #5	Site #6	Site #7	Site #8	Site #9	Site #11	Site #12	Site #14
Mosquitofish	*Gambusia affinis*	37	0	6	0	0	129	7	5	2	7	0
Spotted bass	*Micropterus punctulatus*	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	1	4	0
Johnny darter	*Etheostoma nigrum*	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Bluegill	*Lepomis macrochirus*	1	15	1	3	2	0	4	0	0	3	0
Bluntnose minnow	*Pimephales notatus*	44	81	13	47	4	6	131	0	8	0	0
Red shiner	*Cyprinella lutrensis*	396	4	53	19	6	232	3	22	467	9	249
Channel catfish	*Ictalurus punctatus*	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	1	0	0	0
Striped shiner	*Luxilus crysocephalus*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	0	2
Sand shiner	*Notropis ludibundus*	64	0	8	10	1	25	0	18	253	20	3
Common shiner	*Luxilus cornutus*	0	0	0	0	102	0	0	3	0	47	1
Brook silverside	*Labidesthes sicculus*	36	1	13	39	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Blackside darter	*Percina maculata*	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gizzard shad	*Dorosoma cepedianum*	1	0	0	1	0	6	0	0	0	0	0
Spotfin shiner	*Cyprinella spiloptera*	2	1	0	1	0	7	0	0	0	0	0
Smallmouth bass	*Micropterus dolomieu*	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Creek chub	*Semotilus atromaculatus*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Freshwater drum	*Aplodinotus grunniens*	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Golden redhorse	*Moxostoma erythrurum*	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Suckermouth minnow	*Phenacobius mirabilis*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0
Longear sunfish	*Lepomis megalotis*	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	585	104	94	121	115	413	147	55	740	91	256
	Richness (# of taxa)	11	7	6	8	5	9	6	7	8	7	5
	IBI	32	30	28	32	33	34	34	37	36	36	34

mean UPSTREAM IBI = 31.86

mean DOWNSTREAM IBI = 35.75

Table 7. Meab IBI scores for Sangamon River sites upstream and downstream of the main dischsrge from the Sanitary District of Deactur Treatment Plant

Year	Upstream Reach	Downstream Reach
1998	29	33
2001	32	33
2002	30	34
2003	30	35
2004	30	31
2005	34	34
2006	34	40
2007	31	39
2008	34	36
2009	32	36
overall mean	**31.6**	**35.1**

vegetation that had occurred at each specific site. The primary difference between upstream and downstream reaches is attributable indirectly to metrics related to flow. The downstream reach receives continuous flow from SDD, whereas upstream flow varies greatly due to unpredictable reservoir discharges. Such alterations have lead to simplification of stream habitat with concomitant reduction in species diversity and biotic integrity and an overall decline in quality of the aquatic resource.

Based on physical habitat structure as measured by SHAP, the reaches of the Sangamon River, which we studied, are indistinguishable. Discharge from Lake Decatur is the primary input to the upstream reach, resulting in our observation of relatively higher variability in flow and nutrient concentrations in the upstream reach. Conversely, stable and predictable instream flows observed in the reach downstream of the SDD facilitate development of more diverse biotic communities as confirmed by work conducted in other riverine systems (Sanders 1969; Fisher 1983; Peckarsky 1983; Ward & Stanford 1983; Reice 1985; Ross *et al.* 1985; Walde 1986; Resh *et al.* 1988). Difference in the overall nature of the upstream and downstream reaches becomes less distinct during periods of high reservoir discharge.

We also believe that drastic reduction of instream flow resulting by routine elimination of reservoir discharge is detrimental to habitat quality in the upstream reach. Overall, we suggest that a threshold exists with respect to flow, i.e. periods when discharge is less than 400 cfs. When flow is below this threshold, the upstream and downstream reaches are discrete while they appear to behave as a continuum when discharge exceeds 400 cfs. This suggests that water quality is compromised in the reach of the Sangamon River extending downstream from the dam to the discharge of the main treatment plant of the Sanitary District of Decatur as a result of management to maintain reservoir levels by eliminating outflow. In contrast, effective management of Sangamon River may require maintenance of instream flow above the proposed threshold (400 cfs) by continuous discharge from Lake Decatur.

Sites downstream of SDD are characterized by lower pH, perhaps resulting from addition of CO_2 due to respiratory breakdown of organic matter in the wastewater treatment process. These sites may also have greater potential for instream primary productivity as a result of nutrient loading as indexed by higher levels of dissolved solids, conductivity, total alkalinity, oxidized nitrogen, and phosphorus. Elevated concentrations of suspended solids that occur in the upstream sites indicate that suspended organic material (including phytoplankton algae) derived from the reservoir may be supporting heterotrophs in the upstream reach. In contrast, downstream sites are maintained by autochthonous primary productivity that is supported by relatively higher concentrations of plant nutrients derived from the sanitary discharge. We conclude that SDD discharge may be facilitating a shift from a stream system that relies on allochthonous input of algae to one that relies on autochthonous instream primary productivity.

Qualitative evaluation of the two stream reaches requires assessment of stream biota to determine whether or not differences in the two stream reaches are reflected by higher trophic levels. Such an evaluation of overall stream habitat quality can be made via biotic indices involving macroinvertebrates and fish, taxa that have become widely used for biotic assessments. downstream sites are distinguished by significantly lower MBI scores and higher IBI values, indicative of improved habitat quality capable of supporting diverse biota and a variety of different trophic levels. Downstream sites associated with the main treatment plant outfall from the SDD may have increased integrity due to predictable instream flows and increased autochthonous primary production due in part to nutrient loading.

When comparing our observations made during the 2009 sampling period with data collected in 1992 (IEPA report), 1998 (Sanitary District of Decatur) and 2001-2008 (Sanitary District of Decatur) both IBI and MBI values for downstream sites associated with the main treatment plant outfall were generally similar or slightly improved compared to values obtained during all previous sampling periods. Thus the upgrades performed to the main plant in 1990 and the Lincoln CSO in 1992 by SDD have lead direct to improvement of the water quality of the Sangamon River which has been maintained over recent years. Additionally, there has been no reduction in the quality of the Sangamon River section located near the Sanitary District of Decatur in the last 20 years.

Future Direction

To better assess restoration efforts, biologists need a repeatable index to assess aquatic habitats. Instream habitat has been measure several different methods (SHAP, Habitat Suitability, Habitat diversity); however, these methods are often too expensive or overly simplistic. The Ohio EPA sought to resolve this with the development of the Qualitative Habitat Evaluation Index (QHEI). The index uses a group of six metrics (Substrate; Instream Cover; Channel Moprphology; Riparian Zone and Bank Erosion; Pool/Glide and Riffle/Run Quality; and Gradient) that are important in describing the fish and macroinvertebrate communities in wadable streams (Rankin 1989). One desirable aspect of the QHEI is that scores from the index correlate well with fish IBI. Additionally, the QHEI's ease of data collection and repeatability of the results have lead to the widespread adoption of index throughout the Midwestern United States. Recently, the Illinois Environmental Agency has adopted the use of the QHEI to assess instream physical attributes of all wadeable streams.

Results of the QHEI are a necessary prerequisite to utilization of a more robust sampling method for collection of macroinvertebrates. Based on QHEI scores, stream sites should be sampled for macroinvertebrates using the IEPA 20 jab method as described by the USEPA 2007). The results of these assessments could support a wider range of regulatory and non-regulatory activities in that they provide the basis for i) assessing and reporting aquatic life use support under Sections 303(d) and 305(b) of the Clean Water act, ii) for evaluating the impacts of nonpoint source pollution under section 319 of the Clean Water Act, and iii) for review of permit requirements under the National Pollution Discharge Elimination System.

Mollusks in general, and bivalves in particular, are very sensitive to a number of pollutants, especially heavy metals (Keller, 91) and ammonia (Augspurger et al, 2003). Mussels have proven to be much more sensitive to ammonia than are most fish, which has prompted the USEPA to propose new ammonia criteria based on whether or not mussels are present in a stream (federal register 2009). These guidelines are being reviewed, and it is unclear if these criteria will remain as developed or be modified. At this time the criteria are based solely on presence or absence, apparently without regard to mussel species diversity. Since different species may have variable ammonia sensitivities, it is in the interest of agencies to know the mussel assemblages in impacted waters to comply with proposed regulations, but also to assess the potential of using more species-specific standards. Incorporation of an assessment of mussel assemblages in the Sangamon River may be prudent, as individual species may or may not be impacted by elevated levels of ammonia. A survey of the Sangamon River Basin was conducted from 1987-89 (Schanzle and Cummings, 1991). They sampled from 57 sites within the entire river basin and collected live specimens from 33 different species. Only 2 of their sites were proximate and downstream from the treatment facility, and only 4 species were found in these sites. Because the current status of mussel populations in the study reach is unknown, this effort could be useful for establishing compliance with new ammonia criteria and determination as to whether SDD operations impact mussel populations.

Routine evaluation of fish assemblages by hand seining has proved to be the most consistent and reliable method for biotic assessment of the study reach, and should be continued. However, a large number of anglers exploit the Sangamon River near Decatur daily. Nonetheless, limited information can be determined regarding this resource based on seining. Efforts to assess the sport fish (e.g., sunfishes, catfish, walleye, and temperate basses) assemblages using boat electrofishing may prove useful. Evaluation of age structure, growth, and condition of all sport fishes sampled in the Sangamon River would permit comparisons with the sport fish assemblages from the Sangamon to other Midwestern River systems (i.e. Embarass) and extend our ability to evaluate potential impacts of SDD operations.

Finally, utility of benthic diatoms for biological monitoring was confirmed by our extensive analysis of communities, which developed on artificial substrates during 2002 and 2003 (Thomas 2004). However, as with macroinvertebrates, collection of samples using artificial substrates should to be reconsidered . Excessive loss of samplers due to extreme discharge events or vandalism compromises the utility of this methodology. Attempts should be made in the future to utilize collections from naturally occurring substrates.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

American Public Health Association. 1985. Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater, 16^{th} ed. APHA-AWWA-WPCF.

Augspurger T, Keller AE, Black C, Cope WG, Dwyer FJ. 2003. Water quality guidance for the protection of freshwater mussels (Unionidae) from ammonia exposure. Env Tox Chem 22: 2569-2575.

Bailey, R.C., and P.M. Stokes. 1985. Evaluation of filamentous algae as biomonitors of metal accumulation in softwater lakes: a multivariate approach. Pages 5-26 in R.D. Cardwell, R. Purdy and R.C. Bahner, editors. Aquatic toxicology and hazard assessment: 7^{th} symposium, ASTM STP 854. American Society for Testing Materials, Philadelphia, PA, USA.

Bertrand, B. 1983. Sangamon River Basin Fisheries Assessment. Illinois Department of Conservation, Division of Fish and Wildlife Resources. 69 pp.

Bickers, C.A., M.H. Kelly, J.M. Levesque, and R.L. Hite. 1988. IBI-AIBI Version 2.01: a BASIC program for computing the Index of Biotic Integrity with the IBM-PC. IEPA/WPC/*(-007. Illinois Environmental Protection Agency. Springfield, Illinois.

Box, J.B., and J. Mossa. 1999. Sediment, land use, and freshwater mussels: prospects and problems. J. of the North American Benthological Society18:99–117.

Chiaudani, G., and M. Vighi. 1974. The N:P ratio and tests with *Selenastrum* to predict eutrophication in lakes. Water Res. 8:1063-1069.

Friant, S.L., and H. Koerner. 1981. Use of an in situ artificial substrate for biological accumulation and monitoring of aqueous trace metals: a preliminary field investigation. Water Res. 15:161-167.

Fuller, S.L.H. 1974. Clams and mussels (*Molluska:Bivalvia*). *In* Pollution ecology of freshwater invertebrates.C.W. Hart, Jr., and S.L.H. Fuller, eds.Academic Press, New York, NY

Gilbertson, M. 1990. Freshwater avian and mammalian predators as indicators of aquatic environmental quality. Environ. Monit. Assess. 15:219-223.

Haedrick, R.L. 1975. Diversity and overlap as measures of environmental quality. Water Resources 9:945-952.

Hilsenhoff, W.L. 1982. Using a biotic index to evaluate water quality in streams. Technical Bulletin132: Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources. Madison, Wisconsin.

Hocutt, C.H. 1981. Fish as indicators of biological integrity. Fisheries 6(6):28-31.

Illinois Environmental Protection Agency. 1994. Quality assurance and sampling procedures. Bureau of Water, Springfield, Illinois.

Illinois Environmental Protection Agency. 1988. Biological and water quality survey of the middle Sangamon River. Division of Water Pollution Control, Planning Section, Springfield, Illinois.

Illinois Environmental Protection Agency. 1991. Facility related field survey report. Division of Water Pollution Control, Planning Section, Springfield, Illinois.

Illinois Environmental Protection Agency. 1997. Facility related field survey report. Division of Water Pollution Control, Planning Section, Springfield, Illinois.

Jewell, M.E. 1920. The quality of water in the Sangamon River. Illinois State Water Survey Bulletin No. 16, pp. 230-246.

Karr, J.R. 1981. Assessment of biotic integrity using fish communities. Fisheries 6(6):21-27.

Karr, J.R., K.D. Fausch, P.L. Angermeier, P.R. Yant and I.J. Schlosser. 1986. Assessing biological integrity in running waters, a method and its rational. Illinois Natural History Survey Special Publication 5. Champaign, IL.

Keller AE, Zam SG. 1991. The acute toxicity of selected metals to thte freshwater mussel *Anodonta imbecills*. Environ Toxicol Chem 10:539-546.

Pflieger, W. L. 1975. The fishes of Missouri. Missouri Dept. of Conservation. Jefferson City, MO.

Schanzle RW, Cummings KS, 2001. Survey of the freshwater mussels (Bivalvia:Unionidae) of the Sangamon River Basin, Illinois. IL Nat Hist Survey Bio Notes 137.

Smith, S., and M.K.H. Kwan. 1989. Use of aquatic macrophytes as a bioassay method to assess relative toxicity, uptake kinetics and accumulated forms of trace metals. Hydrobiologia 188/189:345-351.

Stauffer, J.R., Jr., K.L. Dickson, J. Cairns, Jr. and D.S. Cherry. 1976. The potential and realized influence of temperature and distribution of fishes in New River, Glen Lyn, Virginia. Wildlife Monographs No. 50.

Strayer, D.L. 1999. Effects of alien species on freshwater mollusks in North America. J. of the North American Bethological Society 18:74–98.

Takamura, N., F. Kasai, and M.M. Watanabe. 1989. Effects of Cu, Cd and Zn on photosynthesis of freshwater benthic algae. J. Appl. Phyc. 1:39-52.

Thomas, KM. 2004. Diatom assemblages reflect environmental heterogeneity in an urban stream MS Thesis, Eastern Illinois University, Charleston, IL. 80 pp.

Thorp JH, and Covich AP. 2001. In "Ecology and Classification of North American Freshwater Invertebrates. Second edition". Academic Press. pp331-429.

Weber, C.I., editor. 1973. Biological field and laboratory methods for measuring the quality of surface waters and effluents. United States Environmental Protection Agency. EPA-670/4-73-001.

Wetzel, R.G. 1975. Limnology. W.B. Saunders Company, Philadelphia, USA.

Williams JD, Warren ML, Cummings KS, Harris JL, Neves RL. 1993. Conservation status of freshwater mussels of the United States and Canada. *Fisheries* 18:6-22.

Exhibit 28

Biotic assessment of water quality in a reach of the Sangamon River receiving effluent from the Sanitary District of Decatur

REPORT FOR YEAR - 2008

Charles L. Pederson Ph.D. and Robert U. Fischer, Ph.D.
Department of Biological Sciences
Eastern Illinois University
Charleston, Illinois 61920

Submitted to:
Sanitary District of Decatur
501 Dipper Lane
Decatur, Illinois 62522

July, 2009

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

We utilize sampling locations associated with operation of SDD that were easily identified by prominent landmarks within the City of Decatur, Illinois, USA. Sites were established initially in 1998 during an assessment of potential impacts of discharges from combined sewage overflow (CSO) facilities as well as the main treatment plant. Throughout this report, we will refer to general locations as either UPSTREAM or DOWNSTREAM of the SDD main treatment plant discharge.

Levels of 12 water quality variables were determined form eleven mainstem sites in 2006. Previously, we documented that UPSTREAM and DOWNSTREAM reaches are distinct on the basis of their physical and chemical characteristics. Discharge from Lake Decatur is the primary input to the UPSTREAM reach, resulting in our observation of relatively higher variability in flow and nutrient concentrations. Conversely, stable and predictable instream flows observed in the reach DOWNSTREAM of the SDD facilitate development of more diverse biotic communities. Difference in the overall nature of the UPSTREAM and DOWNSTREAM reaches becomes less distinct during periods of high reservoir discharge.

Collection of diatoms assemblage data has routinely been hampered by disappearance of greater than half of the artificial substrates that were deployed, either through vandalism or natural disturbance. In 2008, all substrates were lost, presumably due to extreme discharges (exceeding 2000 cfs) which occurred immediately following deployment. Loss of the majority of samplers is a drawback to this aspect of the study and efforts are intended for upcoming sampling efforts to evaluate utilization of natural substrates to avoid past difficulties.

Likewise, Hester-Dendy Multiplate samplers which are used for assessment of macroinvertebrate assemblages are subject to loss, . For the eleven sampling locations we were only able to collect data from two sites along the stretch of the Sangamon River associated with the Sanitary District of Decatur. A total of 1690 organisms representing 15 macroinvertebrate taxa were collected (Table 3). The observed MBI values were 6.8 and 6.6 for sites 5 and 7, respectively. The highest percent of organisms collected were larval stages of the order Diptera. MBI scores for the 2 main channel sites assessed in 2008 were consistent with MBI values obtained during 1998 and 2001 - 2007. MBI scores averaged over the seven year for UPSTREAM and DOWNSTREAM sites were 7.05 and 5.85, respectively (Table 4). Both of these overall scores warrant a "good/fair rating." However, as reported in 2007, two-factor ANOVA revealed the difference in MBI values to be significant ($p<0.05$) between upstream and downstream sites, indicating that stream habitat quality is better at the DOWNSTREAM sites.

Stream quality in the Sangamon River basin was evaluated by fish population samples and the Index of Biotic Integrity. A total of 4044 fish representing 19 species from 10 families were collected at 11 sites on 3-4 October (Table 5). As in the previous sample periods the fish community in 2008 again was dominated by the family Cyprinidae (minnows and carp), although dignificant numbers of gizzard shad and various centrarchids (e.g., sunfish, bass) also were collected. Stream quality in the Sangamon River basin as evaluated by fish population samples and the Index of Biotic Integrity ranged from 30 (Sites 1) to 40 (Site 3), indicating overall stream quality of poor to good. Overall mean IBIs for data pooled from 1998, 2001-2008 were 31 and 35 for the UPSTREAM and DOWNSTREAM reaches, respectively. Two-factor ANOVA confirmed this difference to be significant ($p<0.05$), suggesting that overall habitat quality, based on the fish community, is improved in the DOWNSTREAM reach.

During the 2009-2010 contract year, special projects have been developed in consultation with SDD personnel and are being conducted in addition to the routine monitoring activites that have been carried out in years past. One of these is intended to determine the effects of sanitary effluent on benthic algal assemblage structure and productivity using an artificial stream approach. This is intended to allay difficulties we have had involving loss of artificial substrates. A second project is an investigation of the effects of land use and land cover on nutrient loading from subwatersheds that feed into Lake Decatur. This knowledge will be useful for evaluating the contribution of forms of dissolved nitrogen and phosphorus to the Sangamon River via export from Lake Decatur. The third project is an investigation of fish assemblages in these same upstream tributaries as influenced by stream physical and chemical variables. These data will provide a reference for the long term data that we have collected on fish assemblages in the Sangamon River downstream of the reservoir.

Biotic assessment of water quality in a reach of the Sangamon River receiving effluent from the Sanitary District of Decatur

REPORT FOR YEAR - 2008

Charles L. Pederson Ph.D. and Robert U. Fischer, Ph.D.
Department of Biological Sciences
Eastern Illinois University
Charleston, Illinois 61920

Submitted to:
Sanitary District of Decatur
501 Dipper Lane
Decatur, Illinois 62522

July, 2009

Introduction

Impoundment of rivers to create reservoirs used for irrigation purposes, as urban water supplies, and recreation, is commonplace. However, impoundments may impact downstream aquatic systems and their surrounding terrestrial habitats. Diminished water quality and availability, closures of fisheries, extirpation of species, groundwater depletion, and more frequent and intense flooding are increasingly distinguished as consequences of current river management associated with impoundments (Abramovitz 1996, Collier *et al* 1996, Naiman *et al* 1995). Specifically, dams can affect riverine systems by altering flow regime, changing nutrient and sediment loads, and modifying energy flow (Ligon *et al* 1995). As a result, river reaches downstream from a dam may no longer able to support native species, which will be reflected by reduced integrity of biotic communities. (Naiman *et al* 1995, NRC 1992).

A natural flow regime is critical for sustaining ecosystem integrity and native biodiversity in rivers (Poff, *et al.* 1997). Dams can have varying effects on downstream aquatic habitats depending on the purpose for which the dam was built. Impoundments used for urban water supplies reduce flow rates below the dam throughout the entire year (Finlayson et al. 1994) as well as increased daily and seasonal variability in flow regime (Finlayson et al. 1994, McMahon & Finlayson 2003). In addition, abiotic variables including temperature, dissolved oxygen, turbidity, pH, conductivity and solids concentrations are altered in the downstream river system (e.g., (Finlayson et al. 1994).

Along with stream impoundments, point source and non-point source pollution can have profound effects on the ecological integrity of river systems. Non-point sources of pollution may include agriculture, livestock grazing, and urbanization while sanitary discharge and industrial waste are examples of point source pollution. To reduce point source pollution, the Water Quality Act of 1972 encouraged wastewater treatment plants to upgrade their systems and, as a result, many communities were forced to build advanced tertiary water treatment facilities (Karr et al 1985). Yet these treatment facilities still export high concentrations of nutrients into rivers. Carpenter and Waite (2000) documented that concentrations of phosphorus were highest in streams draining agricultural basins and at sites influenced by wastewater discharges, while Twichell et al (2002) reported that sewage effluent inputs had elevated nitrate levels. These enhanced nutrient inputs can be expected to increase productivity within a river because primary productivity and detrital processing usually are limited by low ambient stream nutrient concentrations (Stockner and Shortreed 1978, Elwood et al 1981, Winterbourn 1990).

Physical habitat (e.g., flow regime, bottom substrate composition, instream cover, etc.) and chemical water quality must be suitable for support of individual species in lotic systems and maintenance of the integrity of aquatic communities. The

Sangamon River offers an opportunity to study these relationships in a stream influenced by impoundment as well as point source discharges. The Sangamon River Basin is a 14,000 km^2 watershed covering all or portions of eighteen counties in central Illinois. More than 3540 km of streams within the basin course through glacial and alluvial deposits creating typically low gradient stream with sand and gravel substrates. Streams within the basin have been impacted for most of the past century, receiving inputs from both point and non-point sources. Current land use is 80% agricultural of which 85% is corn or soybeans. The great expanses of prairie that once existed in Illinois have been reduced to isolated hill and sand prairies coupled with remnants along highway and railroad right-of-ways and native deciduous woodlands now are limited to stream riparian areas. Major metropolitan areas associated with the Sangamon River are Bloomington, Decatur, and Springfield representing a combined population of more than 500,000 residents. Impoundments associated with urbanization include Lake Taylorville, Lake Sangchris, and Lake Springfield on the South Fork of the Sangamon; Clinton Lake on Salt Creek; as well as Lake Decatur.

With such influential factors at play, the status of the biotic integrity of the Sangamon River system is constantly in flux. In 1998-99 and continuing from 2001-2006, an intensive sampling program was initiated to document temporal and spatial heterogeneity of an 8.5 km urban reach of the Sangamon River beginning just below the Lake Decatur Dam and extending downstream to incorporate discharges from the Sanitary District of Decatur (SDD). This study has been intended to characterize stream habitat quality and to assess impacts resulting from ongoing municipal and reservoir management by evaluating biotic integrity at various trophic levels in the context of the physical and chemical nature of the Sangamon River.

Project History

We utilize sampling locations associated with operation of SDD that were easily identified by prominent landmarks within the City of Decatur, Illinois, USA (Table 1). Sites were established initially in 1998 during an assessment of potential impacts of discharges from combined sewage overflow (CSO) facilities as well as the main treatment plant. All sites were located in the mainstem of the Sangamon River extending from just downstream of the dam, which impounds Lake Decatur to the Wyckles Road Bridge on the west edge of Decatur. Sites 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8 are within the UPSTREAM reach extending from the dam to the discharge of the main treatment plant, and Sites 9, 11 and 12 are located in the DOWNSTREAM reach which extends from the main treatment plant discharge to the Wyckles Road Bridge. Throughout this report, we will refer to general locations as either UPSTREAM or DOWNSTREAM of the SDD main treatment plant discharge. During 2003, samples also were collected from the Sangamon River at an additional DOWNSTREAM site (#14) located 1km north of the intersection of CR 600E and

CR 800N, near the Lincoln Trail Homestead State Park. Site 2 (an open channel entering the Sangamon River from the Lincoln Park CSO) and Site 10 (located in Stevens Creek in Fairview Park) are distinct from other sites largely due to their location outside of the mainstem of the Sangamon River. Because these Sites are more or less isolated from reservoir or sanitary discharges, they are not included in sample protocol after 2003.

The Stream Habitat Assessment Procedure (SHAP), which evaluates lotic habitat quality using features considered important to biotic integrity, was performed by us during the month of July in 1998, 2001, and 2002 through 2006. At each stream site, two individuals independently assigned metrics related to substrate and instream cover, channel morphology and hydrology, and riparian and bank features to one of four habitat quality types using guidelines established by the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (1994). The mean total score of the 15 metrics forms the basis of an overall habitat quality rating for the stream reach under consideration. Habitat quality of the UPSTREAM and DOWNSTREAM reaches were categorized on the basis of its SHAP score as follows: <59 = Very Poor; 59 - 100 = Fair; 100 - 142 = Good; > 142 = Excellent. Average SHAP scores for UPSTREAM and DOWNSTREAM sites were 84 and 95, respectively. Nonetheless, physical habitat structure based on SHAP still results in classification of all mainstem sites as "fair" quality stream reaches indicating that the physical structure of the stream is homogeneous.

This overall physical structure provides a backdrop for the ability of the study reach to support a diverse flora and fauna. Routine assessment of characteristic water quality variables superimposed on substrate characteristics, channel morphology and bank features can aid in understanding the functioning of stream systems. Given that organisms exist within often-narrow ranges of tolerance for certain physical and chemical characteristics of their environment, analysis of these variables is imperative for understanding the potential for anthropogenic impacts to decrease biotic integrity of natural systems. As a result, we incorporated routine analyses of various physical and chemical features of the Sangamon River sites studied since 2002, which based on principal components analysis, revealed significant differences between the UPSTREAM and DOWNSTREAM reaches. Monitoring of relevant variables continues through 2008.

Qualitative judgements (good vs. bad) based on established biocriteria using data from 1998, 2000 -2008 were consistent. The Macroinvertebrate Biotic Index classified both reaches as GOOD/FAIR, however, the MBI downstream was significantly different from the upstream MBI, indicating conditions significantly improved DOWNSTREAM of the discharge from the SDD main treatment. And the Fish Index of Biotic Integrity calculated from 1998, 2001 through 2007 classified both reaches as FAIR, but was able to detect a significant difference between stream reaches with improved habitat DOWNSTREAM of the discharge from the SDD main treatment. Since 2002 we have attempted to refine our sampling protocol for development of benthic algae for monitoring stream habitat quality. Indices of diatom community structure did not differ between UPSTREAM and DOWNSTREAM reaches based on analysis of spring and fall sample periods. However, qualitative comparisons of shifts in community dominance were possible and clearly indicated promise for utility of these organisms for biomonitoring stream conditions.

Methods

Field data collection and water chemistry determination

Water quality data were collected on nine occasions from May, 2008 to March, 2009. Sampling was initiated at the Lake Decatur dam and proceded downstream. While in the field, additional abiotic variables (dissolved oxygen, pH, conductivity, and temperature) were determined using a Eureka Amphibian and Manta multiprobe. Surface water samples were collected at 0.3 m below the surface and returned to the laboratory on ice and analyzed within generally accepted time limits. All sampling and analyses were conducted according to Standard Methods for Examination of Water and Wastewater (APHA, 1995). In the laboratory, suspended and total solids determinations were made by drying residue collected on standard glass fiber filters as well as unfiltered samples placed into tared porcelain crucibles at 103-105 °C. Total dissolved solids were calculated by difference. Total phosphorus (following persulfate digestion) and soluble reactive phosphorus (utilizing filtered, undigested, sample aliquots) were determined using the ascorbic acid method. The phenate method was used for determination of ammonia nitrogen, and total oxidized nitrogen (NO_2-N + NO_3-N) was determined via the cadmium reduction method. Colorimetry of all nutrient analyses was determined using a Beckman DU 530 Life Science UV/Vis Spectrophotometer. Alkalinity and hardness were measured by titration to colorimetric endpoint methods. For all chemical analyses, due consideration was given to quality control and quality assurance procedures, including but not limited to parallel analyses of laboratory standards.

Macroinvertebrates

As in past years, we attempted to collect macroinvertebrate samples using modified multiplate samplers (Hester and Dendy 1962). Substrates were placed on the stream bottom for periods of six weeks, beginning 27 June, 2008 to allow colonization. All but two samplers were lost, likely due to high stream discharge (although vandalism remains as a potential source of disruption). Samplers which were located at Sites 5 and 7 on 8 August 2008 were collected with aid of a dip-net, in order to avoid loss of invertebrates, and placed in wide-mouth plastic containers. All organisms were preserved in the field with 95% ethanol containing rose bengal. After sorting, macroinvertebrates were identified to the lowest possible taxonomic level and data were used to calculate a Macroinvertebrate Biotic Index (MBI) according to Hilsenhoff (1982). In this method, each taxon is assigned a pollution tolerance value ranging from zero to eleven based on available literature and previous field experience. Based on present assessment methods, MBI values reflect water quality as follows (IEPA 1988): < 5.0 - Excellent; 5.0 - 6.0 - Very good; 6.1 - 7.5 - Good/Fair; 7.6 - 10.0 - Poor; > 10.0 - Very Poor. Macroinvertibrate Biotic Index scores for 2007 were compared to those data, which were pooled from 1998, 2001 through 2006.

Fish

Fish were sampled on 3-4 October 2008 by hand seining, with attempts to standardize sampling effort at each site. Fish were identified to species, counted and returned to the stream alive when possible, although voucher specimens were preserved and retained. When field identifications were not practical, specimens were preserved in ten percent formalin and returned to the laboratory. Fish community data were used to determine the community-based Index of Biotic Integrity (IBI), which uses twelve metrics in three categories to appraise fish communities (Karr et al., 1986). Values of 1, 3, and 5 are assigned for each metric, and the values for the individual metrics are then summed to generate a score from 12 to 60. Calculation of IBI values was aided by an interactive program written in Basic for use on an IBM-PC (Bickers et al., 1988). The utility of IBI scores is that they enable qualitative characterization of streams, as follows: 51-60 – excellent; comparable to best situations without human disturbance, 41-50.9 – good; good fishery for gamefish; species richness may be below expectations, 31-40.9 – fair; bullheads, sunfish, and carp predominate; diversity and intolerants reduced, 21-30.9 – poor; fish dominated by omnivores and tolerant forms; diversity notably reduced, <21 – very poor; few fish of any species present, no sport fishery exists. Fish IBI scores for 2007 were compared to those data, which were pooled from 1998, 2001 and 2002 through 2006.

Benthic algal (diatom) samples

Artificial substrates were deployed at 11 sites in the main channel of the Sangamon River beginning 24 June, 2008. Substrates were 1 x 3 inch clean glass microscope slides suspended at the surface of the stream in commercially available periphytometers (Wildco, Inc.). Difficulties with this sampling protocol were similar, but more severe than that realized in previous years, as substrates were lost at all sites due either to natural occurrence (i.e., high discharge events) or due to vandalism. As such, analysis of diatom assemblages was not possible.

Results

Water chemistry

Levels of 13 separate water quality variables were determined for eleven mainstem sites in 2008 (Table 2). The trend established in prior sampling years continued throughout this recent sampling period, with levels for each of the variables being generally higher in DOWNSTREAM locations. Most notably, higher concentrations of forms of phosphorus and nitrogen were observed along with a general trend of elevated conductivity, presumably resulting from discharge from the main treatment plant of the Sanitary District of Decatur. Water chemistry continued to be relatively homogeneous over the entire study reach during periods of high discharge from the dam which impounds Lake Decatur.

Macroinvertebrates

Although a total of 11 Hester-Dendy Multiplate samplers were placed along the main stem of the Sangamon River associated with the Sanitary District of Decatur for determination of macroinvertebrate communities, only two of the samplers were recovered following a 6-week exposure period. A total of 1690 organisms representing 15 macroinvertebrate taxa were collected (Table 3). The observed MBI values were 6.8 and 6.6 for sites 5 and 7, respectively. The highest percent of organisms collected were larval stages of the order Diptera. MBI scores for the 2 main channel sites assessed in 2008 were consistent with MBI values obtained during 1998 and 2001 - 2007. MBI scores averaged over the seven year for UPSTREAM and DOWNSTREAM sites were 7.05 and 5.85, respectively (Table 4). Both of these overall scores warrant a "good/fair rating." However, as reported in 2007, two-factor ANOVA revealed the difference in MBI values to be significant ($p<0.05$) between upstream and downstream sites, indicating that stream habitat quality is better at the DOWNSTREAM sites.

Fish

A total of 4044 fish representing 19 species from 10 families were collected at 11 sites on 3-4 October (Table 5). As in the previous sample periods the fish community in 2008 again was dominated by the family Cyprinidae (minnows and carp), although dignificant numbers of gizzard shad and various centrarchids (e.g., sunfish, bass) also were collected. Stream quality in the Sangamon River basin as evaluated by fish population samples and the Index of Biotic Integrity ranged from 30 (Sites 1) to 40 (Site 3), indicating overall stream quality of poor to good. Overall mean IBIs for data pooled from 1998, 2001-2008 were 31 and 35 for the UPSTREAM and DOWNSTREAM reaches, respectively. Two-factor ANOVA confirmed this difference to be significant ($p<0.05$), suggesting that overall habitat quality, based on the fish community, is improved in the DOWNSTREAM reach.

Discussion

Overall, the Sangamon River extending from the dam, which impounds Lake Decatur to the Wyckles Road Bridge, can be considered a fair quality aquatic system with minimal habitat variety. Although there is significant variation in physical habitats UPSTREAM and DOWNSTREAM of the SDD, variability in SHAP ratings were primarily dependent upon such factors as substrate stability, pool variability and quality due to stream flow, and loss or reduction of riparian zone vegetation that had occurred at each specific site. The primary difference between UPSTREAM and DOWNSTREAM reaches is attributable indirectly to metrics related to flow. The DOWNSTREAM reach receives continuous flow from SDD, whereas UPSTREAM flow varies greatly due to unpredictable reservoir discharges. Such alterations have lead to simplification of stream habitat with concomitant reduction in species diversity and biotic integrity and an overall decline in quality of the aquatic resource.

Based on physical habitat structure as measured by SHAP, the reaches of the Sangamon River, which we studied, are indistinguishable. However, past detailed analyses have shown that UPSTREAM and DOWNSTREAM reaches are distinct on the basis of their physical and chemical characteristics. Discharge from Lake Decatur is the primary input to the UPSTREAM reach, resulting in our observation of relatively higher variability in flow and nutrient concentrations. Conversely, stable and predictable instream flows observed in the reach DOWNSTREAM of the SDD facilitate development of more diverse biotic communities as confirmed by work conducted in other riverine systems (Sanders 1969; Fisher 1983; Peckarsky 1983; Reice 1985; Ross *et al.* 1985; Walde 1986; Resh *et al.* 1988). Difference in the overall nature of the UPSTREAM and DOWNSTREAM reaches becomes less distinct during periods of high reservoir discharge.

We also believe that drastic reduction of instream flow resulting by routine elimination of reservoir discharge is detrimental to habitat quality in the UPSTREAM reach. We previously have suggested that a threshold exists with respect to flow, i.e. periods when discharge is less than 400 cfs. When flow is below this threshold, the UPSTREAM and DOWNSTREAM reaches are discrete, while they appear to behave as a continuum when discharge exceeds 400 cfs. This suggests that water quality is compromised in the reach of the Sangamon River extending downstream from the dam to the discharge of the main treatment plant of the Sanitary District of Decatur as a result of management to maintain reservoir levels by eliminating outflow. In contrast, effective management of Sangamon River may require maintenance of instream flow above the proposed threshold (400 cfs) by continuous discharge from Lake Decatur.

Sites DOWNSTREAM of SDD may have greater potential for instream primary productivity as a result of nutrient loading as indexed by higher levels of dissolved solids, conductivity, total alkalinity, oxidized nitrogen, and phosphorus. We believe that suspended organic material including phytoplankton algae derived from the reservoir may be supporting heterotrophs in the UPSTREAM sites. In contrast, DOWNSTREAM sites are maintained by autochthonous primary productivity that is supported by relatively higher concentrations of plant nutrients derived from the sanitary discharge. We conclude that SDD discharge may be facilitating a shift from a stream system that relies on allochthonous input of algae to one that relies on autochthonous instream primary productivity.

Qualitative evaluation of the two stream reaches requires assessment of stream biota to determine whether or not differences in the two stream reaches are reflected by higher trophic levels. Such an evaluation of overall stream habitat quality can be made via biotic indices involving macroinvertebrates and fish, taxa that have become widely used for biotic assessments. DOWNSTREAM sites were characterized during 1998, 2001-2008 by significantly lower MBI scores and higher IBI values, indicative of improved habitat quality capable of supporting diverse biota and a variety of different trophic levels. DOWNSTREAM sites associated with the main treatment plant outfall from the SDD may have increased integrity due to predictable instream flows and increased autochthonous primary production due in part to nutrient loading.

When comparing our observations made during the 2008 sampling period with data collected in 1992 (IEPA report), 1998 (Sanitary District of Decatur) and 2001-2007 (Sanitary District of Decatur) both IBI and MBI values for DOWNSTREAM sites associated with the main treatment plant outfall were generally similar or slightly improved compared to values obtained during all previous sampling periods. Thus the upgrades performed to the main plant in 1990 and the Lincoln CSO in 1992 by the sanitation district have lead direct to improvement of the water quality of the Sangamon River which has been maintained over the past eight years. Additionally, there has been no reduction in the quality of the Sangamon River section located near the Sanitary District of Decatur in the last 20 years.

The 2008 sampling season was fraught with difficulties associated with frequent and extended high discharges from Lake Decatur (Figure 1). Artificial substrates for collection of benthic diatoms and macroinvertebrates were deployed on 27 June 2008, which was the first instance during the summer sampling period when discharge was at a level which would permit deployment. Unfortunately, due to high precipitation, discharge from the reservoir was elevated to over 2000 cfs from 9-16 July 2008, with a peak discharge of 5530 on 12 July 2008. We have little doubt that these extreme flows resulted in the loss of all floating periphyton samplers and all but 2 of the Hester-Dendy plate samplers for macroinvertebrates.

During the 2009-2010 contract year, special projects have been developed in consultation with SDD personnel and are being conducted in addition to the routine monitoring activites as have been carried out in years past. These projects, which have been ongoing since implementation began in March, 2009, are the focus of 3

M.S. theses in the Department of Biological Sciences at EIU. One of these is intended to determine the effects of sanitary effluent on benthic algal assemblage structure and productivity using an artificial stream approach. This is intended to allay difficulties we have had involving loss of artificial substrates. A second project is an investigation of the effects of land use and land cover on nutrient loading from subwatersheds that feed into Lake Decatur. This knowledge will be useful for evaluating the contribution of forms of dissolved nitrogen and phosphorus to the Sangamon River via export from Lake Decatur. The third project is an investigation of fish assemblages in these same upstream tributaries as influenced by stream physical and chemical variables. These data will provide a reference for the long term data that we have collected on fish assemblages in the Sangamon River downstream of the reservoir.

Table 1. List of the 13 sites utilized by the Department of Biological Sciences for studies conducted on reaches of the Sangamon River associated with the Sanitary District of Decatur.

Site #1 - Lincoln Park - above outfall
Site #2 - Lincoln Park - outfall canal
Site #3 - Lincoln Park - below outfall
Site #4 - Oakland (Lincoln Park Drive) - above outfall
Site #5 - Oakland (Lincoln Park Drive) - below outfall
Site #6 - 7th Ward - upstream of outfall
Site #7 - 7th Ward - downstream of outfall
Site #8 - SDD Main Treatment Plant - upstream of main outfall
Site #9 - SDD Main treatment Plant - downstream of main outfall
Site #10 - Stevens Creek in Fairview Park
Site #11 - Sangamon River - downstream of Stevens Creek
Site #12 - Sangamon River at Wyckles Road
Site # 14 - near the Lincoln Trail Homestead State Park, 1km north of CR 600E and CR 800N

Table 2. Measured water quality variables for 11 mainstem sites in the Sangamon River associated with the SDD.

Date	Location	D.O.	Temp.	pH	Cond	Hardness	total Alkalinity	TON	NH4	PO_4 TP	PO_4 - SRP	TSS (ppm)	TS(ppm)	TDS (ppm)
05.09.08	1	11.4	16.5	8.4	542.0	287.8	195.4	5.00	0.07	0.09	0.03	24.0	380.0	356.0
07.10.08	1	8.1	26.4	8.0	526.0	265.3	265.2	4.30	0.15	0.23	0.04	31.3	337.3	306.0
08.12.08	1	8.0	25.8	9.0	472.0	228.6	293.2	1.30	0.11	0.16	0.02	22.2	306.7	284.4
09.09.08	1	8.7	22.6	8.1	384.0	200.0	195.4	0.82	0.00	0.03	0.23	30.7	228.0	197.3
10.21.08	1	11.2	16.0	8.4	499.0	363.3	279.2	2.78	0.06	0.16	0.03	22.4	324.0	301.6
11.03.08	1	13.0	12.1	8.7	581.0	326.5	307.1	2.72	0.06	0.31	0.07	17.2	392.0	374.8
01.20.09	1	15.1	1.5	9.3	641.0	338.8	307.1	0.05	0.14	0.15	0.12	8.3	397.3	389.0
02.25.09	1	15.3	1.7	8.4	559.0	286.7	307.1	6.18	0.15	0.18	0.10	21.3	397.3	376.0
03.30.09	1	12.6	9.9	8.6	534.0	275.0	279.2	5.23	0.01	0.15	0.05	.	.	.
AVERAGE		11.5	14.7	8.5	526.4	285.8	269.9	3.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	22.2	345.3	323.1
number		9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	8	8	8
05.09.08	3	10.2	16.5	8.5	542.0	328.6	293.2	4.98	0.02	0.10	0.03	25.5	376.0	350.5
07.10.08	3	8.1	26.4	8.1	527.0	265.3	251.3	4.60	0.18	0.16	0.07	42.7	340.0	297.3
08.12.08	3	8.4	25.9	9.0	474.0	228.6	237.3	1.11	0.11	0.23	0.02	27.0	314.7	287.7
09.09.08	3	8.5	22.5	8.1	386.0	195.9	181.5	0.85	0.00	0.07	0.20	26.0	264.0	238.0
10.21.08	3	10.6	16.0	8.4	500.0	318.4	265.2	2.57	0.03	0.18	0.01	18.8	318.7	299.9
11.03.08	3	12.7	12.0	8.6	581.0	326.5	321.1	2.66	0.08	0.29	0.08	14.8	386.7	371.9
01.20.09	3	14.9	1.5	9.3	642.0	351.0	307.1	0.06	0.03	0.16	0.12	9.6	396.0	386.4
02.25.09	3	15.6	1.7	8.1	560.0	286.7	258.3	5.56	0.11	0.19	0.10	35.7	408.0	372.3
03.30.09	3	12.1	9.8	8.6	535.0	286.7	265.2	5.15	0.14	0.17	0.05	.	.	.
AVERAGE		11.2	14.7	8.5	527.4	287.5	264.5	3.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	25.0	350.5	325.5
number		9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	8	8	8

Table 2. (cont.)

Date	Location	D.O.	Temp.	pH	Cond	Hardness	total Alkalinity	TON	NH4	PO_4 TP	PO_4 - SRP	TSS (ppm)	TS(ppm)	TDS (ppm)
05.09.08	4	10.3	16.4	8.5	550.0	310.2	146.6	5.00	0.07	0.09	0.03	25.0	376.0	351.0
07.10.08	4	7.9	26.5	8.1	525.0	263.3	265.2	6.61	0.20	0.20	0.05	44.7	348.0	303.3
08.12.08	4	8.6	25.5	8.9	474.0	232.7	251.3	0.90	0.08	0.23	0.02	75.4	397.3	321.9
09.09.08	4	9.2	22.6	8.1	394.0	212.2	195.4	0.87	0.00	0.06	2.31	25.3	285.3	260.0
10.21.08	4	10.6	15.9	8.3	515.0	314.3	265.2	2.65	0.12	0.15	0.01	16.0	333.3	317.3
11.03.08	4	12.9	12.2	8.5	581.0	342.9	307.1	2.78	0.03	0.28	0.07	14.0	401.3	387.3
01.20.09	4	14.7	1.5	9.0	644.0	351.0	293.2	0.05	0.13	0.16	0.11	10.6	409.3	398.7
02.25.09	4	14.8	1.9	8.2	560.0	292.6	265.2	5.63	0.12	0.18	0.10	21.7	406.7	385.0
03.30.09	4	12.4	10.0	8.5	537.0	278.9	251.3	4.85	0.04	0.14	0.05			
AVERAGE		11.3	14.7	8.5	531.1	288.7	249.0	3.3	0.1	0.2	0.3	29.1	369.7	340.6
number		9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	8	8	8
05.09.08	5	10.3	16.4	8.5	547.0	287.8	314.1	5.63	0.05	0.09	0.03	21.3	378.7	357.3
07.10.08	5	7.9	26.5	8.2	525.0	265.3	286.2	4.90	0.20	0.21	0.08	50.0	341.3	291.3
08.12.08	5	8.2	25.6	9.0	488.0	228.6	223.4	1.51	0.11	0.16	0.03	33.7	338.7	305.0
09.09.08	5	8.7	22.7	8.1	394.0	204.1	181.5	0.82	0.00	1.05	0.15	34.7	280.0	245.3
10.21.08	5	10.3	16.0	8.4	508.0	318.4	265.2	2.67	0.12	0.15	0.02	19.2	265.3	246.1
11.03.08	5	12.2	13.4	8.5	583.0	326.5	307.1	2.88	0.07	0.29	0.07	16.4	389.3	372.9
01.20.09	5	15.0	1.5	9.0	651.0	334.7	307.1	0.06	0.13	0.17	0.09	13.0	405.3	392.3
02.25.09	5	14.5	1.9	8.2	566.0	275.0	251.3	5.97	0.16	0.19	0.10	22.7	412.0	389.3
03.30.09	5	12.1	10.0	8.5	536.0	278.9	251.3	5.11	0.04	0.13	0.05			
AVERAGE		11.0	14.9	8.5	533.1	279.9	265.2	3.3	0.1	0.3	0.1	26.4	351.3	325.0
number		9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	8	8	8

Table 2. (cont.)

Date	Location	D.O.	Temp.	pH	Cond	Hardness	total Alkalinity	TON	NH4	PO_4 TP	PO_4 - SRP	TSS (ppm)	TS(ppm)	TDS (ppm)
05.09.08	6	10.2	16.3	8.4	559.0	289.8	237.3	5.13	0.05	0.07	0.03	22.8	392.0	369.2
07.10.08	6	7.9	26.5	8.2	526.0	277.5	258.3	8.28	0.16	0.19	0.05	46.7	338.7	292.0
08.12.08	6	8.1	25.4	8.9	483.0	228.6	265.2	1.43	0.04	0.18	0.01	28.6	345.3	316.8
09.09.08	6	8.8	22.8	8.1	398.0	200.0	195.4	0.80	0.00	0.15	0.06	29.3	285.3	256.0
10.21.08	6	10.6	16.1	8.4	506.0	273.5	279.2	2.67	0.11	0.14	0.01	18.3	270.7	252.3
11.03.08	6	13.0	12.3	8.5	584.0	326.5	293.2	3.02	0.07	0.30	0.07	15.2	397.3	382.1
01.20.09	6	14.8	1.4	9.0	651.0	334.7	293.2	0.05	0.15	0.17	0.10	12.4	408.0	395.6
02.25.09	6	14.2	2.0	8.5	564.0	280.9	293.2	5.91	0.15	0.24	0.09	22.3	429.3	407.0
03.30.09	6	12.1	10.1	8.5	540.0	286.7	251.3	4.99	0.02	0.12	0.05	.	.	.
AVERAGE		11.1	14.7	8.5	534.6	277.6	262.9	3.6	0.1	0.2	0.1	24.5	358.3	333.9
number		9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	8	8	8
05.09.08	7	11.4	16.2	8.7	498.0	273.5	244.3	5.30	0.05	0.07	0.03	28.4	352.0	323.6
07.10.08	7	7.9	26.6	8.1	525.0	269.4	279.2	6.69	0.17	0.18	0.05	49.3	333.3	284.0
08.12.08	7	7.8	24.8	8.8	506.0	244.9	223.4	1.03	0.10	0.21	0.02	36.0	368.0	332.0
09.09.08	7	8.8	22.9	8.0	399.0	224.5	181.5	0.92	0.00	0.05	0.18	34.0	290.7	256.7
10.21.08	7	10.4	15.7	8.3	508.0	314.3	293.2	2.63	0.18	0.16	0.01	16.3	334.7	318.4
11.03.08	7	12.8	12.3	8.5	581.0	330.6	293.2	2.72	0.06	0.29	0.08	20.0	408.0	388.0
01.20.09	7	14.6	0.9	9.0	652.0	346.9	321.1	0.05	0.21	0.17	0.11	11.4	425.3	413.9
02.25.09	7	14.2	1.9	8.5	566.0	292.6	265.2	5.91	0.17	0.19	0.09	24.0	425.3	401.3
03.30.09	7	12.1	10.2	8.6	539.0	290.7	265.2	4.81	0.01	0.12	0.04	.	.	.
AVERAGE		11.1	14.6	8.5	530.4	287.5	262.9	3.3	0.1	0.2	0.1	27.4	367.2	339.7
number		9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	8	8	8

Tablo 2. (cont.)

Date	Location	D.O.	Temp.	pH	Cond	Hardness	total Alkalinity	TON	NH4	PO_4 TP	PO_4 - SRP	TSS (ppm)	TS(ppm)	TDS (ppm)
05.09.08	8	11.3	16.2	8.7	501.0	249.0	209.4	4.22	0.03	0.08	0.03	18.0	348.0	330.0
07.10.08	8	7.9	26.6	8.2	525.0	540.8	251.3	13.79	0.18	0.17	0.07	55.3	348.0	292.7
08.12.08	8	7.4	24.4	8.8	518.0	257.1	293.2	1.72	0.02	0.19	0.02	31.0	372.0	341.0
09.09.08	8	8.7	22.8	8.0	400.0	183.7	181.5	0.91	0.00	0.04	0.20	32.0	302.7	270.7
10.21.08	8	10.2	15.6	8.3	508.0	293.9	265.2	2.72	0.04	0.16	0.01	16.5	330.7	314.2
11.03.08	8	12.8	12.5	8.6	579.0	322.4	293.2	2.40	0.05	0.30	0.07	18.0	397.3	379.3
01.20.09	8	14.4	1.0	9.0	652.0	330.6	293.2	0.05	0.17	0.16	0.11	12.7	418.7	406.0
02.25.09	8	14.2	2.0	8.3	566.0	296.6	265.2	5.84	0.17	0.19	0.09	22.4	424.0	401.6
03.30.09	8	12.4	10.3	8.7	539.0	286.7	251.3	5.23	0.05	0.12	0.05	.	.	.
AVERAGE		11.0	14.6	8.5	532.0	306.8	255.9	4.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	25.7	367.7	341.9
number		9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	8	8	8

Table 2. (cont.)

Date	Location	D.O.	Temp.	pH	Cond	Hardness	total Alkalinity	TON	NH4	PO_4 TP	PO_4 - SRP	TSS (ppm)	TS(ppm)	TDS (ppm)
05.09.08	9	11.1	16.8	8.5	736.0	263.3	237.3	5.38	0.41	0.92	0.88	16.0	458.7	442.7
07.10.08	9	7.9	26.6	8.2	541.0	.	.		.	.	.	.	.	.
08.12.08	9	7.5	25.9	8.6	15.3	285.7	279.2	4.62	0.11	2.69	2.25	23.0	1014.7	991.7
09.09.08	9	8.1	23.7	7.9	798.0	187.8	209.4	3.46	0.00	1.44	0.82	26.7	490.7	464.0
10.21.08	9	9.8	17.6	8.2	1180.0	346.9	363.0	6.49	0.12	1.79	1.71	14.5	656.0	641.5
11.03.08	9	11.5	15.0	8.4	1183.0	355.1	307.1	6.40	0.04	2.64	3.36	18.8	706.7	687.9
01.20.09	9	13.2	2.9	8.9	1002.0	351.0	321.1	0.06	0.20	1.22	1.26	10.3	621.3	611.0
02.25.09	9	13.9	3.7	8.3	842.0	308.3	279.2	7.20	0.14	1.24	1.24	22.4	548.0	525.6
03.30.09	9	11.9	11.0	8.5	749.0	310.3	265.2	5.81	0.08	0.81	0.79	.	.	.
AVERAGE		10.5	15.9	8.4	782.9	301.1	282.7	4.9	0.1	1.6	1.5	18.8	642.3	623.5
number		9	9	9	9	8	8	8	8	8	8	7	7	7
05.09.08	11	11.0	16.7	8.5	738.0	271.4	216.4	6.39	0.33	0.93	1.19	14.7	486.7	472.0
07.10.08	11	8.0	26.3	8.2	596.0	279.6	251.3	6.61	0.25	0.53	0.41	46.9	420.0	373.1
08.12.08	11	8.1	25.7	8.7	1520.0	342.9	321.1	3.66	0.01	2.48	2.44	26.5	1097.3	1070.8
09.09.08	11	8.8	24.0	7.9	1011.0	232.7	223.4	4.58	0.00	1.78	1.26	30.0	640.0	610.0
10.21.08	11	10.4	17.3	8.2	1074.0	253.1	335.0	6.72	0.13	2.17	1.66	13.6	674.7	661.1
11.03.08	11	12.0	14.1	8.4	958.0	342.9	321.1	6.33	0.06	2.50	2.67	29.4	683.7	654.1
01.20.09	11	13.8	4.2	8.9	1184.0	334.7	321.1	0.05	0.16	1.44	1.46	13.1	712.0	698.9
02.25.09	11	13.4	3.8	8.4	831.0	312.3	279.2	7.65	0.14	1.33	1.37	21.7	564.0	542.3
03.30.09	11	11.9	10.9	8.4	703.0	294.6	265.2	6.28	0.06	0.68	0.59	.	.	.
AVERAGE		10.8	15.9	8.4	957.2	296.0	281.5	5.4	0.1	1.5	1.5	24.5	659.8	635.3
number		9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	8	8	8

Table 2. (cont.)

							total							
Date	Location	D.O.	Temp.	pH	Cond	Hardness	Alkalinity	TON	NH4	PO_4 TP	PO_4 - SRP	TSS (ppm)	TS(ppm)	TDS (ppm)
05.09.08	12	10.5	16.2	8.5	671.0	285.7	328.1	4.99	0.12	0.74	0.67	20.0	449.3	429.3
07.10.08	12	7.9	26.6	8.1	570.0	273.5	230.3	6.09	0.24	0.50	0.36	60.0	430.7	370.7
08.12.08	12	9.7	25.9	8.8	1608.0	281.6	279.2	3.50	0.02	2.34	2.64	26.7	1100.0	1073.3
09.09.08	12	8.7	23.8	7.9	945.0	236.7	223.4	4.58	0.00	2.01	1.21	28.4	640.0	611.6
10.21.08	12	10.1	17.1	8.2	1054.0	330.6	307.1	6.03	0.01	2.10	1.66	14.8	658.7	643.9
11.03.08	12	11.9	14.4	8.5	921.0	346.9	321.1	5.60	0.08	2.61	2.47	17.6	600.0	582.4
01.20.09	12	13.9	3.3	9.0	1080.0	342.9	335.0	0.06	0.22	1.18	1.32	13.0	648.0	635.0
02.25.09	12	13.3	4.0	8.1	813.0	310.3	279.2	7.27	0.16	1.24	1.36	24.4	556.0	531.6
03.30.09	12	12.4	10.9	8.4	694.0	255.3	265.2	6.44	0.12	0.83	0.75	.	.	.
AVERAGE		10.9	15.8	8.4	928.4	296.0	285.4	5.0	0.1	1.5	1.4	25.6	635.3	609.7
number		9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	8	8	8
05.09.08	14	10.0	16.2	8.4	642.0	285.7	230.3	5.16	0.05	0.60	0.01	24.3	472.8	448.5
07.10.08	14	7.6	26.5	8.1	564.0	269.4	244.3	5.35	0.21	0.50	0.32	76.7	421.3	344.7
08.12.08	14	9.0	25.0	8.6	2186.0	383.7	349.0	4.01	0.11	2.68	2.85	40.0	1537.3	1497.3
09.09.08	14	8.4	23.0	8.0	782.0	232.7	209.4	3.70	0.00	1.66	1.00	32.8	521.3	488.5
10.21.08	14	10.8	16.6	8.2	1040.0	322.4	335.0	6.45	0.00	2.23	1.41	11.2	660.0	648.8
11.03.08	14	11.7	14.2	8.4	915.0	342.9	307.1	6.30	0.06	2.61	2.53	18.8	610.7	591.9
01.20.09	14	14.1	2.2	9.1	1100.0	363.3	335.0	0.06	0.26	1.14	1.38	11.7	672.0	660.3
02.25.09	14	13.4	3.5	8.2	789.0	322.1	286.2	6.71	0.19	1.27	1.20	23.7	563.7	540.0
03.30.09	14	12.3	10.5	8.4	674.0	294.6	279.2	6.17	0.13	0.87	0.77	.	.	.
AVERAGE		10.8	15.3	8.4	965.8	313.0	286.2	4.9	0.1	1.5	1.3	29.9	682.4	652.5
number		9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	8	8	8

Table 3. Macroinvertebrate data collected in 2008-2009 from Sangamon River sample sites associated with the Decatur Sanitation District

order	family	tot value	site 5	site 7
Ephemeroptera	Baetidae	4	22	27
	Caenidae	6	33	38
	Ephemeridae	5	2	
	Heptagenidae	3		
Odonata	Coenagrionidae	6	22	18
	Gomphidae	5		
Trichoptera	Polycentropodidae	6	77	47
Hydropsychidae	Hydropsychide	4		1
Coleoptera	Dryopidae	4		
	Curculionidae	4		4
	Elmidae	5		5
	Haliphilidae	4		3
Diptera	Chironomidae	7	766	586
	Culcidae	8	4	
	Simuliidae	7		
	Ceratopogonidae	5		
	Tipulidae	4		14
	Oligochaeta	8	17	
Mollusks		6	2	
Annelida		10		
Megaloptera		3		
Turbellaria		6		2

	site 5	site 7
Total Number	945	745
# of families	9	11
MBI	6.806	6.648

Table 4. Mean MBI Scores for Sangamon River sites upstream and doenstream of the main discharge from the Sanitary District of Decatur Treatment Plant

Year	Upstream Reach	Downstream Reach
1998	7.5	5.6
2001	7.3	5.9
2002	7.7	6.2
2003	7.1	5.6
2004	6.3	6.1
2005	6.8	5.7
2006	6.9	5.9
2007	6.8	5.8
2008	6.7	—
overall mean	7.0	5.9

Table 5. Fish data collected at 11 sites in the Sangamon River for the Sanitary District of Decatur.

Common Name	Scientific Name	site 1	site 3	site 4	site 5	site 6	site 7	site 8	site 9	site 11	site 12	site 14
Freshwater Drum	*Aplodinotus grunniens*											
Quillback	*Carpiodes cyprinus*	6	7					3				
White Sucker	*Catostomas commersoni*											
Red Shiner	*Cyprinella lutrensis*	9		545	108	129	3	44	453	133	2	78
Spotfin Shiner	*Cyprinella spiloptera*											
Steelcolor Shiner	*Cyprinella whipplei*		69	4								
Carp	*Cyprinus carpio*		1									
Gizzard Shad	*Dorosoma cepedianum*	49	86	1114	2	7	0	8	32	12	3	3
Johnny Darter	*Etheostoma nigrum*				1					1	1	
Orangethroat Darter	*Etheostoma spectabile*			2				1			2	1
Blackstripe Topminnow	*Fundulus notatus*	1									2	
Mosquitofish	*Gambusia affinis*	17	26		3	9		4			32	2
Northern Hogsucker	*Hypentelium nigricans*											
Channel Catfish	*Ictalurus punctatus*			1					5			
Brook Silverside	*Labidesthes sicculus*	13	129					6			3	11
Shortnoise Gar	*Lepisosteus platostomus*											
Green Sunfish	*Lepomis cyanellus*		1				1				1	
Pumpkinseed	*Lepomis gibbosus*											
Orangespotted Sunfish	*Lepomis humilis*	13	49									
Bluegill	*Lepomis macrochirus*	100	165	3	14	6	1	69			35	
Redear Sunfish	*Lepomis microlophus*											
Striped Shiner	*Luxilus chrysocephalus*											
Redfin Shiner	*Lythrurus umbratilis*											
Spotted Bass	*Micropterus punctulatus*	1	1					1				
Largemouth Bass	*Micropterus salmoides*		1									
Striped Bass	*Morone saxatilis*											
Golden Redhorse	*Moxostoma erythrurum*											
Golden Shiner	*Notemigonus crysoleucas*		10					1			1	
Spotfin Shiner	*Notropis spilopterus*		83	1								
Sand Shiner	*Notropis stramineus*		2	8	1	1		8	58	53		5
Tadpole Madtom	*Noturus gyrinus*										1	
Blackside Darter	*Percina maculata*	1										
Logperch	*Percina caprodes*		1									1
Blackside Darter	*Percina maculata*											
Slenderhead Darter	*Percina phoxocephala*							1				1
Suckermouth Minnow	*Phenacobius mirabilis*		1	1					1			
Bluntnose Minnow	*Pimephales notatus*	31	17	24	16	5		23	1	1	5	8
White Crappie	*Pomoxis annularis*		24									
Black Crappie	*Pomoxis nigromaculatas*											
Creek Chub	*Semotilus atromaculatus*			3								
	Total Number of Individuals	241	673	1706	145	157	5	169	550	200	88	110
	Total Taxa	11	18	11	7	6	4	12	6	5	12	9
	Index of Biotic Integrity (IBI) Score	30	42	32	34	34	32	36	36	36	36	36
	Mean IBI Upstream		34.29									
	Mean IBI Downstream		36.00									

Table 6. Mean IBI scores for Sangamon River sites upstream and downstream of the main dischsrge from the Sanitary District of Deactur Treatment Plant

Year	Upstream Reach	Downstream Reach
1998	29	33
2001	32	33
2002	30	34
2003	30	35
2004	30	31
2005	34	34
2006	34	40
2007	31	39
2008	34	36
overall mean	32	35


Sangamon River Discharge at Rte 48 Bridge
20000
18000
16000
14000
12000
10000
8000
6000
4000
2000
0
stream discharge (cfs)
4/1/2008
4/15/2008
4/29/2008
5/13/2008
5/27/2008
6/10/2008
6/24/2008
7/8/2008
7/22/2008
8/5/2008
8/19/2008
9/2/2008
9/16/2008
9/30/2008
10/14/2008
10/28/2008
11/11/2008
11/25/2008
12/9/2008
12/23/2008
1/6/2009
1/20/2009
2/3/2009
2/17/2009
3/3/2009
3/17/2009
3/31/2009
sample date